



Part 3: Search for the Higgs Boson



What was known about the Higgs Boson before the LHC?



- Mass not predicted by theory, except that $m_H < \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$ (unitarity)
- $m_H > 114.4 \text{ GeV}$ from direct searches at LEP
 $m_H < 158 \text{ GeV}$ or. $m_H > 173 \text{ GeV}$ from direct searches at the Tevatron



2

What was known about the Higgs Boson before LHC? (cont.)


- Indirect limits from electroweak precision measurements (LEP, Tevatron and other experiments....)





→ Higgs boson could be around the corner !

3

Higgs boson production at the LHC



Higher order corrections:




- Spira, Djouadi, Graudenz, Zerwas (1991)
- Dawson (1991)
- Harlander, Kilgore (2002)
- Anastasiou, Melnikov (2002)
- Ravindran, Smith, van Neerven (2003)

Independent variation of renormalization and factorization scales
(with $0.5 m_H < \mu_F, \mu_R < 2 m_H$)

5

Useful Higgs Boson Decays at Hadron Colliders



at high mass:
Lepton final states
(via $H \rightarrow WW, ZZ$)

at low mass:
Lepton and Photon final states
(via $H \rightarrow WW^*, ZZ^*$)

Tau final states


The dominant **bb decay mode** is only useable in the associated production mode ($t\bar{t}H$, $W/Z H$)

(due to the huge QCD jet background,
leptons from W/Z or $t\bar{t}$ decays)

6

$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow l\ell l\ell$

Signal: $\sigma \text{ BR} = 5.7 \text{ fb}$ ($m_H = 100 \text{ GeV}$)



$P_T(1,2) > 20 \text{ GeV}$
 $P_T(3,4) > 7 \text{ GeV}$
 $|\eta| < 2.5$
Isolated leptons

$$M(\ell\ell) \sim M_Z$$


$$M(\ell'\ell') \sim M_Z$$

Associated production $Z bb$
 $Z bb \rightarrow \ell\ell c\bar{v} c\bar{v}$

Background rejection:
Leptons from b-quark decays
→ non isolated
→ do not originate from primary vertex
(B-meson lifetime: $\sim 1.5 \text{ ps}$)

Dominant background after isolation cuts: **ZZ continuum**

Discovery potential in mass range from ~ 130 to $\sim 600 \text{ GeV}/c^2$



7


Decay modes at low mass: $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

Main backgrounds:


$\gamma\gamma$ irreducible background

$q \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

γ -jet and jet-jet (reducible)



$\sigma_{\gamma j + jj} \sim 10^6 \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$ with large uncertainties
→ need $R_j > 10^3$ for $\epsilon_\gamma \approx 80\%$ to get
 $\sigma_{\gamma j + jj} \ll \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$



- Main exp. tools for background suppression:
 - photon identification
 - γ / jet separation (calorimeter + tracker)

Signal expectation for 10 fb^{-1}

Sensitivity in the low mass region, however,
higher integrated luminosities required


8

$H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell\nu \ell\nu$


- Large $H \rightarrow WW$ BR for $m_H \sim 160$ GeV/c²
- Neutrinos \rightarrow no mass peak,
 \rightarrow use transverse mass
- Large backgrounds: WW, Wt, tt

Two main discriminants:

- (i) Lepton angular correlation




- (ii) Jet veto: no jet activity
in central detector region



Channel with highest sensitivity !

Sensitive to a Standard Model Higgs boson already now, with 1 fb^{-1} !

What do the data say ?






Vector Boson Fusion qq H


- Motivation:** Increase discovery potential at low mass
Improve and extend measurement of Higgs boson parameters
(couplings to bosons, fermions)

Distinctive Signature of:

- two high p_T forward jets (tag jets)
- little jet activity in the central region
(no colour flow)
 \Rightarrow central jet Veto





Results from CMS on the $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell\nu \ell\nu$ search: $L = 1.55 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (large fraction of 2011 data)



- Data are in “reasonable” agreement with expectations from Standard Model processes; some small excess at small $\Delta\phi$ visible;
- Important background normalized using control regions in data


Results from ATLAS on various other search channels:
 $L = 1.08 - 2.28 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ (up to data taken shortly before Lepton-photon conference)



Also in these channels: data are consistent with expectations from Standard Model background processes
 → work out significances / statistics

13


**Current status of the Higgs boson search at the LHC
 -ATLAS and CMS-**



- The two collaborations show similar performance
 - in terms of analysis power in the collaboration (many channels)
 - in terms of sensitivity
 - in terms of conclusions on the existence of the Higgs boson
- The grand combination (lecture by Kyle Cranmer on the details on statistics)


14

**Current status of the Higgs boson search at the LHC
 -ATLAS and CMS combinations-**



Excluded mass regions (95% C.L.):

$146 < m_H < 232 \text{ GeV}$
 $256 < m_H < 282 \text{ GeV}$
 $296 < m_H < 466 \text{ GeV}$




Excluded mass regions (95% C.L.):

$145 < m_H < 216 \text{ GeV}$
 $226 < m_H < 288 \text{ GeV}$
 $310 < m_H < 400 \text{ GeV}$

15


**Expectations for higher integrated luminosities
 -95% C.L. exclusion limits-**



CMS, V. Sharma, Lepton-Photon 2011

16


Expectations for higher integrated luminosities -discovery significances-



CMS, V. Sharma, Lepton-Photon 2011

17

Part 4: Search for Supersymmetry



18

Why do we like SUSY so much?

- Quadratically divergent quantum corrections to the Higgs boson mass are avoided

$$\text{Korrekturen } (\Lambda^2) \quad \Delta m_H = f(m_B^2 - m_f^2) \rightarrow m_{\text{SUSY}} \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$$


(Hierarchy or naturalness problem)

- Unification of coupling constants of the three interactions seems possible

- SUSY provides a candidate for dark matter



- A SUSY extension is a small perturbation, consistent with the electroweak precision data



Interpretation in a simplified model

cMSSM
(constrained Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model)


Five parameters:

$m_0, m_{1/2}$ particle masses at the GUT scale

A_0 common coupling term

$\tan \beta$ ratio of vacuum expectation value of the two Higgs doublets

μ (sign μ) Higgs mass term




regions of parameter space which are consistent with the measured relic density of dark matter (WMAP,.....)

20


The **masses of the SUSY particles** are not predicted;
Theory has many additional new parameters (on which the masses depend)

However, charginos/neutralinos are usually lighter than squarks/sleptons/gluinos.


<u>Mass limits before LHC</u>	m (sleptons, charginos) > 90-103 GeV LEP II
	m (squarks, gluinos) > ~ 350 GeV Tevatron
	m (LSP, lightest neutralino) > ~ 45 GeV LEP II



21




Cross sections for SUSY production processes




NLO corrections in QCD perturbation theory are known

23



shorter decay chains for direct chargino / neutralino production




25

Search for Supersymmetry at the LHC

- If SUSY exists at the electroweak scale, a discovery at the LHC should be easy

- Squarks and Gluinos are strongly produced

They decay through cascades to the lightest SUSY particle (LSP)



- Step: Look for deviations from the Standard Model
Example: Multijet + E_T^{miss} signature


- Step: Establish the SUSY mass scale use inclusive variables, e.g. effective mass distribution

- Step: Determine model parameters (difficult)
Strategy: select particular decay chains and use kinematics to determine mass combinations

26

A typical search for squark and gluino production

- If R-parity conserved, cascade decays produce distinctive events:
multiple jets, leptons, and E_T^{miss}
- Typical selection: $N_{\text{jet}} > 4$, $E_T > 100, 50, 50, 50$ GeV, $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 100$ GeV
- Define: $M_{\text{eff}} = E_T^{\text{miss}} + P_T^1 + P_T^2 + P_T^3 + P_T^4$ (effective mass)



Expectations from simulations:

LHC reach for squark- and gluino masses:

0.1 fb^{-1}	$\Rightarrow M \sim 750 \text{ GeV}$
1 fb^{-1}	$\Rightarrow M \sim 1350 \text{ GeV}$
10 fb^{-1}	$\Rightarrow M \sim 1800 \text{ GeV}$

Deviations from the Standard Model due to SUSY at the TeV scale can be detected fast !

example: mSUGRA, point SU3 (bulk region)
 $m_0 = 100 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{1/2} = 300 \text{ GeV}$
 $\tan \beta = 6$, $A_0 = -300 \text{ GeV}$, $\mu > 0$

27

Strategy in SUSY Searches at the LHC:



- Search for multijet + E_T^{miss} excess
- Look for special features (γ 's, long lived sleptons)
- Look for ℓ^\pm , $\ell^+ \ell^-$, $\ell^\pm \ell^\pm$, b-jets, τ 's
- End point analyses, global fit → SUSY model parameters

28



How can the parameter of the SUSY model be constrained ?

- Not easy !!
- Other possible scenarios for Physics Beyond the Standard Model could lead to similar final state signatures
e.g. search for direct graviton production in extra dimension models

$$gg \rightarrow gG, qg \rightarrow qG, q\bar{q} \rightarrow Gg$$




Measurement of the SUSY spectrum → Parameter of the theory



30


LHC Strategy: End point spectra of cascade decays

Example: $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow q\tilde{\ell}^\pm\ell^\mp \rightarrow q\ell^\pm\ell^\mp\tilde{\chi}_1^0$



$$M_{\ell^+\ell^-}^{\max} = \frac{\sqrt{(m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}^2 - m_{\tilde{\ell}}^2)(m_{\tilde{\ell}}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2)}}{m_{\tilde{\ell}}}$$

$$M_{\ell^+q}^{\max} = \frac{\sqrt{(m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}^2 - m_{\tilde{\ell}}^2)(m_q^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}^2)}}{m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}}$$



→ look for structures in kinematic distributions, e.g. di-lepton mass spectrum

31

What do the LHC data say ?

Search channels (R parity violation):

- $E_T^{\text{miss}} + \text{multijets} + 0 \text{ lepton}$
- $E_T^{\text{miss}} + \text{multijets} + 1 \text{ lepton}$
- $E_T^{\text{miss}} + b\text{-jets} + 0/1 \text{ lepton}$
- $E_T^{\text{miss}} + \text{leptons}$
- $E_T^{\text{miss}} + \text{photons}$
-

32

Some useful variable for SUSY searches at the LHC

- E_T^{miss} : missing transverse energy,
(measured from the energy depositions in the calorimeters and from muons)
 - M_{eff} : effective mass,
scalar sum of transverse energies of selected high p_T objects,
including leptons and E_T^{miss}
 - H_T : scalar sum of total transverse energy in selected jets
(hadronic activity)
 - H_T^{miss} : modulus of vector sum of selected jets
 - m_T : transverse mass (in general: m_T (lepton, E_T^{miss}))
 $m_T = \sqrt{2 p_T^e E_T^{\text{miss}} (1 - \cos \Delta\phi(e, p_T^{\text{miss}}))}$
 - $\Delta\phi$ (jet, E_T^{miss}): angle between the missing transverse energy vector
and a jet in the transverse plane
important to reject "fake" background from QCD
jet production
- 

33





First results on the search for $E_T^{\text{miss}} + \text{jets}$ (1.04 fb^{-1})

(large part of 2011 data already included)

Selection of events with $E_T^{\text{miss}} + \text{jets}$

Split the analysis according to jet multiplicities: 2, 3 and 4 jets
(different sensitivity for different squark/gluino mass combinations,
i.e. in different regions of SUSY parameter space)


Definition of signal regions:



35



Summary on control of backgrounds using data (control regions, very important !!)



A: $Z + \text{jet}$ events, $Z \rightarrow ee$
(to estimate $Z \rightarrow vv$ background,
likewise $\gamma + \text{jet}$ events were used)

B: QCD multijet background
(reverse cut on $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}})$)

C: $W \rightarrow l\nu + \text{jet}$ control region
(select events with one lepton,
 $30 < M_T(l, E_T^{\text{miss}}) < 100 \text{ GeV}$,
no b-jet to suppress top contribution)

D: top quark control region
(same selection as for W events,
but require b-tag)

36



First results on the search for $E_T^{\text{miss}} + \text{jets}$ (1.04 fb^{-1}) (large part of 2011 data already included)


Process	Signal Region				
	$\geq 2\text{-jet}$	$\geq 3\text{-jet}$	$\geq 4\text{-jet},$ $m_{\text{eff}} > 500 \text{ GeV}$	$\geq 4\text{-jet},$ $m_{\text{eff}} > 1000 \text{ GeV}$	High mass
$Z/\gamma + \text{jets}$	$32.5 \pm 2.6 \pm 6.8$	$25.8 \pm 2.6 \pm 4.9$	$20.8 \pm 9 \pm 37$	$16.2 \pm 2.1 \pm 3.6$	$3.3 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.3$
$W + \text{jets}$	$26.2 \pm 3.9 \pm 6.7$	$22.7 \pm 3.5 \pm 5.8$	$36.7 \pm 30 \pm 126$	$12.7 \pm 2.1 \pm 4.7$	$2.2 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.2$
$t\bar{t}$ Single Top	$3.4 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.6$	$5.6 \pm 2.0 \pm 2.2$	$37.5 \pm 37 \pm 74$	$3.7 \pm 1.2 \pm 2.0$	$5.6 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.1$
QCD jets	$0.22 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.24$	$0.92 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.46$	$34 \pm 2 \pm 29$	$0.74 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.51$	$2.10 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.83$
Total	$62.3 \pm 4.3 \pm 9.2$	$55 \pm 3.8 \pm 7.3$	$984 \pm 39 \pm 145$	$33.4 \pm 2.9 \pm 6.3$	$13.2 \pm 1.9 \pm 2.6$
Data	58	59	1118	40	18

Observed and expected event numbers (from Standard Model processes)


dominant backgrounds:

- $W/Z + \text{jets}$
- $t\bar{t}$ production

Normalized in control regions !
(as explained on the previous slide)



37



Interpretation of the results in the $(m_{\text{gluino}}, m_{\text{squark}})$ -plane as 95% C.L. exclusion limits in a simplified SUSY model:


- $m_X = 0$
- masses of gluinos and of 1st and 2nd generation squarks as given on plot
- all other SUSY masses are assumed to be decoupled, with masses of 5 TeV

Large area of mass combinations excluded, significant improvement compared to Tevatron results and to 2010 results (black curve)

38




CMS exclusion limits in the cmSSM model



- Similar exclusion as from the ATLAS experiment:

Squarks and gluinos with masses of 1.1 TeV can be excluded for $m_0 < 500 \text{ GeV}$



MSSM/cmSSM interpretation (for equal squark and gluino masses):

$L = 1.04 \text{ fb}^{-1}$



$m(\text{squark}), m(\text{gluino}) > 980 \text{ GeV}$

39

40

$E_T^{\text{miss}} + 2 \text{ leptons}$

(same sign or opposite sign)




- Leptons might appear from resonance decays
- more interesting: sensitive to cascade decays producing flavour correlated lepton pairs
- endpoint spectra contain information on mass differences of SUSY particles
- important for SUSY parameter determination (as explained)
- Standard Model physics background expected to be small, in particular for like-sign lepton pairs

41

Like-sign di-leptons appear in many models of Physics Beyond the Standard Model:



- Supersymmetry
- Universal extra dimensions
- Heavy Majorana neutrinos
- Same sign top pair resonances



Backgrounds from Standard Model processes are in general small, contributions arise from:

- di-boson production (WZ)
- $t\bar{t}$ production, where a second lepton comes from semileptonic b-decays
- in general: non isolated leptons from heavy flavour decays
- fake leptons from misidentified jets

42






- Lepton p_T values in SUSY cascades might be low, depends on the mass differences of the SUSY particles involved → search for as low p_T leptons as possible
- Taus (3rd generation) may play a larger role, stau could be the lightest slepton → include leptons (hadronic decays in the search)

43

Di-lepton search in CMS



- Analysis based on data from 2011, $L_{\text{int}} = 0.98 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
- Search for same-sign di-leptons ($ee, e\mu, \mu\mu, e\tau, \mu\tau, \tau\tau$) ($\tau = \tau_{\text{had}}$) accompanied by E_T^{miss} and jets in three different regions of phase space to increase sensitivity



Inclusive di-leptons



high p_T di-leptons

τ -di-leptons

- Discriminating variables to define signal regions: H_T and E_T^{miss}
- Low p_T lepton cuts compensated by higher cuts on the hadronic activity (H_T)
- Three signal regions defined (see figure)

44


Comparison between data and expectations:



- Good agreement between data and expectations; no evidence for an excess
- Backgrounds are dominated by "fake" leptons; in addition, there is a component from charge mis-identification
- Estimates have large uncertainties (results from two methods shown)

45

Di-lepton based exclusion in the cMSSM model



uncertainties on the cross sections (renormalization, factorization scale, pdfs) are indicated by the bands

- Exclusion extends to gluino masses of 825 GeV in the region $m_{\text{squark}} = m_{\text{gluino}}$
- For higher squark masses, gluinos with masses below 675 GeV are excluded


46

A first example to search for a characteristic edge in the opposite sign di-lepton mass spectra:

$$\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}^\pm \ell^\mp \rightarrow \ell^\pm \ell^\mp \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$


$$M_{\ell^+ \ell^-}^{\text{max}} = \frac{\sqrt{(m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}^2 - m_{\tilde{\ell}}^2)(m_{\tilde{\ell}}^2 - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}^2)}}{m_{\tilde{\ell}}}$$

- Remove Z veto cut
- Tighter E_T^{miss} cut: $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 100 \text{ GeV}$
- Signal region: $H_T > 300 \text{ GeV}$
- Control region: $100 < H_T < 300 \text{ GeV}$



47

$E_T^{\text{miss}} + 0/1 \text{ leptons} + b \text{ jets}$




- There might be large mixing effects, between scalar partners of left- and right-handed quarks
- proportional to the corresponding SM fermion masses, therefore important in the third generation
sbottom and stop might be the lightest squarks

→ b quarks appear in their decays


production e.g. via gluino-pair production with subsequent decays:

$$\tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1 b \text{ or } \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 t$$


48




49



51



50




52

Search for Gauge Mediated SUSY breaking scenarios (GMSB)

- In Gauge mediated SUSY breaking (GMSB) models, SUSY breaking occurs at energy scales much smaller than the Planck scale, breaking linked to gauge interactions
- The gravitino is the LSP, escapes detection → E_T^{miss} signature is kept
- Phenomenology is determined by the NLSP (next-to-lightest SUSY particle);
In many scenarios the NLSP are the superpartners of the $SU(2)_L$ gauge fields, with small mass splittings between the charged and neutral winos
 - Decays scenarios: $\tilde{W} \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$ $\tilde{W}^+ \rightarrow W^+ \tilde{G}$
 - Also χ_1^0 can be the NLSP with decays: $\chi_1^0 \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{G}$
→ expect / search for events with Photons, Leptons and E_T^{miss}
- In GMSB models sleptons, squarks and gluinos might have long lifetimes

53



54

Changing Prospects for Higgs and SUSY ?


- 1985: No – Lose theorem
LHC will discover a Higgs boson and/or a Supersymmetric World
- 1995: Maybe SUSY will not be realized in its minimal version
(maybe there is NMSSM,)
.... but we believe in SUSY (see e.g. J. Ellis, hep-ph 9503426)
negligible in this range. Similar sensitivity is to be expected in the CMS experiment [14]. Thus essentially all the parameter space of the MSSM allowed by naturalness arguments will be covered. If the LHC does not discover supersymmetry, we theorists will have to eat our collective hat.
- 2006: No discoveries at LEP-II and Tevatron (so far), Standard Model still rules !
Maybe SUSY is not realized as a Low Energy SUSY
“The SUSY-train is already a bit late.....” (G. Altarelli)

New models: extra space time dimensions, including dark Higgs scenarios !
(e.g. J.van der Bij et al., Higgs boson coupled to a higher dimensional singlet scalar, hep-ph/0605008)

in the range $s^{1/2} > 100$ GeV. The data show a slight preference for a five-dimensional over a six-dimensional field. This Higgs boson cannot be seen at the LHC, but can be studied at the ILC.

55


LHC reach: or where can we go with $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV




56

Part 5: Other Extensions of the Standard Model

- Additional Gauge bosons, Z' and W' searches
- Search for compositeness
- Excited quarks
- Search for signals from Extra Dimensions



57





A high invariant mass di-muon event in the ATLAS data. The highest momentum muon has a p_T of 270 GeV and an (η , ϕ) of (1.56, 1.30). The subleading muon has a p_T of 232 GeV and an (η , ϕ) of (-0.09, -1.82). The invariant mass of the pair is 680 GeV.

59

5.1 Search for new, high-mass di-lepton resonances


- Additional neutral Gauge Boson Z'
- Randall-Sundrum narrow Graviton resonances decaying to di-lepton



Standard Model background process Signal

- Identical final state (two leptons), same analysis, interpretation for different theoretical models
- Main background process: Drell-Yan production of lepton pairs

58



Data are consistent with background from SM processes. No excess observed.

Detailed numbers on signal and background for the ee channel:

$m_{e^+e^-}$ [GeV]	70-110	110-200	200-400	400-800	800-3000
DY	258482 ± 410	5449 ± 180	613 ± 26	53.8 ± 3.1	2.8 ± 0.1
$t\bar{t}$	218 ± 36	253 ± 10	82 ± 3	5.4 ± 0.3	0.1 ± 0.0
Diboson	368 ± 19	85 ± 5	29 ± 2	3.1 ± 0.5	0.3 ± 0.1
W+jets	150 ± 100	150 ± 26	43 ± 10	4.6 ± 1.8	0.2 ± 0.4
QCD	332 ± 59	191 ± 75	36 ± 29	1.8 ± 1.4	< 0.05
Total	259550 ± 510	6128 ± 200	803 ± 40	68.8 ± 3.9	3.4 ± 0.4
Data	259550	6117	808	65	3

Drell-Yan background can be normalized in the Z peak region, 70-110 GeV

60

Z' models used in the interpretation

(i) Sequential Standard Model Z'

- Z' has the same couplings to fermions as the Standard Model Z, width of the Z' increases proportional to its mass

(ii) Models based on the E₆ grand unified symmetry group

- Broken into SU(5) and two additional U(1) groups, leading to two new neutral gauge fields, denoted Ψ and X .
The particles associated with the additional fields can mix to form the Z' candidates


$$Z' = Z'_\psi \cos \theta_{E6} + Z'_X \sin \theta_{E6}$$

- The pattern of symmetry breaking and the value of θ_{E6} determine the Z' couplings to fermions
(several choices are considered)

61



Interpretation in the SSM and E6 models:




Resulting mass limits: ee + mu mu
95% C.L.

Sequential SM: m_{Z'} > 1.83 TeV
E₆ models: m_{Z'} > 1.49 – 1.63 TeV

Summary of 95% C.L. SSM exclusion limits from various experiments:

95% C.L. limits (SM couplings)	ee	mu mu	II combined
CDF / D0 5.3 fb ⁻¹			
ATLAS 0.036 fb ⁻¹	0.96 TeV	0.83 TeV	1.07 TeV
ATLAS 1.1 / 1.2 fb ⁻¹	1.70 TeV	1.61 TeV	1.05 TeV
CMS 1.1 fb ⁻¹			1.83 TeV
			1.94 TeV

62




Ratio of observed combined limit for the Z' search using both channels divided by the SSM Z' cross section time branching ratio.

63



Interpretation in the Randall-Sundrum models: Graviton resonances: G → II (Kaluza-Klein modes)







Resulting mass limits: ee + mu mu
95% C.L.

k/M'_Pl = 0.01: m_{Z'} > 0.71 TeV
k/M'_Pl = 0.03: m_{Z'} > 1.03 TeV
k/M'_Pl = 0.05: m_{Z'} > 1.33 TeV
k/M'_Pl = 0.10: m_{Z'} > 1.63 TeV

Limits as a function of the coupling strength k/M'_Pl

k := space-time curvature in the extra dimension
 $M'_Pl = M_{Pl} / \sqrt{8\pi}$ (reduced Planck scale)

64



5.2 Search for substructure /


compositeness of quarks

- Substructure of quarks would lead to contact interactions at high energy scales between the constituents
- Such interactions would lead to deviations from the expected QCD scattering behaviour, which would be most visible in:
 - the inclusive jet cross section at high p_T
 - the di-jet invariant mass distribution (traditional variables, but very sensitive to uncertainties on the jet energy measurement, i.e. jet energy scale)
 - the di-jet angular distributions of jets in the parton-parton centre-of-mass system
- Parametrize effects by using an effective Lagrangian, in addition to the QCD terms

$$L_{qqq}(\Lambda) = \frac{\xi g^2}{2\Lambda^2} \psi_q^L \gamma^\mu \psi_q^L \psi_q^L \gamma^\mu \psi_q^L \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{g^2}{4\pi} = 1$$

corresponds to a 4-fermion interaction (analogue to Fermi theory);
 $\xi = \pm 1$, interference parameter, relative phase between QCD terms and contact terms
 Λ = scale parameter of new interaction, to be determined in experiment

69



In QCD: gluon exchange diagrams dominate, have the same angular dependence as Rutherford scattering; essentially flat in the variable

$$\chi = e^{|y_1 - y_2|}$$

y_1, y_2 = rapidities of the two jets

Results on χ measurement from the CMS experiment

based on full 2010 dataset, 36 pb^{-1}

95% C.L. Limits on scale Λ :

ATLAS 3.1 pb^{-1} $\Lambda > 3.4 \text{ TeV}$

CMS: 36 pb^{-1} $\Lambda^+ > 5.6 \text{ TeV}$
 $\Lambda^- > 6.7 \text{ TeV}$

70

5.3 Search for Resonances in the di-jet mass distribution

Many extensions of the Standard Model predict the existence of new massive objects that couple to quarks (q) and gluons (g) and result in resonances in the di-jet mass spectrum:



Some examples searched for by ATLAS and CMS:

- Excited quarks q^* , which decay to qq, predicted if quarks are composed objects
- Axial-vector particles called axigluons (A), which decay to qq, predicted in a model where the symmetry group SU(3) of QCD is replaced by the chiral symmetry $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$
- New gauge bosons (W' and Z'), which decay into qq, predicted by models that include new gauge symmetries; the W' and Z' are assumed to have Standard Model couplings
- Randall-Sundrum (RS) gravitons (G), which decay to qq and gg, predicted in the RS model of extra dimensions; the value of the dimensionless coupling k/M'_P is chosen to be 0.1.
-

71




ATLAS search in data corresponding to $L_{\text{int}} = 0.81 \text{ fb}^{-1}$



- Search for resonance / bump in the invariant dijet mass spectrum
- Assume smooth functional form of the QCD mass spectrum
- No evidence for a resonance → exclusion limits



Model	95% CL Limits (TeV)	Expected	Observed
Excited Quark q^*	2.77	2.91	
Axigluon	3.02	3.21	

72




LHC reach for other BSM Physics
 (expected discovery sensitivity for 30 and 100 fb^{-1})

	30 fb^{-1}	100 fb^{-1}
Excited Quarks $Q^* \rightarrow q \gamma$	$M(q^*) \sim 3.5 \text{ TeV}$	$M(q^*) \sim 6 \text{ TeV}$
Leptoquarks	$M(LQ) \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$	$M(LQ) \sim 1.5 \text{ TeV}$
$Z' \rightarrow \ell\ell, jj$ $W' \rightarrow \ell\nu$	$M(Z') \sim 3 \text{ TeV}$ $M(W') \sim 4 \text{ TeV}$	$M(Z') \sim 5 \text{ TeV}$ $M(W') \sim 6 \text{ TeV}$
Compositeness (from Di-jet)	$\Lambda \sim 25 \text{ TeV}$	$\Lambda \sim 40 \text{ TeV}$




Experimental Signature: Mono-jets from graviton production

Signal: single jet, E_T^{miss}




A nice candidate event: 1 jet with $p_T = 602 \text{ GeV}$
 $E_T^{\text{miss}} = 523 \text{ GeV}$




Experimental Signature: Monojets from graviton production

Signal: single jet, E_T^{miss}



Physics background:
- $Z + \text{jet}, Z \rightarrow v\bar{v}$ (irreducible)

- $W + \text{jet}, W \rightarrow l\nu, l \text{ not detected}$
- QCD jet background, jet mis-measured



In addition, there could be a sizeable “instrumental / non-physics” background:

- Calorimeter noise, coherent noise in one region of the calorimeter
- Beam induced background
- Background from cosmic rays
(e.g. high energy muon showers)

78

Typical selection: ATLAS, 2011 data, $L_{\text{int}} = 1.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

- require strict vertex cuts (five tracks associated to a primary vertex)
suppresses beam-related background and cosmic ray backgrounds
- apply tight cuts on the shape of the calorimeter energy depositions,
i.e. fraction of el.magn. energy, timing cuts, ...
(to suppress jets from “correlated noise in the calorimeter”)
- Require 1 jet with $p_T > 120 \text{ GeV}$ (low p_T), 250 GeV (high p_T), 350 GeV (very high)
in the central detector region, $|\eta| < 2.0$

No further jets in the event with $p_T > 30 \text{ GeV}$ within $|\eta| < 4.5$

- $E_T^{\text{miss}} > 120 \text{ GeV}$ (low), 220 GeV (high) and 300 GeV (very high)
and $\Delta\phi(\text{jet}, E_T^{\text{miss}}) > 0.5$
- Lepton veto: reject all events with an identified lepton,
electrons with $p_T > 20$ or muons with $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$

→ 15750, 965 and 167 events observed in ATLAS data for the low, high
and very high selections, respectively




Numbers of observed events in data in comparison to expectations from Standard Model background:





	Background Predictions $\pm (\text{stat.}) \pm (\text{syst.})$	LowPt Selection	HighPt Selection	veryHighPt selection
$Z \rightarrow v\bar{v}$ +jets	$7700 \pm 90 \pm 400$	$610 \pm 27 \pm 47$	$124 \pm 12 \pm 15$	
$W \rightarrow \tau\nu$ +jets	$3300 \pm 90 \pm 220$	$180 \pm 16 \pm 22$	$36 \pm 7 \pm 8$	
$W \rightarrow e\nu$ +jets	$1370 \pm 60 \pm 90$	$68 \pm 10 \pm 8$	$8 \pm 1 \pm 2$	
$W \rightarrow \mu\nu$ +jets	$1890 \pm 70 \pm 100$	$113 \pm 14 \pm 9$	$18 \pm 4 \pm 2$	
Multi-jets	$360 \pm 20 \pm 290$	$30 \pm 6 \pm 11$	$3 \pm 2 \pm 2$	
$Z/\gamma^*(\rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-)$ +jets	$59 \pm 3 \pm 4$	$2.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.2$		
$Z/\gamma^*(\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)$ +jets	$45 \pm 3 \pm 2$	$2.0 \pm 0.6 \pm 0.1$		
$t\bar{t}$	$17 \pm 1 \pm 3$	$1.7 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$		
γ +jet				
$Z/\gamma^*(\rightarrow e^+e^-)$ +jets				
Non-collision Background	$370 \pm 40 \pm 170$	$8.0 \pm 3.3 \pm 4.1$	$4.0 \pm 3.2 \pm 2.1$	
Total Background	$15100 \pm 170 \pm 680$	$1010 \pm 37 \pm 65$	$193 \pm 15 \pm 20$	
Events in Data (1.0 fb^{-1})	15740	965	167	



79


80








Extracted limits (at 95% C.L.) on the excluded cross section times acceptance for $S_T > S_T^{\min}$



89

Summary of results on searches for Physics Beyond the Standard Model in ATLAS in ATLAS



Mass scale [TeV]

90