Accounts Management Issues

ITSRM Meeting

CERN

6 April 2011

G. Poulard (CERN PH-ADP)

Accounts Management

❑ **Computing groups (old)**
- Information on the accounts was stored in the CRA system
  - Update/maintenance was done by
    - Secretariats.
      - Departments or Experiments secretariat.
    - Users office.
    - Computing administrators.
- Usually there were different computing groups for
  - Department/Group activities.
  - Activities related to the construction, support, maintenance, running of the detector(s).
  - Activities related to physics.

❑ **Resources**
- Were allocated to the computing groups.
- For the experiments it is discussed with the CERN management.

Accounts Management

❑ Computing groups (new)

- o Information on the accounts is stored in the eGroup.
  - ➢ eGroup is the primary source of information.
- o Originally the experiments eGroup was filled from information stored in the CRA system.
  - ➢ All members of the old-ZP group are now members of the ZP-eGroup.
  - ➢ As before newcomers are added to the Experiment-eGroup by
    - • Experiment Secretariat.
    - • Users office.
    - • Computing administrators.

Accounts Management

❑ To ease the management of the membership of the Computing eGroups there is a proposal to:
- o Have a Dynamic eGroup whose membership would be built from the CERN central database using some selection criteria.

❑ If one uses as selection criterion "Experiment=ATLAS"
- o One gets all people for whom the main activity is related to ATLAS.
  - ➢ That means not only the "physicists" but also all the people involved in the construction/support/maintenance of the detector who, previously, had no ATLAS primary computing account.

❑ The problem is not that these people would use the ATLAS computing resources but that, being member of the ZP Computing group, they would have access to some domains in which the experiment could have "sensitive" information (SVN repository for example).

Accounts Management

❑ In conclusion:

- o ATLAS is not convinced that one should adopt the use of this Dynamic eGroup.

  - ➢ Unless one can use some additional selection criteria to:

    - Restrict the membership of that group.
    - Come back to a situation close to what it was before.

- o One would like to better understand if there is a change in the definition of the computing groups.

  - ➢ Up to now for "physics" experiments the computing groups were "oriented" to the physics activities.
  - ➢ Is-it still the case?