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## Feynman Integrals



Momentum flowing through edge $e$

## Motivating questions

1. What is an effective way to compute Feynman integrals?
2. What is the computational complexity of Feynman integration?

We look for efficient algorithms to compute $I_{G}$

## What's the problem?

$$
\text { NIntegrate }\left[\int \frac{d^{D} k_{1} \cdots d^{D} k_{L}}{\prod_{e} D_{e}}\right] ?
$$

$$
I_{G}=\int \frac{d^{D} k_{1} \cdots d^{D} k_{L}}{\prod_{e} D_{e}}
$$

Problem 0: Can be infinite $\rightarrow$ renormalization, subtraction, etc (different topic)

Here we assume $I_{G}$ to be finite!

$$
I_{G}=\int \frac{d^{D} k_{1} \cdots d^{D} k_{L}}{\prod_{e} D_{e}}
$$

Problem 1: non-bounded (and also non-standard if $D \notin \mathbb{N}$ ) integration domain

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \frac{1}{U^{D / 2}}\left(\frac{U}{F+i \varepsilon}\right)^{\omega} \Omega
$$

- $\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}$ : projective simplex (positive part of $(|E|-1)$-dim. projective space)
- $\Omega$ : canonical volume form on $\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}$
- $\omega$ : superficial degree of divergence of $G$.
- $U, F$ : Symanzik polynomials that depend on $G$ and kinematics.

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \frac{1}{U^{D / 2}}\left(\frac{U}{F+i \varepsilon}\right)^{\omega} \Omega
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Bounded integration domain and dimension is parameter in the integrand

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}} \frac{1}{U^{D / 2}}\left(\frac{U}{F+i \varepsilon}\right)^{\omega} \Omega
$$

Problem 2: Integrand has poles in the integration domain

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \frac{1}{U^{D / 2}}\left(\frac{U}{F+i \varepsilon}\right)^{\omega} \Omega
$$

Problem 2: Integrand has poles in the integration domain

$$
\text { E.g. } F=-Q^{2} x_{1} x_{2}+m^{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{2}=0 \text { if } \frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{m^{2}}{Q^{2}}
$$

$$
I_{G}=\int_{P_{>0}^{E}} \frac{1}{U D / 2}\left(\frac{U}{F+i \varepsilon}\right)^{\omega} \Omega
$$

Problem 2: Integrand has poles in the integration domain
E.g. $F=-Q^{2} x_{1} x_{2}+m^{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{2}=0$ if $\frac{x_{1} x_{2}}{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{m^{2}}{Q^{2}}$

These poles are 'regulated' by the causal ic prescription.
(Strictly speaking the integrand is just a distribution and no function)

$$
\text { Nintegrate }\left[\int_{\mathbb{P}_{0}^{E}} \frac{1}{U^{D / 2}}\left(\frac{U}{F+i \varepsilon}\right)^{\omega} \Omega\right] \text { ? }
$$

## NIntegrate

```
NIntegrate [f, {x, \mp@subsup{x}{min}{m},\mp@subsup{x}{max}{*}}]
    gives a numerical approximation to the integral }\mp@subsup{\int}{\mp@subsup{x}{\mathrm{ min }}{}}{\mp@subsup{x}{max}{}}fdx\mathrm{ .
NIntegrate [f,{x, \mp@subsup{x}{\operatorname{min}}{},\mp@subsup{x}{\operatorname{max}}{}},{y,\mp@subsup{y}{\mathrm{ min }}{m},\mp@subsup{y}{\operatorname{max}}{}},\ldots]
    gives a numerical approximation to the multiple integral }\mp@subsup{\int}{\mp@subsup{x}{\mathrm{ min }}{}}{\mp@subsup{x}{\mathrm{ max }}{}}dx\mp@subsup{\int}{\mp@subsup{y}{\mathrm{ min }}{}}{\mp@subsup{y}{\mathrm{ max }}{}}dy\ldotsf
NIntegrate [f,{x,y,\ldots}\inreg]
    integrates over the geometric region reg.
```


## $\checkmark$ Details and Options

- Multiple integrals use a variant of the standard iterator notation. The first variable given corresponds to the outermost integral and is done last.
- NIntegrate by default tests for singularities at the boundaries of the integration region and at the boundaries of regions specified by settings for the Exclusions option.
- NIntegrate $\left[f,\left\{x, x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}\right]$ tests for singularities in a one-dimensional integral at each of the intermediate points $x_{i}$. If there are no singularities, the result is equivalent to an integral from $x_{0}$ to $x_{k}$. You can use complex numbers $x_{i}$ to specify an integration contour in the complex plane.
- The following options can be given:

| AccuracyGoal $\approx$ | Infinity | digits of absolute accuracy sought |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EvaluationMonitor $\approx$ | None | expression to evaluate whenever expr is evaluated |
| Exclusions $\approx$ | None | parts of the integration region to exclude |

## No option for ic

## Explicit, $i \varepsilon$-free representation is needed

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \frac{1}{U^{D / 2}}\left(\frac{U}{F+i \varepsilon}\right)^{\omega} \Omega
$$

## Plan:

Deform integration domain, such that $i \varepsilon$ is respected automatically.

Idea and setup go back to Soper 2000; Binoth-Guillet-Heinrich-Pillon-Schulbert 2005

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \frac{1}{U^{D / 2}}\left(\frac{U}{F+i \varepsilon}\right)^{\omega} \Omega
$$

Important requirement: Retain projective invariance

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0} E} \frac{1}{U^{D / 2}}\left(\frac{U}{F+i \varepsilon}\right)^{\omega} \Omega
$$

Important requirement: Retain projective invariance

where $V=\frac{F}{U}$ and $\lambda>0$

## ic-free projective parametric representation

## MB-Munch-Tellander 2023

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \frac{J_{\lambda}}{\tilde{U}^{D / 2} \tilde{V}^{\omega}} \Omega
$$

- Where $J_{\lambda}$ is an efficiently computable rational function in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{|E|}$
- $\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}$ are the deformed versions of $U$ and $V=\frac{F}{U}$


Computer still says no...

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}} \frac{J_{\lambda}}{\tilde{U}^{D / 2} \tilde{V} \omega} \Omega
$$

Problem 3: Integrand has poles on the boundary of the integration domain

$$
\text { E.g. } \frac{1}{\tilde{U}} \sim \frac{1}{U}=\frac{1}{x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{3}} \rightarrow \infty \text { if } x_{1}, x_{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

## Traditional solution:

Just look at all possible poles and perform a blowup (i.e. a local change of coordinates that removes the singularity):

## Sector Decomposition

Binoth-Heinrich 2004
(Also gives an alternative solution to the $i \varepsilon$ problem)

## Traditional solution:

Just look at all possible poles and perform a blowup (i.e. a local change of coordinates that removes the singularity):

## Sector Decomposition

Binoth-Heinrich 2004
(Also gives an alternative solution to the ic problem)
Caveat:
Computationally challenging / brute force

## Alternative: Tropical sampling

## Tropical approximation

$$
p(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\ell \in J} a_{\ell} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{\ell_{k}} \rightarrow p^{t r}(\boldsymbol{x})=\max _{\ell \in J} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{\ell_{k}}
$$

## Tropical approximation

$$
p(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\ell \in J} a_{\ell} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{\ell_{k}} \rightarrow p^{t r}(\boldsymbol{x})=\max _{\ell \in J} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{\ell_{k}}
$$

Theorem: MB 2020

$$
\text { Both } p(\boldsymbol{x}) / p^{t r}(\boldsymbol{x}) \text { and } p^{t r}(\boldsymbol{x}) / p(\boldsymbol{x}) \text { stay bounded on } \mathbb{P}_{>0}^{n}
$$

(If $p(\boldsymbol{x})$ is completely non-vanishing on $\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{n}$.)

## Tropical approximation

$$
p(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{\ell \in J} a_{\ell} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{\ell_{k}} \rightarrow p^{t r}(\boldsymbol{x})=\max _{\ell \in J} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{\ell_{k}}
$$

Theorem: MB 2020

$$
\text { Both } p(\boldsymbol{x}) / p^{\operatorname{tr}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \text { and } p^{\operatorname{tr}}(\boldsymbol{x}) / p(\boldsymbol{x}) \text { stay bounded on } \mathbb{P}_{>0}^{n}
$$

(If $p(\boldsymbol{x})$ is completely non-vanishing on $\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{n}$.)
e.g. to statistics:

$$
I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \frac{J_{\lambda}(x)}{\tilde{U}(x)^{D / 2} \tilde{V}(x)^{\omega}} \Omega
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{G} & =\int_{\mathbb{P}_{J_{0}^{E}}} \frac{J_{\lambda}(x)}{\tilde{U}(x)^{D / 2} \tilde{V}(x)^{\omega}} \Omega \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \frac{\Omega}{U^{t r}(x)^{D / 2} V^{t r}(x)^{\omega}} \cdot J_{\lambda}(x) \frac{U^{t r}(x)^{D / 2} V^{t r}(x)^{\omega}}{\tilde{U}(x)^{D / 2} \tilde{V}(x)^{\omega}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{G}=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{0}^{E}} \\
& \frac{J_{\lambda}(x)}{\tilde{U}(x)^{D / 2} \tilde{V}(x)^{\omega}} \Omega \\
&=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E} E_{0}} \underbrace{\frac{\Omega}{U^{t r}(x)^{D / 2} V^{t r}(x)^{\omega}}} \cdot \underbrace{J_{\lambda}(x) \frac{U^{t r}(x)^{D / 2} V^{t r}(x)^{\omega}}{\tilde{U}(x)^{D / 2} \tilde{V}(x)^{\omega}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

tropical version BOUNDED of $I_{G}$ KERNEL

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{G} & =\int_{\mathbb{P}_{P_{E}}} \frac{J_{\lambda}(x)}{\tilde{U}(x)^{D / 2} \tilde{V}(x)^{\omega}} \Omega \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{P}_{50}^{E}} \frac{\Omega}{U^{t r}(x)^{D / 2} V^{t r}(x)^{\omega}} \cdot J_{\lambda}(x) \frac{U^{t r}(x)^{D / 2} V^{t r}(x)^{\omega}}{\tilde{U}(x)^{D / 2} \tilde{V}(x)^{\omega}} \\
& =Z \int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \mu^{\operatorname{tr} \cdot} \cdot J_{\lambda}(x) \frac{U^{t r}(x)^{D / 2} V^{t r}(x)^{\omega}}{\tilde{U}(x)^{D / 2} \tilde{V}(x)^{\omega}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mu^{t r}=\frac{1}{Z} \frac{\Omega}{U^{t r}(x)^{D / 2} V^{t r}(x)^{\omega}} \quad \text { s.t. } \quad 1=\int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \mu^{\operatorname{tr}}
$$

## Theorem MB 2020:

For 'tame' kinematics, there is a fast algorithm to sample from the probability distribution $\mu^{t r}$.

$$
I_{G}=Z \int_{\mathbb{P}_{>0}^{E}} \mu^{t r} \cdot J_{\lambda}(x) \frac{U^{t r}(x)^{D / 2} V^{t r}(x)^{\omega}}{\tilde{U}(x)^{D / 2} \tilde{V}(x)^{\omega}}
$$

We get an algorithm that evaluates $I_{G}$ up to $\delta$ accuracy in runtime

$$
O\left(n 2^{n}+n^{3} \delta^{-2}\right)
$$

where $n=|E|$.
"Exponential wall" starts at around $n=30$ edges
$\Rightarrow$ Exponential term is negligible for loop order $\leq 10$

## Under the hood

- Algorithm makes heavy use of algebraic and convex geometry of $U, F$
- Works thanks to well-understood analytic structure in the UV Speer, Brown, ...
- Key structure: generalised permutahedra (related to Lorentzian polynomials)
- Problems due to failure of this structure with IR divergences.
- Findings of Arkani-Hamed, Hillman, Mizera 2022 helpful to resolve this partially.
- Implementation: https://github.com/michibo/feyntrop


## Conclusion

- Tropical sampling + new ic free projective parametric representation
$\Rightarrow$ Fast method to integrate Feynman integrals: Code, feyntrop on github
- Exceptional kinematics are problematic (IR singularities)
$\Rightarrow$ More information on pole structure of integrands needed
- Extensions necessary: Numerators of Feynman integrals and divergences
- Question: Is there are polynomial time algorithm for Feynman integration?
- Question: Is there an algorithm for amplitudes faster than the naive one?


## Outlook: Amplitudes on moduli spaces

$$
A_{L}=\sum_{G} \frac{I_{G}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(G)|}
$$



Sum over graphs with $L$ loops of shape determined by the QFT


Feynman Integral for each graph weighted by symmetry factor

## Outlook: Amplitudes on moduli spaces



Integral over moduli space of graphs $\mathscr{M} \mathscr{G}_{g}$


# QFT is very useful to study this moduli space 

arXiv:1907.03543 MB-Vogtmann<br>arXiv:2202.08739 MB-Vogtmann<br>arXiv:2301.01121 MB-Vogtmann

## Theorem MB-Vogtmann 2023

$$
\chi\left(\mathscr{M} \mathscr{G}_{g}\right) \sim-e^{-1 / 4}\left(\frac{g}{e}\right)^{g} /(g \log g)^{2} \quad g \rightarrow \infty
$$

- Related to result by Harer-Zagier 1986 on the moduli space of curves $\mathscr{M}_{g}$.
- The moduli space of graphs $\mathscr{M}_{G_{g}}$ is a tropicalization of $\mathscr{M}_{g}$.
- Feynman type integrals on $\overline{\mathscr{M}}_{g}$ certify classes in $\mathscr{M}_{g}$ Brown 2021
- Long story...


## $\Rightarrow$ Use integrals over the moduli space to study/evaluate amplitudes

Bloch-Kreimer, Berghoff

## Conclusion

- Tropical sampling + new ic free projective parametric representation
$\Rightarrow$ Fast method to integrate Feynman integrals: Code, feyntrop on github
- Exceptional kinematics are problematic (IR singularities)
$\Rightarrow$ More information on pole structure of integrands needed
- Extensions necessary: Numerators of Feynman integrals and divergences
- Question: Is there are polynomial time algorithm for Feynman integration?
- Question: Is there an algorithm for amplitudes faster than the naive one?

