



Proposed Procedure to Correct Linear Errors at the LHC IRs using Action and Phase Analysis

J. Cardona and O. Blanco, Universidad Nacional de Colombia

Thanks to: R Tomás, G. Vanbavinckhove, R. Calaga, R. Miyamoto and C. Alabau, CERN

June 2011





- Action and phase jump analysis has been used to estimate strengths of skew quadrupole correctors at RHIC (PAC 01, pg 3132).
- It has been tested using orbit data with known skew and quad errors (EPAC 04, pg 1553).
- It has been used to estimate known Non linear components with SPS data (PAC 2005, pg 2012).
- It will be describe how action and phase jump analysis can be adapted to measure and correct linear error at LHC IRs.

Errors from Action and Phase Analysis



Linear Components of the Errors





$$\theta_x = -\frac{\Delta B_y l}{B\rho}, \qquad \theta_y = \frac{\Delta B_x l}{B\rho}$$

For one magnet (keeping only linear components): $\theta_x = A_1 y - B_1 x$

$$\theta_y = A_1 x + B_1 y$$

Kick can not be measured for a single magnet.

For a triplet (more realistic): $\theta_x^t = A_1^t y - B_1^{tx} x$ $\theta_y^t = A_1^t x + B_1^{ty} y$

 A_1^t and B_1^t enough for linear correction.

A_1^t and Coupling Correction





- To correct local coupling use $K1S_c * L_c = -A_1^t$.
- One beam trajectory is simulated in MADX using LHC lattice and the follow. skew errors in IR1: $K1S(Q1) = K1S(Q2) = K1S(Q3) = 10^{-5}m^{-2}$
- Action and phase analysis of the trajectory gives $A_1^t = 1.78 * 10^{-4} m^{-1}$ and $K 1 S_c = -0.0008 m^{-2}$.
- Correction is tested exciting an horizontal trajectory and measuring the coupled orbit in the opposite plane.

Verification of Coupling Correction







B_1^t and Gradient Error Correction

BICENTENARIO



- Since the B_1^t are different for both planes, at least two quads should be tweak to compensate all gradient errors in the triplet.
- The two values for gradient compensation can be found by inverting the equations:

$$B_{1}^{tx} = \frac{\Delta K 1_{c}(Q1) \int_{Q1} \beta_{x} ds + \Delta K 1_{c}(Q2) \int_{Q2} \beta_{x} ds}{\beta_{xe}}$$
$$B_{1}^{ty} = \frac{\Delta K 1_{c}(Q1) \int_{Q1} \beta_{y} ds + \Delta K 1_{c}(Q2) \int_{Q2} \beta_{y} ds}{\beta_{ye}}$$

Simulation of Gradient Error Correction





- One beam trajectory is simulated in MADX using LHC lattice and the follow. grad errors in IR1: $\Delta K1(Q1) = \Delta K1(Q2) = \Delta K1(Q3) = 10^{-5}m^{-2}.$
- Action and phase analysis of the orbit gives $\Delta K 1_c(Q1) = 5.47 * 10^{-5} m^{-2} \text{ and}$ $\Delta K 1_c(Q2) = 4.21 * 10^{-5} m^{-2}.$
- Correction is tested looking at the betabeat.

Verification of Gradient Error Correction







p.9/17

Influence of BPM Noise





- Gaussian errors of 200 $\mu \rm m$ were introduced in the simulations
- Calculations of correction settings are sensitive to the noise (30% a 45% variation).
- B_1^{tx} and B_1^{ty} are much less sensitive to noise.
- Use as many turns as possible to statistically estimate B_1^{tx} and B_1^{ty} and then calculate de correction settings (1% a 10% variation for 24 orbits).

Skew Quad and Gradient Errors





- Only one beam trajectory has been used for error calculation until now.
- The general case involve skew quad and gradient errors simultaneously and hence at least two orbits (out of phase) are needed.

$$\theta_{x_1} = A_1^t y_1 - B_1^{tx} x_1$$

$$\theta_{y_1} = A_1^t x_1 + B_1^{ty} y_1$$

$$\theta_{x_2} = A_1^t y_2 - B_1^{tx} x_2$$

$$\theta_{y_2} = A_1^t x_2 + B_1^{ty} y_2$$

• In practice, four orbits are used.

Influence of Phase Difference



Phase difference between 90 to 270 degrees seems to be optimal for estimation of B_1^{tx} and B_1^{ty}







- It is possible to find the appropriate settings to correct linear errors at IR triplets with action and phase analysis.
- However, the calculated settings are sensitive to the current level of noise present in the LHC BPMs.
- This problem can be overcome using as many trajectories as possible to statistically calculate B_1^{tx} and B_1^{ty} first, and then the corrector settings.
- Still work to do for lattices with high beta* (e.g. injection lattice).

Acknowledgments





• Bogotá Research Division (DIB) at Universidad Nacional de Colombia for financial support while at CERN.



References



- J. Cardona, S. Peggs, "Linear and Nonlinear Magnetic Error Measurements using Action and Phase Jump Analysis", Physical Review ST AB 12, 014002 (2009).
- [2] J. Cardona, R. T. Garcia, "Non Linear Error Analysis from Orbit Measurements in SPS and RHIC", PAC'05, Knoxville, Tennesse (2005).
- [3] J. Cardona, S. Peggs, F. Pilat, V. Ptitsyn, "Measuring Local Gradient and Skew Quadrupole Errors in RHIC IRs", EPAC'04, Lucerne, Switzerland (2004).
- [4] J. Cardona, "Linear and Non Linear Studies at RHIC Interaction Regions and Optical Design of the Rapid Medical Synchrotron", Ph.D. thesis, Stony Brook University, (2003).
- [5] V. Ptitsyn, J. Cardona, F. Pilat, J.-P. Koutchouk, "Measurement and Correction of Linear Effects in the RHIC Interaction Regions", PAC'01, Chicago, Illinois (2001).

Action and Phase Analysis on a RHIC Trajectory





p.16/17



$$x_{i+1} = \sqrt{2J_{i+1}\beta_{x_{i+1}}}\sin(\psi_{x_{i+1}} - \delta_{i+1})$$

ciencia y tecnología para el país

Action and Phase Jump Analysis in LHC

