LHCf Collaboration meeting 2023, 16-17 October 2023, Nagoya (Japan)

Preparation for the last LHCf run: p+O

L. Bonechi – INFN Firenze

Topics

Current situation of hardware

- Current location of detectors
- Measurement of the detector's radioactivity and contacts with the RP team
- Noise and delay lines (?)
- Upgrade of the silicon MDAQ firmware
- Barracks and infrastructures
 - Missing stuff (?)

• Beam crossing and parameters for the oxygen run

- 30/09: e-mail from Jorg Wenninger (Head of LHC beam operation)
- 02/10: e-mail from LPC coordinators
- Planning of the preparation activity
 - December 2023
 - February 2024
 - May/June 2024
 - SPS beam test later in 2024

Schedule of installation and run

• LHCf and ATLAS / ZDC

Current location of Arm1&&Arm2

• CERN SPS experimental area









16 October 2023

Contacts with RP (CERN radio protection team) - June 2023

On 08-Jun-23 15:14, Frederic Lionel Aberle	wrote:	From Frederic Lionel Aberle <frederic.aberle@cern.ch> (3) To Bonechi Lorenzo (3) 6/20/2023, 1:49 PM</frederic.aberle@cern.ch>				
Dear Lorenzo,						
Thank you again for your patience, I	nere are updated values	Cc Yann Pierre Pira <yann.pira@cern.ch> 🔞</yann.pira@cern.ch>				
1. CR-128101 - LHCf Arm1 Dete 2. CR-002779 - LHCf arm2 front 3. CR-002780 - LHCf ARM2 Calo	ctor: I couldn't detect levels of radioactivity anymore. counter: same as CR-128101. rimeter: I see a maximum dose rate of 0.3 μSv·h ⁻¹ . This is rather low,	Subject Re: Radiation measurements on LHCf detectors Dear Lorenzo,				
but too high to be classified a Do you have an update about the fu	ture actions concerning the detectors?	Thank you for your feedback and for having investigated this possibility. For me, there is no problem to keep it in EHN1, but I am not the owner of the building 😊				
Cheers, Frederic	(natural background: 2.4 mSv/y = 0.27 μSv/h)	I believe that, if needed, another storage space could be found in a dedicated supervised area, but if no one is asking you to move it, I would advise to leave th setup where it is 😊	e			
On 08-Jun-23 15:39, Frederic Lionel Aberle w	rote:	Concerning the possibility to store it in building 6112 without classifying the loca	I, I			
Hello Lorenzo,		am afraid that it is not possible, since radioactive items should be stored in radiologically classified areas.				
I see! From my side, there is no issue t need of space So maybe it would be	o leave the detector in EHN1, but I think M. Lazzaroni from BE-EA is always in better to transfer it.	Cheers, Frederic				
On the other hand, I see that building (even if radiation levels are very low). as supervised area. Is there an office, o	6111 is non-designated, meaning that radioactive material should not be there But, depending on usage of building 6111, we could also propose to classify it or people working all day in this small building?					

Cheers, Frederic

16 October 2023

Noise and delay lines – the story (1)

Delay lines originally used during data taking for p+Pb collision



60 m long coax cable per delay line

NEW DELAY LINES

- Production of 72 analog delay lines (~ 300 ns)
 - Necessary for implementing optimized trigger schemes
 - Avoid large delay boxes made with long cables due to limited space in the electronic racks in USA15
 - For last p+Pb we had free space (only the Arm2 system was used)
- Selected solution: SINGLE CHIP ANALOG DELAY
 LINE
 - very compact solution
 - less attenuation compared to coaxial cable, with the same delay
 - some oscillations typical of these devices
- Test of a 300 ns delay chip
 - Input signal digitized from real system and simulated by an Arbitrary Waveform Generator
 - Output signal have not been amplified





Noise and delay lines – the story (2)





Noise and delay lines – the current problem

• The distortion of the signals downstream of these modules seems to require a longer integration windows that determines a larger noise (?)



Slide by E. Berti

Noise and delay lines – the current problem

• The distortion of the signals downstream of these modules seems to require a longer integration windows that determines a larger noise (?)



LHCf Collaboration meeting - Nagoya (Japan)

Noise and delay lines – comments for 2024

- For the previous run (p+p 2022) it was not possible to install long delay lines made of coaxial cables both for the Arm1 and Arm2 detectors
 - Problem of space in the two LHCf racks in USA15
- In 2024 only the Arm2 detector will be installed at LHC
- It could be possible to go back to the original configuration
- To be discussed in details soon:
 - Is it useful to further investigate this problem?
 - Is it useful to involve the INFN Electronic Pool?
 - Should we recover the original configuration?
- Deadline for decision: before the end of October (?)
 - If required, in november or december we could go to CERN and collect the fanouts (to be modified or to be studied)



On-going work @ INFN Firenze

• Upgrade of the MDAQ firmware for the study of PACE3/silicon linearity/saturation

Main idea: provide the possibility to study the linearity of the PACE3 chips using their internal calibration system

<u>Status</u>: some details in the next presentation by Monica

<u>Comment</u>: this work is not strictly mandatory before the next run, but could be also completed later



Barracks and infrastructures

- Some info about CERN... already shown during a weekly meeting in July
- Additional comment: 3 bio-cells (safety masks) brought again to the CERN store in September by Monica for because they reached the foreseen 5-years expiration





Beam crossing and parameters for the Oxygen run

• Main issue: for 2024 LHC is planning to invert the beam crossing directions at IP1:

 \rightarrow from downward going to upward going beams



- This change should apply to the basic p+p run
- Special runs and ions should maintain the current ion configuration

Beam crossing and parameters for the Oxygen run

From Jorg Wenninger 🚯					
To Bonechi Lorenzo 🔞	9/30/2023, 7:05 PM				
Cc Reyes Alemany Fernandez 🔞					
Subject Re: Info for LHCf					
Hi Lorenzo,					
1) Yes we will flip the xing angle sign for pp operation. But the oxygen configuration will most likely correspond to the current lead operation configuration and the xing can be choosen. You just have to agree with ATLAS (and their ZDC in case it is used).					
2) For the moment, I think to remember that we plan to re-use the current lead configuration with beta* 0.5 m. We do have some issues with background in ALICE, but that does probably not apply to oxygen (TBC).					
I CC Reyes for details on the parameters.					
Cheers, Jorg					

• I have not received any info from Rayes yet

Beam crossing and parameters for the Oxygen run

_

From Federico Alessio <Federico.Alessio@cern.ch> (2)

Image: Image

10/2/2023, 11:08 AM

Subject Re: Decision on Triplet Inverted Polarity

Bonechi Lorenzo 🙉

Purtroppo non ho ancora notizie o informazioni specifiche sull'Oxygen run. L'LHC si e' concentrata parecchio sul Lead e per il momento l'unica sicurezza e' che l'Oxygen run e' piu' o meno in weeks 24/25 (+/- 1 week) nella schedule del 2024 (injectors limitation).

Comunque al riguardo dei tre punti che hai menzionato.

- Il prossimo anno l'unico cambiamento al riguardo della questione della direzione dei fasci a IP1 e' che il crossing angle in IP1 e' flippato a UP (rispetto a DOWN di adesso). Nessun cambio di polarita.. A prescindere da cio, l'agreement era che per le run speciali (lons appunto), si ritorna alla configurazione che abbiamo adesso (pre-2024). Jorg ha confermato che "it should be ok". Questo e' il massimo che abbiamo ottenuto da Jorg...
- punto 2: no. Non c'e' niente neanche di preliminare. Ma devo dire che la discussione e' sempre stata: OO e' uguale a PbPb... quindi mi aspetterei come baseline una configurazione identica a PbPb. Ma questa e' una mia opinione e non c'e' niente di ne' deciso ne' discusso.
- punto 3: credo si fara' prima OO, ma anche qui non abbiamo deciso. L'unico a cui potrebbe cambiare qualcosa e' LHCb, ma non credo che abbiamo una limitazione sull'ordine.

Appena sappiamo di piu, manderemo. Ma non credo che sara' prima di Dicembre/Gennaio.

A presto, Federico.

То

Unfortunately I still have no news or specific information on the Oxygen run. The LHC has focused a lot on the Lead and for the moment the only certainty is that the Oxygen run is more or less in weeks 24/25 (+/- 1 week) in the 2024 schedule (injectors limitation).

Anyway regarding the three points you mentioned.

- Next year the only change regarding the beam direction issue at IP1 is that the crossing angle at IP1 is flipped to UP (compared to DOWN now). No change of polarity. Regardless, the agreement was that for the special runs (lons precisely), we return to the configuration we have now (pre-2024). Jorg confirmed that "it should be ok". This is the best we got from Jorg...
- Point 2: no. There's nothing even preliminary. But I have to say that the discussion has always been: OO is the same as PbPb... so I would expect a configuration identical to PbPb as a baseline. But this is my opinion and nothing has been decided or discussed.
- Point 3: I think OO will be done first, but we haven't decided here either. The only one where something could change is LHCb, but I don't think we have a limitation on the order.
- As soon as we know more, we will send. But I don't think it will be before December/January.

Beam crossing and parameters for the Oxygen run → the LHCf requests (just for reference)

Presentation of proposal, CERN - LHCC - 28 Feb 2019

p+O at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ 9.9 TeV

- Requests for an eventual LHCf run with light ions
 - Usual low luminosity / low pile-up special run
 - Max luminosity $\sim 10^{28}$
 - L=5x10²⁷ @ full rate \rightarrow 16 h x 2
 - L=10²⁸ @ full rate \rightarrow 10 h x 2
 - $N_b \sim 40$ (separated > $2\mu s$ due to slow amplifier)
 - $\mu \sim 0.01$ (no capability to identify pile-up)
 - Beam crossing: vertical with downward going beams



Beam crossing and parameters for the Oxygen run → the LHCf requests (just for reference)

Presentation a LPC meeting 14 Jun 2021

Beam parameters for the LHCf run						
with $p + O$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.9 \text{ TeV}$						
Parameter	Value					
Bunches per beam	best: 43					
Minimum bunch spacing (hs)	best: 2 (≥ 0.2)					
Luminosity $(cm^{-2}s^{-1})$	$\lesssim 1 \times 10^{28}$					
Inelastic cross-sections QCD/UPC (b)	0.5/0.005					
μ (average n. of collisions per BC)	$ \lesssim 0.01$					
Beam crossing	vertical, downward					
Beam crossing angle (μrad)	best: 290 (total)					
β^* (m)	best: $\sim 10 \ (\gtrsim 1)$					

Run parameters for the LHCf minimum						
physics program with $p + O$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.9 \text{ TeV}$						
Parameter	Value					
Number of $p + O$ collisions (one detector position)	$\sim 3.5 \times 10^8$					
Integrated luminosity $(nb^{-1}, one detector position)$	~ 0.7					
Collision rate at IP1 (kHz)	~ 5					
Arm1/Arm2 total acceptance	~ 0.08					
Hit rate on Arm1/Arm2 (kHz)	~ 0.4					
Max DAQ rate (kHz, including dead time)	~ 0.33					
Net operation time at max rate (h)	~ 40					
Total number of collected type I and II π^0 events	$\sim 4 \times 10^5$					

- Bunch spacing: best 2µs (acceptable > 200 ns)
- Lumi: low (standard LHCf run), 1×10²⁸ cm⁻² s⁻¹
- <u>Pile-up</u>: low, ~0.01 (\rightarrow no large effect with μ = 0.02)
- Beam crossing: vertical with downward going beams
- Large β^* (> 10 m) to minimize event by event variations of the beam center (few mm at TAN)
- Basic physics program: 1.5 nb⁻¹, 40 h approximately
 - High statistics seems to be difficult (too low luminosity necessary to reduce the pile-up)
- Detector installation: Arm1+Arm2 or only Arm2
- <u>Number of bunches:</u> for >2us bunch spacing, but up to 500 acceptable (previous modification of DAQ setup in USA15; slightly increased electr. noise)

180 ns bunch spacing (confirmed?)

Plans for next main activities at/for CERN (I)

• 2023

- Buy the CPU server to be used for on-line monitoring during 2024 LHCf special run with p+O collisions
- Depending on the decision for the delay lines:
 - Collect the NIM fanouts at CERN
 - Recover the original configuration of these modules
- Depending on the status of upgrade of silicon MDAQ:
 - Upload of the new MDAQ firmware on all MDAQ boards at CERN
 - Only if safe for the 2024 run
 - Better to be done before Feb 2024 of after the June 2024 run

Plans for next main activities at/for CERN (II)

• 2024 – February

- Rental of electronic modules at the CERN electronic pool
- Preparation of DAQ in USA15
- Preliminary test of Arm2 in USA15
- Preliminary test of Arm2 in the LHC tunnel
- 2024 June
 - Installation of Arm2 in LHC
 - Data taking for the special run p+O
- 2024 September or October
 - New beam test at SPS for calibration

Plans for next main activities at/for CERN (III)



Plans for next main activities at/for CERN (IV)



	First S Apr bea	itable ms	Collis 1200	ions with) bunches	May				Jun					
Wk	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	Special physics runs (place holders)
Мо	Easter	1 8	15	22	29	9 6	6 1	3 Whitsun 20	27	3	10	17	0-0 24	Machine development (incl. floating
Tu		Scrubbing									_	VdM	& p-O	Scrubbing
We	*	Scrubbing			1st May		ļ				<u>е</u> тs1	broBram	lon run	. Scrubbing
Th		1		¥	ļ	Ascension				MD 1	n n			Pb - Pb Ion physics run
Fr		commissioning			ļ						viss G	tting		Pb Ion Setting up
Sa		& intensity ramp up					Į		ļ		3	lon se		
Su		}												EINAC 3 Pb oven re-fill

Preliminary test of Arm2 in USA15

- Importqnt to check the whole silicon DAQ system
- For testing the stand-alone silicon system in USA15, 1-2 days are required
- It is maybe possible also to test the whole detector
- We have to prepare a request to be submitted to the relevant teams
- This work must to be allowed
 - By who? It depends on the responsible team
 - Then we have to prepare the necessary IMPACT



Preliminary test of Arm2 in the LHC tunnel

- Important to check the status of cables and fibers arriving to TAN
- For testing the silicon system alone in the tunnel, 1-2 days are required
- It is maybe possible also to test the whole detector+DAQ
- We have to prepare a request to be submitted to the relevant teams
- This work must to be allowed
 - By who? It depends on the responsible team
 - Then we have to prepare the necessary IMPACT



Plans for next main activities at/for CERN (V)



16 October 2023

LHCf Collaboration meeting - Nagoya (Japan)

Plans for next main activities at/for CERN (VI)



- Mostly depends on and agreement to be taken with ATLAS and ZDC
- If p+O comes before O+O we would install in TS1
- If O+O comes before p+O we should install between in between





Plans for next main activities at/for CERN (VII) NEW SPS beam test (?)









Schedule: install/run/uninstall

- We should fix the LHCf preferred scheme
- We should **discuss it with ATLAS and ZDC**
 - find an agreement with ATLAS and ZDC
- In both cases ($pO \rightarrow OO$ or $OO \rightarrow pO$) there are some complications
 - 1. In case $pO \rightarrow OO$ we can install in TS1, but we have to uninstall in the middle of the two runs
 - 2. In case OO \rightarrow pO we have to install in the middle od the two runs, but I think we are free to unininstall just after the run or later

Conclusions...

 Current situation of hardware 	<mark>Good!</mark>					
 Current location of detectors 	SPS expermental area					
 Measurement of the detector's radioactivity and 	Am2 still active; new measurement under					
contacts with the RP team	discussion					
 Noise and delay lines (?) 	To be decided: cables or circuits?					
 Upgrade of the MDAQ firmware 	On-going					
Barracks and infrastructures	To be prepared					
 Missing stuff (?) 						
• Beam crossing and parameters for the oxygen run	Still not fixed (no info for oxygen on LPC's page) Same beam crossing config. Should be possible Beam parameters are not fixed yet					
 30/09: e-mail from Jorg Wenninger (Head of LHC beam operation) 						
 02/10: e-mail from LPC coordinators 	Beta* should be 0.5 m					
Planning of the preparation activity	Several time slot for the different operations					
December 2023	We must get an agreement with ATLAS					
February 2024	We must inform LHC relavant team(s)					
• May/June 2024						
Schedule of installation and run	Still unknown the sequence of pO and OO It depends mainly on LHFc/ATLAS ZDC request					
LHCf and ATLAS / ZDC						

ありがとうございました for the organization of this meeting in Nagoya and for our long and successful collaboration

