

DATE: 27.06.2011

Participants:

Elisabetta Ronchieri, Zdenek Sustr, Jon K. Nilsen, Paolo Andreeto, Massimo Sgaravatto, Valery Tschopp, Mattias Ellert, Martin Savko, Anders Wäänänen, Björn Hagemeyer, Christian Bernardt, Enol Fernández, Eamonn Kenny, John White, Oliver Keeble, Ricardo Rocha, Andres Abad Rodriguez, Maria Alandes Pradillo, Lorenzo Dini, Alberto Aimar, Morris Riedel, Cristina Aiftimiei

Missing: AMGA, APEL, DGAS, gLite InfoSys, SAGA-SD-RAL

Previous meeting minutes (20.06.2011):

- no comments received

ACTION LIST (<https://savannah.cern.ch/task/?group=emi-emt>)

#18995 - "orphan" components for EMI-1 – twiki not yet ready

#20300 - glite-info-provider-service in EMI 1 – reminder sent on 24.06.2011, no other replies received.

All services should be considered ok from the point of view of glite-info-provider-service. - task to be closed

#20933 - Missing library for Hydra client in EMI – John – successfully building client – task to be closed

#21062 - gLexec Service Reference Card: Wrong Link – no answer

#21063 - gLexec Documentation in Release Pages – no answer

#21135 - yaim-core Documentation in Release Pages – Cristina will make the requested changes

Discussion:

OliverK – an action should be opened to follow the conclusion of the discussion started vis e-mail about clashes between EMI provided rpms and those which are already provided in EPEL. We need more clarity from the project on how we are dealing with this situations. - Cristina to open task, detailed discussion in AOB session

EMI Release Status:

CristinaA:

- last week the second EMI 1 Update ([EMI 1 Update 2 \(23.06.2011\)](#)) containing CREAM/CEMon revision update. It is under EGI verification .
- Discussion with Massimo about grouping CREAM & CEMon under the same task, in the future updates – Cristina to ask Balazs (also to open task)
- Discussion with Maria about doing the same thing for all the products mentioned in the DNA1.3.2 as being in phase-out, Massimo clarified that phase-out means that no new functionalities will be added, but the maintenance of this products will not be stopped.
- A new Update cycle is starting today – in the agenda there is the link to Certified & Verified products: - StoRM, L&B, Proxyrenewal, MPI, UVOS
- Status of Open tasks (http://bit.ly/emi_update):
 - FTS: OliverK – debugging an oracle problem & there is a fix to yaim-core that has to be applied
 - Hydra: JohnW - still working, seem repository problem
 - gLexec – no answers, due to holiday
 - ARGUS: ValeryT – will start the certification, should be finished by the end of the week

- Q: - should the whole product be re-certified or only the RfCs in the task
- CristinaA – regression tests should be applied for all RfCs to be sure that the problems were not reintroduced.
- Trustmanager: – no comments in the task, packages are already registered, but no other reports present
- WMS: PaoloA – they are running the testsuite this days., certification should be finished on time.
- Gridsite: ZdenekS – working slowly, they are discussing the changes with Andrew McNab the original developer of gridsite
- ARC: MartinS – setup new configs for arc updates, running some tests and encountered some problems with the 32b builds that will report after the meeting.
- Discussion with Maria about changing or not the “Should be Finished on” field for tasks that are postponed – will continue via e-mail, and PTs will be informed
- In agenda link to - GGUS dashboard, sorted by priority (http://bit.ly/ggus_priority), EMI User support Procedure (<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/TSA15>), PEB report (<https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiPebReport20110516>):
 - PT should follow the Support Procedure - there will be an weekly report to the PEB about “assigned” GGUS tickets, as a verification of how the SLA with EGI is respected
- upon request the release-manager@eu-emi.eu mailig list has being created, and will be specified in the policies were interaction with the release manager is requested

QA announcements (Maria Alandes Pradillo):

- today it is the deadline for giving feedback on ChangeManagement Policy. A very detailed report was received from the ARC team, it will be taken in consideration and prepare the next version.
- The ReleaseManagement Policy is out for feedback until the 11th of July, comments should be send before that day
- Received feedback from JoniH on ReleaseChecklist about the ETICS configurations to be used during EMI 1 Updates – CristinaA has to confirm them or not
- a summary e-mail was sent to the EMT containing all the dates for updating the policies, Subject “Calendar to review policies for EMI 2”
- AlbertoA:
 - today will be announced that there are available SL6 nodes, for the moment limited to 1 core, but this will be ok for most of PTs components. Cristina can try to build some components to see what is the result. Not a whole project-config because it will take to long. An e-mail will be send containing all the information.
 - For Debian - this week will take place the first meeting of Debian experts group, still missing someone from UNICORE, to discuss which debian repository to use, what is the equivalent of EPEL and other details

AOB:

- Oliver – questions about packages present in EMI & EPEL:
 - short-term – question for Mattias – if he has seen the list prepared by RicardoR containing all the packaging inconsistencies between EMI & EPEL and weather he think that they can work together to sort them out
 - Mattias – agrees that we should work together to solve any inconsistencies. When he did the packages he tried to do the same kind of split as the ones done by PTs, but there

were cases when he had to follow the guidelines, like in the case of VOMS. Usually he sends any kind of patches attached to some savannah tkts, some of them are there since quite some time.

- Oliver – would like to know that they can count on Mattias to help on synchronization and on how to rationalize the naming.
- Mattias – he was in contact with many people using different channels. He always spoke with one team at a time. He propose to have some organized meetings when everyone is involved.
- long-term – where the project is going with respect with this issue? He understood that the aim of the project is to remove this inconsistency of having to manage 2 separate sets of packages, would like to know how we will get there, what objective is to release EMI packages through EPEL?
 - Mattias – we should put as much as possible in EPEL, the problem is that this means that one has to comply with the update policies in the distributions.
 - Oliver – that's why we need to have representatives from both side to try to understand how this should be done.
 - Mattias - this should be a dedicated meeting, not EMT-one
 - Oliver – Cristina to put an action on Mattias and Oliver to try to progress in this direction
- Cristina – for the WLCG conference, 11 – 13 July, there will be a presentation about EMI Deployment Planning, the request is to have information from PTs about how to migrate services, what is important to keep in mind when doing DB migrations, and all other details that are important - actions will be opened where some small reports, 1,2 slides can be added.