

DATE: 29.08.2011

Participants:

Christian Bernardt, Michele Dibenedetto, Mattias Ellert, Samir Boutaleb, Paolo Andreetto, Zdenek Sust, Jon K. Nilsen, John White, Anders Wäänänen, Valery Tschopp, Andrea Ceccanti, Danilo Dongiovanni, Oxana Smirnova, Oliver Keeble, Laurence Field, Andres Abad Rodriguez, Pablo Guerrero, Giuseppe Fiameni, Cristina Aiftimiei

Missing: AMGA, DGAS, SAGA SD-RAL, MPI

Minutes previous meeting:

- previous meeting, 22.08.2011, minutes available at <https://indico.cern.ch/materialDisplay.py?materialId=minutes&confId=144740>
- ACTION mentioned in the report are tracked in the EMI-EMT tracker

QA announcements:

PabloG:

- last week announcements:
 - Change Management Policy v. 3.1 – Changelog and details at https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EmiSa2ChangePolicy#5_Logbook (Details)
 - Release Checklist v. 3.0 – Changelog and details at <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/EMIReleaseChecklist> (Details)
 - Production Release Criteria v. 2.0 – Changelog and details at https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/EMI/ProductionReleaseCriteria#5_Changelog (Details)
 - not updated Packaging and Configuration & Integration policies, waiting for input from SA2-tools

AndresAR

- Debian status:
 - working to the etics-client
- HP nodes for SL6 will be probably available by the end of the week

Discussion:

CristinaA:

- to be able to build on SL6 the EMI repository structure should be prepared, and the thrid-party packages rebuild on SL6 – will try to prepare everything by next EMT meeting
- As for EMI 1 packages will be build in order of priority – voms, bdi, gridsite, etc

ACTION LIST (<https://savannah.cern.ch/task/?group=emi-emt>)

EMT incidents:

- #22244, ARC report on Immediate & High priority RfCs
- #22245, DataManagement: provide source rpms – OliverK – for DPM &LFC there is a n ETICS issue it cannot create more source rpms. The action will be updated with references to those issues
- #22247, InformationSystem: provide binary and source tarballs

EMT Documentation:

- 1 actions closed – #21136, LB Documentation in Release Pages

- no activity on other actions

EMI Release Status:

CristinaA:

- Update cycle: 22.08 – 01.09.2011 will contain: BDII core, site, top, dCache, FTS, STORM, WMS
 - FTS has some deployment problems - OliverK is following with Tomasz the latest issues
- Planned products updates: - reports for Open tasks should be provided before each EMT-meeting, as comments in the corresponding tasks
 - APEL
 - ARGUS
 - DPM & LFC v.1.8.2 – new tasks
 - Hydra – John will update the task with the status
 - LCMAPS plugins verify-proxy – waiting for Dennis to Certify it
 - UNICORE Client, TSI, UNICORE/X – agreed with Bernd & Bjorn to postpone to 22.Sept., Update 7

EMT report:

CristinaA:

- EMT metrics report was updated just before the meeting with a new version
- Details about the content of the report are in the agenda
 - **ARC** – will try to improve the report so that in the following week we can have a better image about their RfCs
 - **gLite Security** – trustmanager RfC [#83426](#) should be closed, it was released with Update 4
 - no answer from the gLite MPI PT about their RfC report
 - there is no RfC in the report that was not yet planned to be released
- **STORM** report, attached to the agenda – contains some High priority RfCs not yet taken in consideration
 - *MicheleD* – will discuss with the STORM PT about the due date of the next release of the STORM SE product that should include the fixes for this RfCs

GGUS situation:

CristinaA:

- Mathilde Romberg is monitoring the SLA with EGI, preparing the GGUS status report for each EMT-meeting, present in the agenda
- there are only 2 **SLA violations** - [#73662](#), [#73658](#) – gLite WMS
- **PTs are requested to act ASAP on their GGUS tickets**

AOB:

ChristianB – about GGUS ticket [#73851](#) – this tkt received an answer the can create confusion to a site-admin. The fact that there is a problem with the UMD documentaion and repositories should be treated differently. The ticket should be sent back to the DMSU with an indication that it should be forwarded to the UMD SU, if this exists. Answers like the one given in this ticket, referring to EMI repository and documentation should be avoided.

CristinaA & AndreaC – there is a problem with UMD documentation about UMD repository protection, PEB will be informed about the issue, and will try to also contact EGI UMD team to try to solve this type of issues.