

Logarithmic electroweak corrections

Elena Accomando

University of Torino

- Introduction
- Source and origin of the EW logarithms
- EW correction effects at colliders
- Vector boson pair production at the LHC
- Single top production at the LHC
- Summary and discussion hints

IFAE07, April 12th 2007

Introduction

In the energy range of future colliders $\sqrt{s} \gg M_W$, the electroweak corrections are enhanced by

large logarithmic contributions

In the past few years, the analysis of the high energy behaviour of the EW corrections has gathered a lot of interest

- on a general basis

DL origin and 1-loop structure are now well established

Resummation methods have been derived

- and for specific processes

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}, \quad \gamma\gamma \rightarrow f\bar{f}, \quad pp \rightarrow f\bar{f},$$

$$pp \rightarrow VV \rightarrow 4f, \quad pp \rightarrow tq$$

→


sizeable effects not only at e^+e^- and $\gamma\gamma$ LC

but also at Tevatron and LHC

Structure and origin of Log corrections

Sudakov Logs are related to the infrared structure of the theory

EW Leading Logs result as mass singularities from soft/collinear gauge bosons exchanged between external particles

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l < k} \sum_{V_a = A, Z, W^\pm} \text{Diagram} \propto \alpha \log^2 \left(\frac{|r_{kl}|^2}{M_W^2} \right)$$


Next-to-Leading Logs from RGE have UV origin

Unlike QED and QCD
the large EW Logs from virtual corrections are
physically significant
being M_W and M_Z a natural infrared cutoff

Structure and size of $O(\alpha)$ EW Logs

- Leading Logs (Sudakov) = $\alpha \log^2 (s/M_W^2)$
- Subleading Logs = $\alpha \log(s/M_W^2) \log(|t|/s)$
i.e. angular-dependent Logs $\simeq \alpha \log(s/M_W^2) \log(|1 \pm \cos\theta|)$
- Next-to-Leading Logs = $\alpha \log(s/M_W^2)$
- + $\log(M_W/m_f) + \log(M_W/\lambda)$

What is their size at a typical energy of 1 TeV?

for $f\bar{f}' \rightarrow f\bar{f}'$:

$$\frac{\delta\sigma_{LL}}{\sigma_{Born}} \simeq -\frac{\alpha}{\pi s_w^2} \log^2 \left(\frac{s}{M_W^2} \right) \simeq -26\%$$

$$\frac{\delta\sigma_{NLL}}{\sigma_{Born}} \simeq \frac{3\alpha}{\pi s_w^2} \log \left(\frac{s}{M_W^2} \right) \simeq 16\%$$

→ **Large cancellations!**

Extracting the Logs

- 1-loop structure and DL origin
[Ciafaloni and Comelli, Kühn and Penin]
- explicit calculation of DL corrections for
 $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$
[Melles, Beenakker and Werthenbach, Hori, Kawamura and Kodaira]
- SL 1-loop corrections for
 $e^+e^- \rightarrow WW$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$
[Beenakker, Kühn, Moch, Penin and Smirnov, Beccaria, Ciafaloni, Comelli, Renard, Verzegnassi]
- A powerful method for EW 1-loop corrections to
any exclusive process with N final state particles
[Denner and Pozzorini]
LL and NLL have been proven to factorize at HE
 $M(p_1, \dots, p_n) = M_{Born}(p_1, \dots, p_n)(1 + \delta_{EW})$
compact formulas
easy to automatize in a general Monte Carlo

Full agreement

What the impact at hadron colliders?

- **Drell-Yan processes**

[Baur, Brein, Hollik, Keller, Schappacher, Wacheroth, Dittmaier, Krämer]

$$pp \rightarrow \gamma, Z \rightarrow l^-l^+ \quad pp \rightarrow W \rightarrow l\nu_l$$

Low energy: $M_Z, \Gamma_Z, A_{FB} \rightarrow \sin^2\theta_{eff}^{lep} \quad M_W, \Gamma_W$

High energy: New Physics ($Z', W',$ extra-dimensions..)

NP effects could show up

at high invariant masses $M(l\nu_l)$ e $M(ll)$


Optimizing the sensitivity to new signals requires a
precise control of the background

QCD + EW corrections


- $pp \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ [Maina, Moretti, Nolten, Ross]

EW radiative effects on QCD processes
sizeable corrections to b-quark asymmetries

[Baur, Brein, Hollik, Schappacher, Wackerroth '01]



Tevatron



LHC

Gauge boson pair production at high energy

- Gauge structure of the Standard Model

first limits on anomalous couplings at Lep2 and Tevatron

from angular distributions

RunII and LHC will allow to extend the sensitivity to gauge couplings and possible anomalies

observing the high-energy behaviour of σ_{VV}

- Background to SUSY signals

QCD effects have been widely analyzed for

$$WW, ZZ, WZ, W\gamma \text{ e } Z\gamma$$

and implemented in different Monte Carlo's

sizeable contributions in the high $M(VV)$ and $P_T(V)$ regions
proper for Anomalous Couplings analyses

imposing a ‘‘jet-veto’’ is essential

Recent computations at NNLO [Adamson, De Florian, Signer...]

Electroweak corrections ?

Tevatron: energy and statistics are too low

LHC: EW effects \simeq QCD effects (jet veto)

Gauge boson pair production at high energy

ATLAS and CMS simulations have pointed out that

$$M(VV), P_T(V) \text{ and } \Delta y(V, l)$$

are some of the most sensitive variables to gauge couplings

In particular

$$P_T(V) \rightarrow \text{angular information} + \text{energy scale}$$

EW corrections increase with energy

$$\log^2 \left(\frac{M(VV)}{M_V} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \log \left(\frac{M(VV)}{M_V} \right)$$

but they contain also an angular dependence

$$\log \left(\frac{M(VV)}{M_V} \right) \cdot \log(1 \pm \cos\theta_V)$$

EW radiative effects are important in the very same region where new-physics effects could show up

the EBA is not enough for any decent data analysis


WZ , WW , and ZZ production at the LHC

structure and size of the $O(\alpha)$ EW corrections in

- $pp \rightarrow WZ + X \rightarrow l\nu_l l' \bar{l}'$
- $pp \rightarrow WW + X \rightarrow l\bar{\nu}_l \nu_{l'} \bar{l}'$
- $pp \rightarrow ZZ + X \rightarrow l\bar{l} l' \bar{l}'$

[E.A., Denner, Kaiser, Pozzorini]

as at Lep2 one can make use of the LPA





→ multi-scale processes

in the HE limit factorizable corrections associated to the decay $V \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ can be neglected at logarithmic level

the bulk is from $O(\alpha)$ corrections to the production process



Statistical significance of the $O(\alpha)$ EW corrections



$O(\alpha)$ effects already in the first year of LHC

Impact of EW corrections



WZ production: $O(\alpha)$ effects vs anomalous TGC's



EW $O(\alpha)$ effects can fake new-physics signals

Impact of EW corrections

WW production: $O(\alpha)$ effects vs anomalous TGC's




EW $O(\alpha)$ effects \gg than NP signals

EW and QCD correction interplay

radiation zero: a peculiar SM prediction in $pp \rightarrow l\nu_l l' \bar{l}'$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Delta y(Zl)} \text{ [fb]}$$

E.A., Denner, Kaiser



Baur, Han, Ohnemus




Figure 19

Strong interplay: EW corrections \simeq QCD + jet veto

$W\gamma$ and $Z\gamma$ production

they have been analysed at 1-loop in

- $pp \rightarrow l\nu_l\gamma$ [E.A., Denner, Meier]
- $pp \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ [Hollik, Meier, E.A., Denner]
- $pp \rightarrow l\bar{l}\gamma$ [Hollik, Meier, E.A., Denner]

Total Hadronic Cross-Sections			
Process	$O(\alpha)$	High-Lum	EW effect
$\nu_l\bar{\nu}_l\gamma$	-4.5%	0.5%	9σ
$l\bar{l}\gamma$	-6.7%	1.1%	6σ
$\nu_l\bar{l}\gamma$	-1.9%	0.6%	3σ


large impact already at low energy scales

although statistically relevant, the EW $O(\alpha)$ effect on TGC measurements has not been analysed yet!

$\gamma + j$, $Z + j$ and $W + j$ production

they have been analysed at 1-loop in

- $pp \rightarrow Z + j$ [Maina, Moretti, Nolten, Ross]
- $pp \rightarrow \gamma + j$ [Kuhn, Kulesza, Pozzorini, Schulze]
- $pp \rightarrow W + j$ [Kuhn, Kulesza, Pozzorini, Schulze]




sizeable impact on hadronic observables

EW effects and Single-top production

towards a precise determination of V_{tb}

- $pp \rightarrow tq$ [Beccaria, Macorini, Renard, Verzegnassi]

t quark production



EW corrections are competitive with NLO QCD

Weak boson emission

Real emission of W and Z can change $O(\alpha)$ predictions

[Ciafaloni, Comelli, Baur]

An example:

$pp \rightarrow W\gamma$			
$p_T(\gamma)$	$\delta(1 - loop)$	$\delta_{incl}(W^- \gamma V)$	$\delta_{veto}(W^- \gamma V)$
275 GeV	-8.0%	16.3 %	0.8%
525 GeV	-17.0%	33.8 %	2.1%
775 GeV	-23.4%	56.5 %	2.7%

strong exp. setup dependence

Summary and discussion hints

for theorists:

- Sudakov Logs origin and structure are well established
- also Resummation Methods have been derived

and phenomenologists:

- EW $O(\alpha)$ effects will be measurable at the LHC
- they are important for new-physics searches and precision measurements in
Drell-Yang, $V + j$, di-boson and top processes
- their size $O(5 - 40\%)$ is competitive with NLO QCD

a good point [Ciafaloni, Comelli, Baur]:

- soft W/Z real emission can give a terrific enhancement to $O(\alpha)$ effects
- and the experimental setup plays a major role

**EW radiative effects should be included
for any decent data analysis**

More on the method

- symmetric splitting of the virtual EW Log corrections

$$\delta_{EW} = \delta_{EW}|_{\lambda=M_W} + (\delta_{EW} - \delta_{EW}|_{\lambda=M_W})$$

fictitious heavy γ, Z with $M = M_W$ above the weak scale
plus a ‘subtracted electromagnetic’ term due to $\lambda \ll M_W$


- $\mu_R^2 = s \rightarrow$ only mass-singular Logs are large
- Not mass-suppressed matrix elements
- works with physical fields
mixing and mass gap between γ and Z
- fermions, $\gamma, W_T, W_L, Z_T, Z_L$ and H
as external particles
- Equivalence theorem
for longitudinal gauge bosons

In this framework

$$M = (1 + \delta^{LSC} + \delta^{SSC} + \delta^C + \delta^{PR})M_{Born}$$

- LSC contributions

when soft-collinear gauge bosons are exchanged between external pairs



$$\delta M \propto \sum_{k,l} \sum_{V_a = A, Z, W^\pm} I^{V_a} I^{\bar{V}_a} [\log^2(\frac{|r_{kl}|}{M_W^2}) - \delta_{V_a \gamma} \log^2(\frac{m_k^2}{\lambda^2})] M_{Born}$$

isolating the angular dependence

$$\log^2(\frac{|r_{kl}|}{M_W^2}) = \log^2(\frac{s}{M_W^2}) + 2\log(\frac{s}{M_W^2})\log(\frac{|r_{kl}|}{s}) + \log^2(\frac{|r_{kl}|}{s})$$

$$\delta^{LSC} = -\frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \left[C^{ew} \log^2(\frac{s}{M_W^2}) + Q^2 L^{em}(s, \lambda^2, m^2) \right]$$

$$L^{em}(s, \lambda^2, m^2) \propto 2\log(\frac{s}{M_W^2})\log(\frac{M_W^2}{\lambda^2}) + \log^2(\frac{M_W^2}{\lambda^2}) - \log^2(\frac{m^2}{\lambda^2})$$

- Subleading Soft-Collinear contributions

The angular-dependent contribution to δM


$$2\log\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right)\log\left(\frac{|r_{kl}|}{s}\right) = 2\log\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right)\log(|1 \pm \cos\theta|)$$

is slightly more complicated

For **neutral gauge boson** exchange

$$\delta^{SSC} M \propto \sum_{V_a=A,Z} 2I^V I^{\bar{V}} \left[\log\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right) + \log\left(\frac{M_W^2}{M_{V_a}^2}\right) \right] \log\left(\frac{|r_{kl}|}{s}\right) M_{Born}$$

For **charged gauge boson** exchange




$$\delta_W^{SSC} M \propto$$

$$\left[I_\gamma^+ M_{Born}^{\bar{d}d \rightarrow \gamma Z} + I_Z^+ M_{Born}^{\bar{d}d \rightarrow ZZ} + I_Z^+ M_{Born}^{\bar{u}u \rightarrow W^+ W^-} \right] \log\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right) \log\left(\frac{|t|}{s}\right)$$

- Collinear and Soft SL contributions

from field renormalization and mass-singular loop diagrams with collinear virtual gauge bosons emitted by an external leg

$$\sum_{V_a=A,Z,W^\pm} \text{Diagram} \rightarrow \text{coll. limit} \rightarrow \delta^{\text{coll}} M_{\text{Born}}$$


factorization has been proven [Denner and Pozzorini]

$$\delta^C M = \sum_k [\delta^{\text{coll}} + \text{FRC}] M_{\text{Born}}$$

very compact expressions for fermions, W 's, Z 's, γ and H

$$\delta^C \propto \alpha \left[\{C^{ew}, b^{ew}\} \log\left(\frac{s}{M_W^2}\right) + Q^2 l^{em} \right]$$

$$l^{em} \propto \log\left(\frac{M_W^2}{m^2}\right) + \log\left(\frac{M_W^2}{\lambda^2}\right)$$

- SL connected to parameter renormalization

related to UV divergences

$$\delta^{PR} M = \frac{\delta M}{\delta e} \delta e + \frac{\delta M}{\delta c_w} \delta c_w + \frac{\delta M}{\delta h_t} \delta h_t + \frac{\delta M}{\delta h_H} \delta h_H \Big|_{\mu^2=s}$$

$$h_t = m_t/M_W \text{ and } h_H = M_H^2/M_W^2$$