## Produzione di b al Tevatron

Stefano Torre INFN-LNF Collaborazione CDF

## Introduction

- CDF/D0 RUN I: b-quark production higher then expected from NLO theory
- Big theoretical effort to understand discrepancies:
  - **1.** NLO+resummation of  $log(p_T/m_b)$  (NLL) -> FONLL
  - 2. PDF fit improvements (CTEQ6M, MRST, ...)
  - 3. New fragmentation functions from LEP and SLC
  - FONLL + PDF + Frag. Fun. -> New prediction for Tevatron in 2002 [1]
    - + Theory and measurement are now compatible
    - Predictions affected by large uncertainties on renormalization and factorization (~40%)

Still some discrepancies between different measurements

- Tevatron RUN II: huge production of b quarks
  - Precise measurement of the cross section in different modes
  - Check the pattern of the different experimental results

[1] M.Cacciari and P.Nason, PRL 89, 122003 (2002)

# Heavy Flavor Production at pp

#### Leading Order Diagrams



 $\sigma_{h}$  is inferred from the measurement of the production rate as a function of  $p_{T}$  of the  $B_{\mu}$ hadrons or some of their decay products:

Next to Leading Order Diagrams



measured

 $dp_T\left(B,\overline{J/\psi,l}
ight)$ 

parton level calculation (NLO, FNLLO)

 $dp_{T}\left(b
ight)$ 

# Single b production [2]

| channel (ex.)  |        | $R$ for $p_T^{min}$ (GeV/c) =   |           |           |                 |       |       |
|----------------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------|-------|
|                |        | 6                               | 8-10      | 12-15     | 19-21           | ≈29   | ≈40   |
| $J/\Psi K^+$   | (CDF)  |                                 | 4.0±15%   | (3.4)     |                 |       |       |
| $J/\Psi K^+$   | (CDF)  |                                 | 2.9±23%   | (1.9)     |                 |       |       |
| μX             | (CDF)  |                                 |           |           | 2.5±26%         | (1.9) |       |
| eX             | (CDF)  |                                 |           | 2.4±23%   |                 |       |       |
| eDº            | (CDF)  |                                 |           |           | 2.1±34%         |       |       |
| J/ΨX           | (CDF)  |                                 | 4.0±10%   | (3.4)     |                 |       |       |
| J/ΨX           | (CDF2) |                                 | 3.1±9%    | (2.7)     |                 |       |       |
| μX             | (DØ)   | 2.1±27%                         |           | (1.7)     |                 |       |       |
| μX             | (DØ)   | 2.5±25%                         |           | (3.5)     |                 |       |       |
| b jets(μ) (DØ) |        |                                 |           |           | 2.4±20%         |       | (2.0) |
|                |        | $\langle R \rangle = \sigma(c)$ | data)/σ(N | LO  = 2.8 | 8. <i>RMS</i> = | = 0.7 |       |

Excluing  $J/\Psi < R > = 2.33$ , RMS = 0.19

[2] F.Happacher www-conf.kek.jp/dis06/doc/WG5/hfl20-happacher.ps

# B<sup>+</sup> -> $J/\psi$ + K<sup>+</sup> [3]

Very clean mode low uncertainties Exploit higher RUNII statistics + Reduce systematics as much as possible No L<sub>xv</sub> cut systematics + calculate eff. & acc. with MC + correct with eff. & acc. measured on Data + Kinematical cuts  $+ p_T(B^+) > 6 \text{ GeV/c I } p_T(\mu) > 2 \text{ GeV/c I } p_T(K) > 1.25 \text{ GeV/c}$ + Muon detector  $\ln l < 0.8$ + Tracking  $|\eta| < 1.3$  $\Rightarrow$  B candidates have |y| < 1

[3] CDF Collaboration, PRD 75, 012010 (2007)

## **B** candidates



#### # B cand. = 8197 ± 239

Fit Systematics: 2% (evaluated by varying fit range and bkg shape)

Acceptance and efficiency +MC: NLO + MRSD0 + Divide sample in 5  $p_T(B^+)$  bins +In each bin correct efficiency and acceptance +Use samples of unbiased  $J/\psi$  to correct Muon detector acceptance and efficiency Trigger primitive generation efficiency +Correct for tracking efficiency Interaction of kaon with detector material +Systematics: 2.5% (Luminosity syst.: 6%)

#### **Cross Section**

$$\frac{d\sigma(B^+)}{dp_T} = \frac{N/2}{\Delta p_T \times \mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{A}_{\text{corr}} \times BR}$$

σ<sub>B</sub><sup>+</sup>(p<sub>T</sub> ≥ 6 GeV/c, |y| < 1) = (2.78 ± 0.24) μb (4% stat)

 $R = 2.80 \pm 0.24$  (NLO)

In agreement with RUN II J/ψX measurement
Within values predicted by the FONLL calculation



#### bb correlations review

 Mostly b from Direct Production (LO) contribute to the measurement
 Disentangle LO and next-to-LO

| channel  | (experiment)      | $R_{2b}$ for $p_T^{min}$ (GeV/c)= |         |         |         |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|          |                   | 6-7                               | 10      | 15      | ~20     |
| b+b jets | CDF               |                                   |         | 1.2±25% |         |
| b+b jets | CDF               |                                   |         |         | 1.0±32% |
| μ+b jet  | CDF               |                                   | 1.5±10% |         |         |
| μ++μ-    | CDF               | 3.0±20%                           |         |         |         |
| μ++μ-    | DØ                | 2.3±33%                           |         |         |         |
|          | <r<sub>2b</r<sub> | > 1.8 with                        | ר RMS = | 0.8     |         |

# bb di-jet Production [4] +Use events selected from displaced track trigger + High statistics in no-prescaled triggers + Bias from the Silicon Vertex Trigger (SVT): Tight offline selection to remove trigger bias Measure trigger and b-tagging eff. in one single step in the MC Calculate efficiencies and acceptance using Pythia MC and correcting by scale factors

[4] http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/new/qcd/bb\_SVT\_07/bbcross.html

# **Tagging efficiency**







Contamination from b+q/g

- Use invariant mass of tracks associated to sec. vertex in the Jet
- Fit data with templates from MC





# **Integral Cross Section**

# Systematics: Luminosity: 6% Jet energy corrections: 13-20% Others SVT b-tagging efficiency B-jet purity determination: mass template sensitivity to tracking inefficiency

| CDF Run II Preliminary | $\sigma$ [pb]  |  |  |
|------------------------|--|--|--|
|                        | $ \eta_{1,2}  < 1.2, E_{T,1} > 35 \text{ GeV}, E_{T,2} > 32 \text{ GeV}$ |  |  |
| Data                   | $\sigma = 2360 \pm 70 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 530 \text{ (syst.)}$           |  |  |
| Pythia                 | $\sigma = 2140 \pm 22 \; (\mathrm{stat.})$                               |  |  |
| Herwig                 | $\sigma = 2201 \pm 29 \; (\mathrm{stat.})$                               |  |  |
| MC@NLO+Jimmy           | $\sigma = 2259 \pm 44 \text{ (stat.)}$                                   |  |  |



# Summary

- b quark production measurement in RUN I found rates higher than theoretical expectations
- Interplay between theory and measurement fundamental to understand nature
- Different measurements provide different results, providing weak constraints to theory -> improving!
- New Tevatron measurements, thanks to higher statistics and better theory, will allow to clarify the general picture

New modes need to be checked

 Understand production rates at 2TeV will be a starting point for the upcoming 14TeV data



# NLO - FONLL

#### + NLO

◆ Uses Peterson fragmentation function (ε = 0.006)
◆ MRSD0 fits to the PDF
◆ FONLL
◆ NLO + NLL (20%)
◆ CTEQ6M fits to the PDF (20%)
◆ Fragmentation functions consistent with the accuracy of calculation (30-40%)



## The players of the game

Theory (NLO, FONLL, PDF...)

MC@NLO, MNR And/or Detector simulation Shower Monte Carlo (HERWIG, Pythia) -BGenerator

Acceptance and efficiency Tune shower

MC Decay + Detector simulation



## MC

- Generate events based on NLO calculation
- PDF: MRSD0
- Decay B using EvtGen for B decays
- GEANT simulation of CDF
- Simulation of L1 and L2 primitives and algorithms



#### Acceptances and efficiencies

| p <sub>T</sub> range<br>(GeV) | <p<sub>T&gt; (GeV)</p<sub> | Acc x ε (%)<br>from MC | Acc x ε (%)<br>Data<br>corrected |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 6-9                           | 7.37                       | 1.53                   | 1.73 ± 0.04                      |
| 9-12                          | 10.38                      | 3.78                   | 4.28 ± 0.11                      |
| 12-15                         | 13.39                      | 5.94                   | 6.74 ± 0.18                      |
| 15-25                         | 19.10                      | 8.81                   | 9.98 ± 0.26                      |
| ≥25                           |                            | 13.20                  | $14.96 \pm 0.40$                 |

• Acc x ε obtained from MC for B with Pt>6 and |y|<1

• correction factor (DATA<sub>eff</sub>/MC<sub>eff</sub>) =  $1.134\pm0.034$ 

•  $\langle p_T \rangle$  is defined as  $\sigma(\langle p_T \rangle)$  = average  $\sigma$  over  $p_T$  bin

# Eff. & Acc. correction table

| Source          | Data                         | Data MC                      |               |
|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| COT tracking    | (0.996±0.006) <sup>3</sup>   | (0.998±0.002) <sup>3</sup>   | 1.00 ± 0.02   |
| CMU acc. & eff. | (0.625±0.007) <sup>2</sup>   | (0.6426±0.0004) <sup>2</sup> | 0.945 ± 0.022 |
| CMU & XFT prim. | (0.9247±0.0004) <sup>2</sup> | (0.8362±0.0004) <sup>2</sup> | 1.223 ± 0.002 |
| L1 eff.         | 0.9925±0.0009                | 1                            | 0.9925±0.0009 |
| L2 eff.         | 0.9948±0.0001                | 1                            | 0.9948±0.0001 |
| L3 eff.         | (0.997±0.002) <sup>2</sup>   | la=_   < 1                   | 0.994±0.004   |
| Total           | 0.324±0.009                  | 0.2853 ± 0.001               | 1.134±0.034   |

Final scale factor (DATA<sub>eff</sub>/MC<sub>eff</sub>) =  $1.134\pm0.034$ 

#### Correction to efficiencies (1)

COT track reconstruction efficiency

- MC 0.998 ± 0.002 (per track)
- Data: 0.996 ± 0.006 [J/ψ xsec paper: Phys. Rev. D71, 032001 (2005)]
  - MC hits embedding in  $J/\psi$  data

L3 efficiency (data only)
 0.997± 0.002 (per muon track) [J/ψ xsec paper]

### Correction to CMU acc. time eff.

- CMU detector acceptance and eff. (for tracks w/ Pt> 2GeV &  $|\eta| < 0.8$ )
- MC 0.6426 ± 0.0004 (per muon)
- In  $\mu$ +SVT data we count all J/ $\psi$  made w/ trigger CMU & SVT track
  - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  then we count all J/ $\psi$  that have a second offline CMU
  - events reweighed to reproduce MC distributions (next slide)
  - Data 0.625 ± 0.007 (no reweigh 0.632 ± 0.006)



# Base distribution for CMU acc. times eff.

- Compare data vs MC eta/pt distributions of probe SVT track
- green is data: ( $\mu$ +SVT) enter plot for unbiased leg only (bkg subtract.)
- black is MC: enter plot for both legs
- ratio of distributions is used to reweigh data



## Correction to trigger efficiency

- CMU L1 primitive efficiency (for CMU muons w/ Pt> 2GeV &  $|\eta| < 0.8$ )
- MC 0.8362 ± 0.0004 (per muon)
- JPSI CMUP4 data: count J/ $\psi$  with CMU&XFT primitive Pt>4GeV & CMU
  - then count J/ $\psi$  with two primitives Pt>4 & Pt>2 GeV
  - events reweighed to reproduce MC distributions (next slide)
  - Data 0.9247 ± 0.0004 (no reweigh 0.9228 ± 0.0004)



# Correction to CMU trigger eff.

- Compare data vs MC eta/pt distributions of probe CMU muon
- green is data: (JPSI\_CMUP4) enter plot for unbiased leg (bkg subtract.)
- black is MC: enter plot for both CMU muons
- ratio of distributions is used to reweigh data



# **Uncertainties summary**

| Uncertanity source        | Relative uncertanity (%) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Luminosity                | 6                        |
| BR                        | 4.3                      |
| statistical               | 4.2                      |
| Acc. time eff. systematic | 3.0                      |
| Total                     | 9.0                      |