

## CMS EMU CSC Upgrade





## **Overall View of Data Acquisition System**





## Cathode Front End Board (CFEB)

#### **Optimized for Precision Position Measurement**

- 5 cfebs/chamber, 96 strips/cfeb
- 96 switch capacitors/strip
- system is self triggering



**BUCKEYE (ASIC) - amplifies and shapes input pulse** 

SCA (ASIC) - analog storage for 20 MHz sampled input pulse

**ADC** - events with LVL1ACC digitized and sent to DAQ Motherboard (25 nsec/word)

Comparator ASIC - generates trigger hit primitives from shaped pulse

Controller FPGA - controls SCA storage and digitization

#### Input/Output

96 channels input from chamber strips		
from DMB, if CLCT is available, CLCT>DMB		
>CFEB,		
if CLCT is not available,>FTC>DMB>CFEB,		
if Calibration mode, DMB>CFEB		
From DAQMB,		
- if CCB is available, CCB>DMB>CFEB, or CCB		
>FTC>DMB>CFEB - if CCB is not available, FTC		
(LCT delay)>DMB>CFEB, or, DMB		
(LCTdelay)>CFEB,		
<ul> <li>if Calibration mode, DMB&gt;CFEB;</li> </ul>		
0-5V adjustable for external, internal charge injection for		
BUCKEYE from DAC on DMB		
+10V and -5V voltage references from DMB		
Strip charge ADC data, Through 21-bit channel link to		
DMB		
Comparator Triads through two 28-bit multiplexers to		
CLCT;		
End channel signals to neighboring boards, analog		
preamp signals and digital comparator signals		
Temperature sensor output, to DMB, program done		
from DMB, reset DMB and CFEBs, and synchronize the		
50ns clock on DMB and CFEBs		
40MHz, from DMB		
from DMB, re-program the FPGA from PROM on CFEB		
from DMB, controls: BUCKEYE data shift, FPGA		
resets, ISP-PROM download, CFEB status monitor, etc.		
PREBLOCKEND (4 bits) Block Phase Shift		
PROM programming data (about 500K bits);		
BUCKEYE working mode (normal, internal capacitor		
select, external, kill, 3bits/channel);		
Comparator timing (3 bits), working mode (2 bits) and		
threshold		
+6V: for BUCKEYE clean power (550-600mA)		
+5V: for SCA, ADC, comparator, etc. (900-1000mA)		
+3.3V: for FPGA, Channel link, CPLD, etc.(450-		
500mA)		
+5V and +3.3V power supplies are subject to change.		



- ME1/1 most important chamber for P<sub>T</sub> Resolution
- Also the Highest Background rates for n's and  $\gamma$ 's

### L1 Accept: 100 kHz LCT rate: 69 kHz per CFEB (worst case – ME1/1)

Estimated LCT rate for 10\*\*34 lumi (D. Acosta et al, 2001)

Chamber Type	LCT rate per CFEB (kHz)
ME1/1	69
ME1/2	4
ME1/3	2
ME2/1	21
ME2/2	3
ME3/1	11
<b>ME3/2</b>	2
ME4/1	8
ME4/2	9

(Recent measurements of singles background consistent with these rates)

L1-LCT coincidence rate per CFEB: 100 kHz x 70 kHz x 75 ns = 0.5 kH Digitization time (with 6 ADCs on each CFEB) 16 channels x 16 samples/channel x 100 ns = 26 μs



- CFEB's 96 Capacitors/channel is main DAQ rate limiter
- Capacitor Storage Arranged in 12 blocks of 8 capacitors

## Simple Model CFEB Capacitor Storage





## We Expect ME1/1 CFEB SCA's to Overflow

- At SLHC: use same L1 accept rate assuming rates go up linearly. Maximum LCT rate is 700 kHz (ME1/1), L1-LCT match rate is 5.25 kHz.
- Average number of LCTs during 5.2 μs (=6μs-0.8μs) holding time for 2-blocks: η=5.2x10<sup>-6</sup>x700x10<sup>3</sup>=3.64
- Average number of L1-LCT matches during 26 μs digitization time: ρ=26x10<sup>-6</sup>x5.25x10<sup>3</sup>=0.1365
- Probability of overuse of SCA: 0.09 !!!!!!!!

n	Free	Used	<b>Ρ(</b> η, <b>n</b> )	<b>Q(</b> ρ, <b>n)</b>
0	12	0	0.026	0.86
1	10	2	0.095	0.12
2	8	4	0.174	1.60E-02
3	6	6	0.211	2.10E-03
4	4	8	0.192	3.00E-04
5	2	10	1.40E-01	4.10E-05
6	0	12	8.50E-02	5.60E-06



Replace SCA storage and Conventional ADC and with Flash ADC and Digital Storage





## A Problem with ME1/1 Triggering



B. Bylsma, CMS Upgrade Workshop, FNAL, Nov. 8, 2011



## ME4/2 Linked to ME1/1 Upgrade

New ME4/2 chambers need boards. Propose 514 new cards ME1/1 Old cards to populate ME4/2 Upgrade

ME1/1 Obvious for First Upgrade

- Handles highest particle flux
- Most important for momentum resolution.

<u>Removes ganged strips in ME1/1a</u>

DCFEBs were designed for high Luminosity.





## **Improve Board-Board Communication**

### Present CFEB-Trigger CFEB-DMB/TMB Communications

2 50-pin Skew-Clear Cables per CFEB Channel Links 280 Mb/s ME1/1 Cable Max Spec Length (a few problems) DMB/TMB boards need 7 (Skew –Clear won't fit) Special Manufacturing ...

Preferred Option Replace Skew-Clear Cables with Optical Fiber (Snap-12) Room for 7 CFEBs on DMB/TMB More Reliable COTs

**Cheaper than copper** 





## DCFEB R&D Prototype



- Prototype to Test Buckeye Amplifier Connection to FLASH ADCs
  - use most of old CFEB layout , input protection, noise isolation, trigger path
  - use old CFEBs Pcrate communications
  - 4 differential amp options
  - realistic Virtex-6 digital pipeline
  - fiber optical output for tests
- Two cards received in mid-March
  - Fab: Compunctics, 20 layer board, special dielectric (Dk=3.5) to reduce thickness with same trace impedance
  - assembly: Dynalab
- Bench Testing
  - OSU test station, Pcrate
  - CERN building 904

### We can bypass 2<sup>nd</sup> Prototype and go to Preproduction Board





## **Buckeye 5-pole plus 1-pole 1-zero Fits**





## Fit Results by Coupling Type

Coupling	# Amps	Q <sub>peak</sub> ADC counts	t <sub>start</sub> nsec	p0 nsec <sup>-1</sup>	p1 nsec <sup>-1</sup>	z1 nsec <sup>-1</sup>
Quad Diff	31	2696±21	32.0 ±0.4	0.0390 ±0.0002	0.00412 ±0.00010	0.00290 ±0.0001
Sing Diff	15	2696 ±30	32.1 ±0.4 -18.2 ±0.4	0.0387 ±0.0002	0.00422 ±0.00003	0.00289 ±0.0002
DC	15	2802 ±32	33.7 ±0.9	0.0382 ±0.0003	0.00424 ±0.00010	0.00292 ±0.0001
AC	8	2244 ±92	29.3 ±0.4	0.0168 ±0.0003	0.0148 ±0.0007	0.00210 ±0.0001

• Quad Diff, Single Diff, and DC coupling reproduce same shape to 1%

• All Buckeye couplings work except AC so reject option

• Gain is ~0.93 mV/fC.

There is a small difference in pulse shape between DCFEB and CFEB pulses. The DCFEB peaks at 4/p0=103 nsec while the CFEB peaks at 110 nsec. We believe this is due to capacitance load when the CFEB measurements were take. This will be checked.





## **Linearity and Saturation Test**

- Inject amplifier channels with 18 linear steps in voltage
- Fit Buckeye Pulses to 5 pole shaper with 1-pole 1-zero tail cancellations









## Linearity and Saturation Test (cont.)



## Gain is 0.95 mV/fC (same as old buckeye board)







## Slewing, Capacitance Load

#### Load Buckeye Input with Capacitance



С	$\mathbf{Q}_{peak}$ (counts)	$\mathbf{t}_{peak}$ (nsec)
0 pF	2671	101
100 pF	2600	102
300 pF	2432	108
500 pF	2264	117

С	σ (ADC Counts)
0 pF	1.5
100 pF	1.7
300 pF	2.2
500 pF	2.7

#### Amplifier Slewing ~3 nsec





# Use 904 Spare ME2/1, Fully Configured System 17/7/11-27/7/11

(Not a Hospitable Working Environment) Will improve with new FED Crate + VME Controller

-CFEB 5 replaced with new DCFEB Prototype

-First time DCFEB prototype on a chamber

-Full DAQ readout working. DMB headers and trailers appended. DDU headers and trailers appended

-Trigger Comparators working Readout impossible without new FED Crate/Controller

New DCFEB prototype is a plug in compatible for old CFEB



## **DCFEB** Prototype Channel Noise

DCFEB and CFEB1 Noise  $\sigma$ 

DCFEB Pedestals – Typical Chip



### DCFEB Prototype Quieter than Old CFEB No SCA so noise reduces by 1.3 ADC counts in quadrature



## **DCFEB** Prototype Gain



Pulse Single Channels varying Pulse timing

Peak Charge (ADC Counts)

Chip/Chan	DCFEB	CFEB1	CFEB2
3/7	2495	2496	2442
3/8	2503	2495	2396
4/7	2574	2436	2612
4/8	2553	2427	2582
5/7	2510	2496	2561
5/8	2496	2495	2514

-Gain Variation – ~1% within Buckey chip -Chip-Chip variations larger -Gain Identical to old CFEB -Cross Talk nearly identical to old CFEB



Fit Pulse to 5 pole with pole-zero tail cancellation (with cross talk this is not strictly correct)



Tail cancellation shape a major systematic in fit)

B. Bylsma, CMS Upgrade Workshop, FNAL, Nov. 8, 2011

Peak Time from Fit (nsec)				
DCFEB	CFEB1	CFEB2		
108.8	104.8	106.2		
108.0	104.4	106.3		
110.9	106.1	107.4		
111.1	105.5	107.5		
109.6	106.8	106.4		
110.4	106.0	107.1		
109.8	105.6	106.8		
	CFEB         108.8         108.0         110.9         111.1         109.6         110.4         109.8	DCFEB       CFEB1         108.8       104.8         108.0       104.4         110.9       106.1         111.1       105.5         109.6       106.8         110.4       106.0         109.8       105.6		

P

 $\mathbf{T}^{\bullet}$ 

**•** 

Negligible increase of peaking time seen +3-4 nsec, Another pole-zero lurks somewhere...



## Trigger Path:

- •Uses GTX transceiver in the Virtex 6 FPGA
- •Optical transfer of comparator hits to TMB
- •Unambiguously transmit 48 bits every 25ns
- •Fixed latency of 5 CMS clocks (transmit/receive)
- •Line rate of 3.2 Gbps.
- •Bench tested from DCFEB comparators through TMB mezzanine board.

Data Path:

- •Uses GTX transceiver in the Virtex 6 FPGA
- •Optical transfer of digitized samples to DMB
- •Data sent in raw ethernet MAC frame 1 event/packet
- •2.56 GbE, line rate 3.2 Gbps
- •Bench tested from DCFEB to DCFEB at 3.2Gbps then retransmitted to DMB over Skewclear



### Xilinx XCF128X:

- •For non-volatile storage of FPGA configuration data.
- •No JTAG port, requires indirect programming.
- •Intend to use unoccupied memory for parameter storage.
- •Use Slave SelectMap x16 @ 40 MHz for loading FPGA
  •Wrote BPI interface for programming the PROM
  •Can program or read back < 4 min.</li>



Need to test communications through the fiber link
All TTC communications to the DCFEB will be through the 320 Mbps fiber link.
FF-Lynx protocol
Will be tested using the EMU-CC



Components to be removed

•Switches, headers, Skewclear connector for trigger. Component/Design Changes

- •Have chosen quad amp interface to flash ADCs
- •Redesign power distribution / voltage regulators

Additional Components for the next DCFEB:

- •Additional optical transceiver
- •FF-EMU chip
- •DAC for calibration references

•ADC for monitoring calibration refs, comp.

- threshold, temp, etc.
- •Reference voltage connector

(refs for preamp are now from LVDB)



PCB production issues:

- •Board thickness / drill aspect ratio / reliability
- •Working with manufacture to define optimal impedance control parameters to minimize board thickness Assembly issues:
  - •Single amp option (QFN) had many bad connections
  - •Chose quad amp option as more reliable
  - •BGA connections should improve with thermal profile