View on recent results of ATLAS and CMS

Paris Sphicas CERN & University of Athens Amsterdam Particle Physics Symposium Nov 30, 2011

- Prelude reasons for this talk
 - (High) expectations from the LHC
- A quick tour of pp collisions at 7 TeV
 - Strong interaction physics (jets, QCD); Electroweak signals (W/Z production & properties); The top quark (still there)
- Searching for New Physics
 - Closing in on the Higgs; evidence for new physics!
 - Where is SUSY? Searches for exotica
- So what next? More data (lumi?); higher energy?
- Summary

Summary of the talk (I)

• At 95% CL:

- APPS participants are aware of the fact that the LHC has had a spectacular year, breaking luminosity records and all expectations
- Most people are aware of the incredibly successful operation of ATLAS and CMS
- Standard model (SM) of particle physics reigns supreme in pp collisions at 7 TeV
- The mass of the SM Higgs boson is not in the ranges M_H<114 or M_H>141 GeV
- ♦ R_P-conserv: gluinos, 1st/2nd-gen squarks, not lighter than ~0.5TeV
- There exist no new resonances with mass <~2 TeV
- There are no spectacular signatures from objects of mass ~few TeV decaying "democratically" to lots of jets, MET, leptons....
- Most of the information in this talk is already well known
- Standard model of human behavior reigns supreme in pp collisions at 7 TeV (some level of worry has set in; still in control)

Summary of the talk (II)

- At 100% CL, all the reasons for building the LHC are still there, intact:
 - The WW cross section regulator is still missing. (S)he must be there before we explore fully the 1 TeV.
 - Old name: "LHC no-lose theorem"; new name: "not finding the Higgs is a major discovery"
 - Any (reasonable) M_H unnatural; Higgs needs its own regulator
 - Old name: SUSY; New name: SUSY; its main prediction is (so far) vindicated ⁽³⁾
 - Old CW: SUSY around the corner; New CW: she's in the third generation (stop, sbottom)
 - Other stuff:
 - Extra-dimension physics, new gauge bosons, leptoquarks, fourth fermion Generation, quark substructure... Still huge space of unexplored physics
- The best has yet to come read on.



What was (and still is) expected from the LHC?

The question: why where the "expectations from the LHC" so, so very high?

What (new elements) the LHC experiments bring in

- All that has been developed and learned up to "now" is "in" CMS and ATLAS
 - With the exception of track and vertex triggering [UPGRADES!]
 - The precision of all devices and their coverage represents major steps forward with respect to the previous generation
- There are two major new elements brought in:
 - Tough: rad-hardness; can withstand huge luminosities
 - Quick: can process 100 kHz of LvI-1, can store 500-1000 Hz
- Both summarized in "extreme selectivity"



30 years (1980-2010) spent in looking for the "completion or the breakdown of the Standard Model"

One machine that-was-not-to-be (SSC) One machine-that-was-to-be (LHC)

And in between, we were told to "excite the public"

(and excite we did: outreach information is up by two orders of magnitude)

Conventional Wisdom (pre-LHC startup): "Turn on the LHC and find Higgs & SUSY"

"Turn on the LHC and find Higgs & SUSY"

ATLAS and CMS were designed to do this; they were (are) "guaranteed" to find the Higgs – .; "easily".



"Turn on the LHC and find Higgs & SUSY"

- ATLAS and CMS were designed to do this; they were "guaranteed" to find the Higgs – period; right away
 - In fact: SUSY is strongly produced, so will be observed first
 - For the "impatient": join SUSY physics group



"Turn on the LHC and find Higgs & SUSY"

- ATLAS and CMS were designed to do this; they were "guaranteed" to find the Higgs – period; right away
 - In fact: SUSY is strongly produced, so will be observed first
 - For the "impatient": join SUSY physics group
 - For the "patient" ones: join the Higgs group



Turn on the LHC and find Higgs & SUSY

- ATLAS and CMS were designed to do this; they were "guaranteed" to find the Higgs – period; right away
 - In fact: SUSY is strongly produced, so will be observed first
 - For the "impatient": join SUSY physics group
 - For the "patient" ones: join the Higgs group
 - For all others:
 - For those who like smaller analyses: join the Exotica group
 - For those who like finding something:

→QCD, EWK, B physics, ...

Surprise #1

Really fast turn-on: detector performance

The startup of the experiments was the biggest discontinuity with the past: it was fast and efficient.



P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

So what followed? The LHC Tour de Force

Jets



W/Z at 7 TeV: (still) clean & beautiful



W/Z production (+LHC-specific obs)



- Excellent agreement between data and simulation
- Good agreement with NNLO+PDF theory predictions



- Move to "new environment": ◆ σ(W⁺)≠σ(W⁻) (~1.4)
 - ♦ Ø(W^{*})≠Ø(W) (~1.4
 ♦ W polarization

W production: charge asymmetry



P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

W/Z + jets

- Background for top and new physics; especially at high p_T(W/Z); each jet "costs" ~α_s
- Jet multiplicity and p_T distributions
 - Good description by state-of-the art QCD NLO calculations and LO multiparton generators





18

LHC-specific: W polarisation in pp



The most complex SM signal: the top



muon+jets event

electron+muon event

HCP at Paris, 14/11/2011

3

Tae Jeong Kim

Top physics @ 7 TeV



CMS Preliminary, s=7 TeV



P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results



The biggest new tool: jet substructure



P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

Small, tricky signals as well

Single-top production



Standard model in pp collisions @ 7 TeV



Closing in on the Higgs

A big hint that new physics is "there"

HCP 2011: combination of ATLAS + CMS

- At 95% CL: Higgs not in 141-476 GeV
- At 90% CL: Higgs not above 132 GeV (!)



Scale of New Physics = F(M_H)



Zooming in: some good news



Where is the new physics? Searches for signs of exotic New Physics

Many (many) possibilities

- Compositeness; new contact interaction(s)
- Exotica:
 - Leptoquarks
 - New gauge bosons (W', Z') or resonances
 - Fourth generation (b')
 - TeV-scale gravity: Black Holes; mono-jets; mono-photons; UED
 - Universal Extra dimensions (diphotons)
- Supersymmetry
 - Squarks and gluinos
 - Decays into jets and MET plus 0, 1 or 2 leptons
 - Decays into photons (GMSB)
- SUSY-based exotica
 - Long-lived particles
- The totally unexpected

Searches...



P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

(Null) search for W'









Combined (SSM) limit: M(W')>2.20 TeV obs M(W')>2.27 TeV exp

Figure 3: Transverse mass distribution (left) and cumulative distribution (right) for the muon channel.

P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

(Null) search for BHs

arXiv:1012.3375



P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

Supersymmetry

Supersymmetry: TO"E" at the Weak Scale

 For a small price increase (one principle plus an unknown SB mechanism → 500% increase in number of parameters), achieves quite a lot:



P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

SUSY search with ME_T: summary of 2010

No signs yet. But all analysis methods in place; now need more data (2011!)



Nov 30-Dec 2, 2011

View on CMS & ATLAS results

Surprise #2

In brief: SUSY moving further out



P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

Constrained MSSM



Then again...

- A bit of a self-fulfilling prophecy; early searches were guided by combination of "probability of success" and "obeying the rules":
 - Go after high cross section processes (i.e. accessible at low luminosity ~10-50 pb⁻¹)
 - Do not rely on a perfectly working detector: seek robust signatures with good experimental control of "things"
 - Do not rely on Monte Carlo; "thou shall use the data" (well, ok, and some Monte Carlo)
 - Beat the competition: go after the simplest signatures

We have followed these four guidelines extremely well

- (another reason to rejoice when we set to do stnhg, we do)
- (another reason to think that there is much, much more)

What the LHC has done to/for the CMSSM

- With 1fb⁻¹ of data the amount of naturalness need has diminished to "unnaturally" small values [?!?!]
 - CMSSM being cornered. Not excluded [yet] but looking unlikely [e.g. "high fine-tuning price of the LHC" hep.ph/1101.2195]
 - But: (a) effect of g-2 ?! (b) SUSY >> CMSSM



SUSY is far from excluded (let alone dead)

- Simple models (e.g. universal soft masses) being squeezed
- Numerous other scenarii still very much unprobed [thus very unconstrained]. Two examples:
 - Large flavor splitting: very heavy squarks [1st, 2nd gen], light 3rd gen (plus gluino at ~1-1.5 TeV)
 - Low ME_T: not only within R_p-violation; small mass splittings (would be equally lethal to ME_T signature)
 - Could even have all sparticles with mass < ~0.5 TeV...



SUSY: we will always have the stop

Only the stop (+sb) need be light [e.g. Barbieri @ HCP 2011]





(to be made more precise in any given SB-mediation scheme) see, e.g., Dimopoulos, Giudice for SUGRA-mediation, 1995



Some incredible signatures...

$$pp \to \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \to tt\bar{t}t + \chi\chi$$
$$pp \to \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \to tt\bar{t}b(\bar{t}\bar{t}\bar{t}b) + \chi\chi$$
$$pp \to \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \to tt\bar{b}\bar{b}(\bar{t}\bar{t}bb) + \chi\chi$$
$$pp \to \tilde{g}\tilde{g} \to t\bar{t}b\bar{b} + \chi\chi$$
$$\chi = \chi^{\pm}, \chi_1, \chi_2$$

P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

Recently: use of simplified models

CMSSM



Simplified Model Spectrum (SMS) with 3 particles, 2 decay modes

P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

Simplified Model Spectra

- Started with squark and gluino pairproduction topologies
- Limits are "best of N" searches (usually not a combination)
- Black lines are QCDlike cross sections
- Theoretical uncertainties like ISR simulation important (under study)



400

600

Mass scales (GeV/c^2)

0.98 fb^{-1} , gluino

200

T5zz

 $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq \tilde{\chi}_2^0$

1000

800

SUSY: what we do not know

\tilde{u}_L, \tilde{d}_L	\tilde{u}_R	$ ilde{d}_R$	$\tilde{e}_L,\tilde{\nu}_L$	\tilde{e}_R	$ ilde{h}^\pm, ilde{h}^0_u, ilde{h}^0_d$	$ ilde{b}^0$	$ ilde{w}^{\pm}, ilde{w}^{0}$	$ ilde{g}$
Q	U	D	L	E	Н	В	W	G
M_Q	M_U	M_D	M_L	M_E	M_H	M_B	M_W	M_G

- Agnostic approach: consider all possible mass hierarchies: there are 9! = 362880 of them
 - ME_T: 4x8! (161,280) cases, LSP=weakly-interacting, neutral particle; phenomenology depends crucially on mass hierarchy
 - CHAMPs: 8! (40,320) cases, LSP=e_R (charged, color-neutral); signature: CHAMP (independently of hierarchy)
 - R-hadrons: 4x8! (161,280) cases, LSP=colored object; again, independent of hierarchy

arXiv:1008.2483: "How to look for supersymmetry under the lamppost at the LHC"; P.Konar, K.Matchev, M.Park, G.Sarangi

Heavy Stable Charged Particles

Both in SUSY and other SM extensions:

- SUSY (split SUSY: M(gluino)<<M(squark) → long lifetime; GMSB models: stau NLSP, decaying via gravitational coupling only...)
- Other: hidden valleys; GUTs; ...
- Two types of signatures: MIP & strongly-interacting

MIP: HSCP passes through tracker & muon chambers

R-hadrons traversing material can flip Q or become neutral

dE/dx: Massive, charged particles traversing detector: highly ionizing tracks (tracker, possibly muon dets) (Out-of-time) Jet: particles stopping in the detector and decaying – possibly out-oftime with the collisions

Heavily ionizing tracks



P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results

Stopped gluinos

Slow (β < 0.4) long-lived gluinos hadronize into and then stop in the dense material of the CMS detector

Their number builds up with luminosity: They then decay µs,

Counting experiment and time-profile analysis are performed



			ATLAS Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits (EPS-HEP 2011)			
		MSUGRA/CMSSM : 0-lep + E _{T,miss}	L=1.04 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [preliminary]	980 Gev q̃ = ĝ̃ mass		
		Simplified model (light ${\widetilde \chi}_4^0)$: 0-lep + ${m E}_{{ m T,miss}}$	L=1.04 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [preliminary]	1.075 Tev	ATLAS	
		Simplified model (light $\overline{\chi}_{4}^{0}$) : 0-lep + $E_{\text{T,miss}}$	L=1.04 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [preliminary]	850 GeV q̃ mass	Preliminary	
		Simplified model (light ${ar \chi}_1^0)$: 0-lep + ${m E}_{{ m T,miss}}$	L=1.04 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [preliminary]	800 GeV g mass	G	
		Simplified model : 0-lep + b-jets + E _{T.miss}	L=0.83 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-098]	720 GeV g̃ mass (for <i>m</i> (b̃) < 600 GeV)	$I dt = (0.031 - 1.21) \text{ fb}^{-1}$	
	\prec	Pheno-MSSM (light $\overline{\chi}_{1}^{0}$) : 2-lep SS + $E_{T,miss}$	L=35 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1103.6214]	690 GeV q̃ mass	Jean (0.001-1.21)10	
	SN	Pheno-MSSM (light $\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$) : 2-lep OS _{SE} + $E_{\text{T,miss}}$	L=35 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1103.6208]	558 GeV q̃ mass	√s = 7 TeV	
	S	GMSB (GGM) + Simpl. model : ΫΫ + Ε _{T.miss}	L=36 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1107.0561]	560 GeV ĝ mass		
		GMSB : stable ₹	L=37 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1106.4495] 136 GeV 🛛 🕇 🕅	nass		
		Stable massive particles : R-hadrons	L=34 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1103.1984]	562 GeV g mass		
		Stable massive particles : R-hadrons	L=34 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1103.1984]	294 GeV Ď mass		
		Stable massive particles : R-hadrons	L=34 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1103.1984]	309 Gev Ť mass		
		RPV (λ_{311} =0.01, λ_{312} =0.01) : high-mass eµ	L=0.87 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [preliminary]	440 GeV V _q mass		
		Large ED (ADD) : monojet	L=1.00 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-096]	3.2 TeV M _D	(δ=2)	
	S	UED : $\gamma\gamma$ + E	L=36 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1107.0561]	961 Gev Compact. scale 1/R		
	ion.	RS with $k/M_{\rm Pl} = 0.1 : m_{\gamma\gamma}$	L=36 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-044]	1-044) 920 Gev Graviton mass		
	sue	RS with $k/M_{Pl} = 0.1 : m_{ee/\mu\mu}$	L=1.08-1.21 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [preliminary] 1.63 TeV Graviton mass			
	lime	RS with top couplings $g_1 = 1.0, g_R = 4.0 : m_{tt}$	L=200 pb ⁻¹ (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-087] 650 GeV KK gluon mass			
	p e.	Quantum black hole (QBH) : $\hat{m}_{\text{dijet}}, F(\chi)$	L=36 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1103.3864]	3.67 TeV	<i>Λ_D</i> (δ=6)	
	≣xtr	QBH : High-mass σ_{t+X}	L=33 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-070] 2.35 TeV M _D			
	F	ADD BH $(M_{th}/M_{D}=3)$: multijet $\Sigma \rho_{T}, N_{jets}$	L=35 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-068] 1.37 TeV M _D (δ=6)			
		ADD BH $(M_{th}/M_{D}=3)$: SS dimuon $N_{ch. part.}$	L=31 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-065] 1.20 TeV M _D (δ=6)			
	. Ι.	qqqq contact interaction : $F_{\chi}(m_{dijet})$	L=36 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1103.3864 (Bayesian limit)] 8.7 TeV A			
Mt	Cl	qq $\mu\mu$ contact interaction : $\dot{m}_{\mu\nu}$	L=42 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1104.4398]	4.9 T	ev A	
	M	SSM : m _{ee/uu}	L=1.08-1.21 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [preliminary] 1.83 TeV Z' Mass			
	Z' /	SSM : m _{T.e/µ}	L=1.04 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [preliminary] 2.15 TeV W' Mass			
	a	Scalar LQ pairs (β =1) : kin. vars. in eejj, evjj	L=35 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1104.4481]	376 Gev 1 st gen. LQ mass		
	L(Scalar LQ pairs (β =1) : kin. vars. in µµjj, µvjj	L=35 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [arXiv:1104.4481]	422 GeV 2 nd gen. LQ mass		
		4^{th} family : coll. mass in Q $\overline{Q}_{4} \rightarrow WqWq$	L=37 pb-1 (2010) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-022]	270 GeV Q ₄ mass		
		4^{th} family : d $\overline{d}_{4} \rightarrow \text{WtWt}$ (SS dilepton)	L=34 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [preliminary]	290 GeV d _a mass		
	Other	Major. neutr. (V _{4-term.} , A=1 TeV) : SS dilepton	L=34 pb ⁻¹ (2010) [preliminary]	480 GeV N mass		
		Excited quarks : <i>m</i> _{dilet}	L=0.81 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-095]	2.91 TeV q* ma	ISS	
		Axigluons : m _{dijet}	L=0.81 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-095]	3.21 TeV Axi	gluon mass	
		Color octet scalar : m _{dilet}	L=0.81 fb ⁻¹ (2011) [ATLAS-CONF-2011-095]	1.91 TeV Scalar reson	ance mass	
			10-1	1	10	
					Mass seels (Te)/	
	*0	nly a selection of the available results shown			Mass scale [TeV]	
P. Sphicas			APPS 20)11		
View on CMS 8 ATLAS requite			Nov 20 Door	2 2011		
VIE	View on CMS & ATLAS results			2, 2011		

SES (Simplified Exp/ntalist's Summary)

- Effective Theories work extremely well
 - They can explain things very, very well: Newton's Law for the solar system, the point proton for the atom, the standard model for physics at ~10-100 GeV ☺
- [As is well known] most important characteristic of Effective Theories: they explain things within a range of energy scales – no pretense of explaining "everything"
 - Perhaps our notion of SUSY should give up on solving all three problems (naturalness, grand unification, dark matter) in one shot
 - Two out of three would not be a bad scoring average! [Even one!]
 - How about resurrecting R_P-violating SUSY and leaving dark matter to axions? Or... ? Beyond the loss of "minimality" – would nature [still] be well described [?]
 - Free dictionary: "The verb *minimize* ... undergone ... extension of meaning. In its strict sense it means "to reduce to the smallest possible level," but quite often the context requires us to interpret what the smallest possible level might be."

Some near-term prospects

LHC running in 2012: 8 TeV [?]

Enhances physics reach in two ways:

Higher cross sections for new physics over full mass range



- More integrated luminosity
 - @ 8 TeV: 10-16 fb⁻¹ expected (25/50 ns bunch-crossing)

CMS+ATLAS Projections



2xCMS≈ATLAS+CMS	Limit @ 95% CL	3 σ sensitivity	5 σ sensitivity
1 fb ⁻¹	120 - 530	135 - 475	152 - 175
2 fb ⁻¹	114 - 585	120 - 545	140 - 200
5 fb ⁻¹	114 - 600	114 - 600	128 - 482
10 fb ⁻¹	114 - 600	114 - 600	117 - 535

P. Sphicas View on CMS & ATLAS results



Summary

- LHC and experiments' run at 7 TeV truly impressive
 - By now the detectors are fully functioning scientific instruments: physics-producing engines
- With ~40pb⁻¹ the LHC has observed all particles of the standard model (indirectly, even neutrinos)
 - Solid basis for understanding the "background" to searches at higher mass and transverse energy scales
- With 1 fb⁻¹ we entered the true Higgs discovery era. With 5 fb⁻¹: discovery [no matter what]
 - "SUSY" explorable over very large area with 1fb⁻¹; possible new resonances. Very large reach for other new physics.
 - But nobody said it would be easy. May soon have to start looking hard for the more complicated scenarios.
 - Perhaps unification should start in the physics [search] groups
- Thankfully, there is also always the anthropic principle.
 - Anthropically, history repeats itself → we will find the unexpected!
- The journey has only just started!