Computation of Service Availability Metrics in Gridview



Digamber Sonvane, Rajesh Kalmady, Phool Chand, Kislay Bhatt, Kumar Vaibhav Computer Division, BARC, India



Computation of Availability Metrics



- Gridview computes Service Availability Metrics per VO using SAM test results
- Computed Metrics include
 - O Service Status
 - OAvailability
 - Reliability

View

- Above Metrics are computed
 - **Oper Service Instance**
 - Oper Service (eg. CE) for a site
 - Oper Site
 - OAggregate of all Tier-1/0 sites
 - OCentral Services
 - Oover various periodicities like Hourly, Daily, Weekly and Monthly





- Status of a service instance, service or a site is the state at a given point in time
 - For eg. UP, DOWN, SCHEDULED DOWN or UNKNOWN
- Availability of a service instance, service or a site over a given period is defined as the fraction of time the same was UP during the given period
- Reliability of a service instance, service or a site over a given period is defined as the fraction of time the same was UP (Availability), divided by the scheduled availability (1 – Scheduled Downtime – Unknown Interval) for that period
 - Reliability = Availability / (1 Scheduled Downtime Unknown)
 - Reliability Availability / (Availability + Unscheduled Downtime)
- Reliability definition approved in LCG MB Meeting,13th Feb, 2007





- Consider Status for a day as
 - UP 12 Hrs
 - Scheduled Down 6 Hrs
 - Unknown 6 Hrs
- Availability Graphs (1st bar in Graph) would show
 - Availability (Green) 50 %
 - \odot Sch. Down (Yellow) 25 %
 - Unknown (Grey) 25 %
- Reliability Graph (1st bar in Graph) would show 100%
- Reliability = Availability(Green) / (Availability(Green)+ Unscheduled Downtime(Red))
- Reliability not affected by Scheduled Downtime or Unknown Interval

Sample Availability Graph



Sample Reliability Graph







- Old (Current) algorithm
 - Computes Service Status on Discrete Time Scale with precision of an hour
 - Test results sampled at the end of each hour
 - $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ Service status is based only on latest results for an hour
 - Availability for an hour is always 0 or 1
- Drawbacks of Discrete Time Scale
 - \odot Test may pass or fail several times in an hour
 - $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ not possible to represent several events in an hour
 - loss of precise information about the exact time of occurrence of the event
- New Algorithm
 - Computes Service Status on Continuous Time Scale
 - Service Status is based on all test results
 - Computes Availability metrics with higher accuracy
 - Conforms to Recommendation 42 of EGEE-II Review Report about introducing measures of robustness and reliability
 - Computes reliability metrics as approved by LCG MB, 13 Feb 2007





- Major differences between old (current) and new algorithm
 - O Service Status computation on Continuous time scale
 - Oconsideration of Scheduled Downtime (SD)
 - Service may pass tests even when SD
 - Leads to Inaccurate Reliability value
 - New algorithm ignores test results and marks status as SD
 - OValidity of Test Results
 - 24 Hours for all tests in old case
 - Defined by VO seperately for each test in New method
 - Invalidate earlier results after scheduled interruption

OHandling of UNKNOWN status





- Old (Current) Algorithm
 - Computations are based merely on available parameters
 - Parameters for which values are not known are ignored

New Algorithm

- Takes all essential parameters into consideration
- Status explicitly marked "UNKNOWN" when values of some essential parameters are not known

Affects

- Service Instance Availability
- Site Availability

Computation of Service Instance Status



- VO Marks critical tests for each service
- Service should be considered UP only if all the critical tests are passed
- Old (Current) Algorithm
 - Marks status as UP even if test result of only one of the many critical tests is available and UP
 - Ignores the status of those critical tests whose results are not known

New Algorithm

- marks status as UNKNOWN even if the status of all known critical tests is UP and one of the critical tests is UNKNOWN
- When any of the known results is DOWN, status is marked as DOWN anyway



Computation of service instance status : Difference between old (current) and new algorithm









- Old (Current) Algorithm
 - $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ identifies service instances based on available test results
 - computes status of only those services for which at least one critical test is defined and result of at least one critical test is available
 - leads to unexpected behavior like a service instance suddenly disappearing from Gridview's monitoring page
- New Algorithm
 - tracks service instances based on their registration in Information System, either in BDII or GOCDB
 - computes status of all service instances supporting the given VO irrespective of whether critical tests are defined or results available





- Status of a service Eg. CE is computed by ORing statuses of all its instances
- Status of the Site is computed by ANDing statuses of all registered site services
- Status of a site should be UP only if the status of all registered site services is UP
- Old (Current) Algorithm
 - Marks status of site as UP even if status of only one of the many registered services is known and UP
 - \odot Ignores those services for which the status is not known
- New Algorithm
 - Marks status of site as UNKNOWN even if the status of all known services is UP and one of the services is UNKNOWN
 - When any of the known services is DOWN, status is marked as DOWN anyway





(new algorithm)



Service = a service type (e.g. CE, SE, sBDII, ...)

Serviceinstance (si) = (service, node) combination





- Hourly Availability, Scheduled Downtime and Unknown Interval for a service instance, service and site are computed from the respective status information
- Hourly Reliability is computed from the Availability, Scheduled Downtime and Unknown Interval for that hour
- Daily, Weekly and Monthly Availability, Scheduled Downtime and Unknown Interval computed by averaging Hourly figures
- Daily, Weekly and Monthly Reliability figures are computed directly from the Availability, Scheduled Downtime and Unknown Interval figures over the corresponding periods





- Old (Current) Algorithm
 - O coarse grain representation of service status
 - $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ arrives on a conclusion based on incomplete data
 - can be misleading, giving the impression that everything is ok when the fact is not known
 - may adversely affect actual availability of the service as it might be hiding some of the service breakdowns rather than generating alerts

New Algorithm

- O resolves ambiguities present in the old (current) algorithm
- generates true status of the service, sincerely stating it as UNKNOWN whenever it doesn't have adequate data to establish the state of the service
- Fine Grain Model, Computes service availability metrics with more accuracy
- Implementation is ready, we are awaiting management nod for deployment

Thank You



Your comments and suggestions please

