FAST MAGNET CURRENT CHANGE MONITOR ANALYSIS MODULE

A= CAA

Summer Student Sessions

Alejandro Avilés del Moral

TE department. MPE group. MS section.

August 14th, 2012



Contents

- 1. 2004 incident.
- 2. Fast Magnet Current change Monitor.
- 3. PostMortem framework.
- 4. Why is PM analysis software needed?
- 5. FMCM Analysis module.

2004 incident

TT40 damage during high intensity SPS extraction

- Powering failure in a magnet.
 - Magnet with low time constant.

- Beam extraction with the wrong trajectory.
- Vacuum chamber badly damaged.



Inside of the vacuum chamber



Outside of the vacuum chamber

 $DP_{n} = Vp w S_{n} \cdot displored f = \frac{1}{p} \frac{dV}{2L} \quad M = L \neq DT$ $Q = C_{n} \Delta T$ $Q = C_{$



Fast Magnet Current change Monitor

- FPGA based devices that monitor the state of a normal conducting magnets ("warm magnets").
- Measures the voltage across magnets to detect fast current changes.
- Can trigger a beam dump.
 - When signals are above predefined thresholds.



DP_ = Vpw S_A Jisplement F= V AV AV ALL LADT Pressue F = F-F T T convirce heat AT ALL ANT ALL LADT T T convirce heat AT ALL ANT ALL LADT T T Convirce heat AT ALL ANT ALL LADT T T Convirce heat AT ALL ANT ALL A





PostMortem framework

- Provides comprehensive monitoring of the functioning of machine protection system.
 - Improve efficiency of the LHC.
 - Explain damage.

- The PM event must be automatically analyzed.
 - 'Digested' information must be generated for operators.

 $DP_n = V_{P,W} S_{A_1} \cdot J_{Splicewent} \qquad f = \frac{V}{P} \frac{AV}{2L} \quad AL = L^{\alpha} \Delta T \qquad Q = Cn \Delta T$



PM analysis

GBytes each PM event.

- Too much to be browsed by the operator.
- Correct functioning of protection systems:
 - Must be verified before injecting a new beam.

- Software is used to:
 - Scan for faults.
 - Inspect evidence.
 - Develop understanding.

DPm = Vpw Sm - displacement

2L DV= VBAT

r= Policer Area



the heat

 $DP_n = Vp w S_n \cdot displored f = \frac{1}{p} \frac{dV}{2L} \quad dV = Vp dT$ Q = Cm dT Q = Cm dT

FMCM analysis module

- Were all FMCM logs correctly received?
- Are thresholds correctly set in the FMCMs?
- Was any of the FMCM responsible for a beam dump?
 - Correctly triggered?
 - Correct propagation to Beam Interlock System?
 - Reacted before than Warm magnet Interlock System?
 - Data:
 - Elapsed time from beam dump trigger and BIC reception.
 - Current at triggering time.
 - Relative current variation.
 - Longest excess observed.

DR = VPW SA - displored to the Last Pressue to the C-E to the VPW AVE VBAT Q= CAAT Q

 $DP_n = V_{P,W} S_{A_1} \cdot J_{Splicewant} \qquad f = \frac{V}{P} \frac{AV}{2L} \quad AL = L^{\alpha} \Delta T \qquad Q = Cn \Delta T$

References

[1] B. Goddard, V. Kain, et al, "TT40 damage during 2004 high intensity SPS extraction", AB-Note-2005-014 BT.

[2] M. Werner, M. Zerlauth, et al, "Requirements for the Fast Magnet Current change Monitors (FMCM) in the LHC and the SPS-LHC transfers lines", LHC-CIW-ES-0002, 2005.

[3] M. Zerlauth, T. V. Jevard, "MPS aspects of the Fast Magnet Current change Monitors commissioning."

[4] O. O. Andreassen, V. Baggiolini, et al, "The LHC Post Mortem analysis framework", CERN, Geneva.

[5] R. J. Lauckner, "Post Mortem", AB-LHC Review, 2003.

- Acknowledgement:
 - Iván Romera Ramírez, project supervisor.

