



TOTALS			T0=>T1	T2=>T1	T1=>T1	T1=>T2	T1<=T1	Storage for T2 TByte			Storage for T1 TByte					
	Total Tape Tbyte	Eff. Disk Tbyte	MByte/s	MByte/s aver.	MByte/s in	MByte/s aver.	MByte/s out	Tape1-Disk0	Tape1-Disk1	Tape0-Disk1	Tape1-Disk0	Tape1-Disk1	Tape0-Disk1	Cache-Disk		
ALICE	841.8	829.6	5.8	15.3	9.6	13.1	9.2	519.0	180.4	134.3	124.1	18.3	247.7			
ATLAS	1357.3	2115.3	109.2	148.6	116.0	108.2	143.3	687.2	261.9	619.5	273.0	135.2	464.1			
CMS	1556.9	800.1	31.5	15.0	86.2	96.0	78.2	673.0	0.0	43.0	883.9	0.0	489.5	27.54		
LHCb	218.7	165.3	10.5	0.1	17.8	0.0	31.8	0.0	3.7	0.0	194.0	21.0	91.0			
SUM	3974.7	3910.2	157.0	179.0	229.5	217.3	262.5	1879.2	446.0	796.8	1475.0	174.5	1292.3	27.5		
								With 70% Disk Efficiency					249.3	1846.2	39.3	
								Total Storage Requ. Tape			2325			1649		
								Total Storage Requ. Disk					1775			2135
								Tape and Disk Pledges					Tape	3464	Disk	3790
								Balance					-511			-120

MegaTable
(last update Jan07)

- The MegaTable gave for each T-1 and experiment the requirements by storage class - including the disk cache "hidden" in T1D0
- But the MegaTable is a snap-shot now well out of date



Questions

1. what do the sites need to know to configure their storage systems?
2. is there an agreed terminology that is also meaningful to the experiments that is used to communicate requirements and report installation and usage?

Proposal

Presumably all of this is clear, is being formalised and brought together as part of CCRC'08 coordination

A short note should define exactly what the terms are and how they map to different storage systems

Harry's table and the monthly accounting should be extended to collect and report on this

The Automated Storage Accounting should evolve to collect and report the same set of values