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Kinematically simplest process with two variables $s$ and $t$

The only measurable characteristics $\frac{d \sigma}{d t}=\frac{1}{16 \pi s^{2}}|A|^{2}=\frac{1}{16 \pi s^{2}}\left[(\operatorname{Im} A(s, t))^{2}+(\operatorname{Re} A(s, t))^{2}\right]$
Two functions $\operatorname{Im} A(s, t), \operatorname{Re} A(s, t)$ as parts of a single analytic function $A(s, t)$

$s=4 E^{2} ; \quad t=-2 p^{2}(1-\cos \theta)\left(\approx-p^{2} \theta^{2}\right.$ at $\left.\theta \ll 1\right)$
Other FOUR characteristics: $\sigma_{t}(s), \sigma_{e l}(s), \rho(s, t), B(s, t)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{t}(s)=\frac{\operatorname{Im} A(p, \theta=0)}{s}-\text { optical theorem } \\
\sigma_{e l}(s)=\int_{t_{\min }}^{0} d t \frac{d \sigma}{d t}(s, t) \\
\rho(s, t)=\frac{\operatorname{Re} A(s, t)}{\operatorname{Im} A(s, t)}
\end{gathered}
$$

The diffraction cone

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d t} /\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d t}\right)_{t=0}=e^{B t} \approx e^{-B p^{2} \theta^{2}} \quad(B \approx \operatorname{const}(t))
$$

The amplitude in the diffraction cone (Gaussian, imaginary)

$$
A(s, t) \approx i s \sigma_{t} e^{B t / 2} \approx 4 i p^{2} \sigma_{t} e^{-B p^{2} \theta^{2} / 2}
$$

Coulomb-nuclear interference $-\rho(s, 0)=\rho_{0}$.

## Theory

The local dispersion relation

$$
\rho(s, 0)=\rho_{0}(s) \approx \frac{1}{\sigma_{t}}\left[\tan \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{d}{d \ln s}\right)\right] \sigma_{t} \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{d \ln \sigma_{t}}{d \ln s}
$$

The unitarity relation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Im} A(p, \theta)=I_{2}(p, \theta)+F(p, \theta)= \\
\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}} \iint d \theta_{1} d \theta_{2} \frac{\sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} A\left(p, \theta_{1}\right) A^{*}\left(p, \theta_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\left[\cos \theta-\cos \left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right]\left[\cos \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)-\cos \theta\right]}}+F(p, \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

The region of integration

$$
\left|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right| \leq \theta, \quad \theta \leq \theta_{1}+\theta_{2} \leq 2 \pi-\theta
$$

$$
\operatorname{Im} a_{l}(s)=\left|a_{l}(s)\right|^{2}+F_{l}(s)-\text { partial wave representation }
$$

$\operatorname{Im} h(s, b) \approx|h(s, b)|^{2}+F(s, b)-$ spatial (impact parameter) view
Froissart bound

$$
\sigma_{t} \leq \frac{\pi}{2 m^{2}} \ln ^{2}\left(s / s_{0}\right)
$$

I.M. Dremin, M.T. Nazirov, Pis'ma ZhETF 37 (1983) 163 (JETP Lett. 37 (1983) 198) (see also arXiv:1304.5345) Predictions according to the integral dispersion relations


The ratio of real to imaginary part of elastic pp-scattering amplitude at $t=0$ according to dispersion relations with different assumptions about high energy behavior of the total cross section (different signs at low and high energies!).

## WHERE DO WE STAND NOW?

OUR GUESSES ABOUT ASYMPTOTICS

$$
\sigma_{t}(s) \leq \frac{\pi}{2 m_{\pi}^{2}} \ln ^{2}\left(s / s_{0}\right)
$$

THE BLACK DISK: $\sigma_{t}=2 \pi R^{2} ; R=R_{0} \ln s ; \quad \frac{\sigma_{e l}}{\sigma_{t}}=\frac{\sigma_{i n}}{\sigma_{t}}=\frac{1}{2}$ $B(s)=\frac{R^{2}}{4} ; \rho(s, t=0)=\frac{\pi}{\ln s} \quad$ None observed in experiment! THE GRAY DISKS: two parameters - radius+opacity Gray and Gaussian disks $\quad\left(X=\sigma_{e l} / \sigma_{t} ; \quad Z=4 \pi B / \sigma_{t} ; \quad \alpha \leq 1\right)$

| Model | $1-e^{-\Omega}$ | $\sigma_{t}$ | $\sigma_{e l}$ | $B$ | $Z$ | $X Z$ | $X / Z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gray | $\alpha \theta(R-b)$ | $2 \pi \alpha R^{2}$ | $\pi \alpha^{2} R^{2}$ | $R^{2} / 4$ | $1 / 2 \alpha$ | $1 / 4$ | $\alpha^{2}$ |
| Gauss | $\alpha e^{-b^{2} / R^{2}}$ | $2 \pi \alpha R^{2}$ | $\pi \alpha^{2} R^{2} / 2$ | $R^{2} / 2$ | $1 / \alpha$ | $1 / 4$ | $\alpha^{2} / 4$ |

The energy behavior

| $\sqrt{s}, \mathrm{GeV}$ | 2.70 | 4.74 | 6.27 | 7.62 | 13.8 | 62.5 | 546 | 1800 | 7000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.25 |
| Z | 0.64 | 1.09 | 1.26 | 1.34 | 1.45 | 1.50 | 1.20 | 1.08 | 1.00 |
| XZ | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Z}$ | 0.66 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0 |
| page | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Purely phenomenological fit (see arXiv:1306.5384)

$$
A(s, t)=s \sqrt{16 \pi} f(s, t)
$$

Fit at ISR in U. Amaldi, K. Schubert Nucl. Phys. B166 (1980) 301

$$
f(s, t)=i \alpha\left[A_{1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} b_{1} \alpha t\right)+A_{2} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} b_{2} \alpha t\right)\right]-i A_{3} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} b_{3} t\right)
$$

where $\alpha(s)$ is complex and is given by

$$
\alpha(s)=\left[\sigma_{t}(s) / \sigma_{t}(23.5 \mathrm{GeV})\right]\left(1-i \rho_{0}(s)\right)
$$

6 parameters at given $\mathrm{s}\left(A_{i}, b_{i}, \rho_{0}\right.$ minus normalization at $t=0$ )


Рис.: Fit of the TOTEM data - dash-dotted curve. Dotted curve is calculated with parameters used at 23.5 GeV and with $\rho_{0}=0.14$


Pис.: Real (dotted curve) and imaginary (dash-dotted curve) parts of the amplitude and their ratio (solid curve). Similar curves are usually predicted by other models which describe the dip as zero of $\operatorname{Im} A(t)$.

The energy evolution of the impact parameter picture

$$
\begin{gather*}
i \Gamma(s, b)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d q q f(s, t) J_{0}(q b) .  \tag{1}\\
2 \Re \Gamma(s, b)=|\Gamma(s, b)|^{2}+G(s, b), \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$



Рис.: The overlap functions at 23.5 GeV (solid curve), 62.5 GeV (dotted curve) and 7 TeV (dash-dotted curve)
$\Delta G(b)=G\left(s_{1}, b\right)-G\left(s_{2}, b\right) \quad\left(\sqrt{s_{1}}=7 \mathrm{TeV}, \sqrt{s_{2}}=23.5 \mathrm{GeV}\right)$


Рис.: The difference between the overlap functions. Dash-dotted curve is for 7 TeV and 23.5 GeV energies, solid curve is for 62.5 GeV and 23.5 GeV energies. The parton density at periphery increases!

## THEORETICAL APPROACHES (MODELS)

1. Geometric picture and eikonal

The impact parameter (b) representation

$$
A\left(s, t=-q^{2}\right)=\frac{2 s}{i} \int d^{2} b e^{i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{b}}\left(e^{2 i \delta(s, \mathbf{b})}-1\right)=2 i s \int d^{2} b e^{i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{b}}\left(1-e^{-\Omega(s, \mathbf{b})}\right)
$$

Two or three regions of the internal hadron structure. Heisenberg relation: large $b$ (external regions) - small $|t|$, small $b$ (internal regions) - large $|t|$. $\quad 15-20$ parameters!
E.g., the diffraction profile function is

$$
\Gamma(s, b)=1-\Omega(s, b)=g(s)\left[\frac{1}{1+e^{(b-r) / a}}+\frac{1}{1+e^{(-b+r) / a}}-1\right]
$$

and special shapes for internal regions. UNITARIZATION!
2. Electromagnetic analogies

Use of electromagnetic (and parton density) form factors.
The droplet model.
3. Reggeon exchanges

$$
\Omega(s, \mathbf{b})=S(s) F\left(\mathbf{b}^{2}\right)+(\text { non }- \text { leading terms })
$$

$S(s)$ is crossing symmetric and reproduces Pomeron trajectory

$$
S(s)=\frac{s^{c}}{(\ln s)^{c^{\prime}}}+\frac{u^{c}}{(\ln u)^{c^{\prime}}}
$$

$F\left(\mathbf{b}^{2}\right)$ is the Bessel transform of "Pomeron vertices"

$$
F(t)=f|G(t)|^{2} \frac{a^{2}+t}{a^{2}-t}
$$

$G(t)$ is the proton "nuclear form factor"parametrized like the electromagnetic form factor with two poles

$$
G(t)=\frac{1}{\left(1-t / m_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-t / m_{2}^{2}\right)}
$$

or (one chooses/adds) the exponential form factors like

$$
F(s, t)=\hat{s}^{\epsilon_{1}} e^{B(s) t}
$$

4. QCD-inspired approaches

Gluons and quarks as active partons. Similar form factors.
All amproaches are rather successful in the diffraction cone

Scaling laws in the diffraction cone (arXiv:1212.3313)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left[\frac{\partial \ln \operatorname{Im} A(s, t)}{\partial \ln s}-1\right]=\rho_{0}\left[1+\frac{\partial \ln \operatorname{Im} A(s, t)}{\partial \ln t}\right] \\
\frac{\partial \ln \operatorname{Im} A(s, t)}{\partial \ln \sigma_{t}}-\frac{\partial \ln \operatorname{Im} A(s, t)}{\partial \ln t}=1+\frac{d \ln s}{d \ln \sigma_{t}} \\
t^{2} d \sigma / d t=\Phi\left(t \sigma_{t}\right)-\text { geometric scaling }
\end{gathered}
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho(s, t)=\rho(s, 0)\left[1+\frac{1}{a} \frac{\partial \ln \operatorname{Im} A(s, t)}{\partial \ln |t|}\right] . \\
t^{2 a} d \sigma / d t=\omega\left(t^{a} \sigma_{t}\right), \quad a=1.2 .
\end{gathered}
$$



The value of a accounts for different energy behavior of $B$ and $\sigma_{t}$ violation of geometric scaling.

## INTERMEDIATE ANGLES - DIP AND OREAR REGIME

## THREE REGIONS: the diffraction cone,

 the Orear regime, the hard parton scattering$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Im} A(p, \theta)=I_{2}(p, \theta)+F(p, \theta)= \\
\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}} \iint d \theta_{1} d \theta_{2} \frac{\sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} A\left(p, \theta_{1}\right) A^{*}\left(p, \theta_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\left[\cos \theta-\cos \left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right]\left[\cos \left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)-\cos \theta\right]}}+F(p, \theta \\
\left|\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right| \leq \theta, \quad \theta \leq \theta_{1}+\theta_{2} \leq 2 \pi-\theta
\end{gathered}
$$

$I_{2}$ - two-particle intermediate states $\left(\sigma_{e l}\right), F$ - inelastic ones $\left(\sigma_{\text {inel }}\right)$. For angles $\theta$ outside the diffraction cone one amplitude in $I_{2}$ is at small angles and another at large ones: linear integral equation
$\operatorname{Im} A(p, \theta)=\frac{p \sigma_{t}}{4 \pi \sqrt{2 \pi B}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d \theta_{1} f_{\rho} e^{-B p^{2}\left(\theta-\theta_{1}\right)^{2} / 2} \operatorname{Im} A\left(p, \theta_{1}\right)+F(p, \theta)$.
$f_{\rho}=1+\rho_{0} \rho\left(\theta_{1}\right)$.
Analytic solution if $F(p, \theta) \ll \operatorname{Im} A(p, \theta)$ and $f_{\rho} \approx$ const outside the diffraction cone!
(I.V. Andreev, I.M. Dremin JETP Lett. 6 (1967) 262)

The proof of the assumption about the small overlap function. $F(p, \theta)$ computed from experimental data is negligible outside cone:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F(p, \theta)=16 p^{2}\left(\pi \frac{d \sigma}{d t} /\left(1+\rho^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}- \\
\frac{8 p^{4} f_{\rho}}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} d z_{2} \int_{z_{1}^{-}}^{z_{1}^{+}} d z_{1}\left[\frac{d \sigma}{d t_{1}} \cdot \frac{d \sigma}{d t_{2}}\right]^{1 / 2} K^{-1 / 2}\left(z, z_{1}, z_{2}\right),
\end{array}
$$

$z_{i}=\cos \theta_{i} ; \quad K\left(z, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=1-z^{2}-z_{1}^{2}-z_{2}^{2}+2 z z_{1} z_{2}$,
$z_{1}^{ \pm}=z z_{2} \pm\left[\left(1-z^{2}\right)\left(1-z_{2}^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$

$|\mathrm{t}|, \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$

The elastic differential cross section outside the diffraction cone contains the exponentially decreasing with $\theta$ (or $\sqrt{|t|}$ ) term (Orear regime!) with imposed on it damped oscillations:

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{p_{1} d t}=\left(e^{-\sqrt{2 B|t| \ln \frac{4 \pi B}{\sigma_{t} f_{\rho}}}}+p_{2} e^{-\sqrt{2 \pi B|t|}} \cos (\sqrt{2 \pi B|t|}-\phi)\right)^{2}
$$

The experimentally measured values of the diffraction cone slope $B$ and the total cross section $\sigma_{t}$ determine mostly the shape of the elastic differential cross section in the Orear region of transition from the diffraction peak to large angle parton scattering. The value of $Z=4 \pi B / \sigma_{t}$ is so close to 1 that the fit is extremely sensitive to $f_{\rho}$. Thus, it becomes possible for the first time to estimate the ratio $\rho$ outside the diffraction cone from fits of experimental data. At the LHC, its average value is negative and equal to -2.1!

Do we approach the black disk limit $Z \rightarrow 0.5$ ?
In Orear slope the decrease of $Z$ must be compensated by the decrease of $f_{\rho}=1+\rho_{0} \rho(t)$ but $\rho_{0} \propto \ln ^{-1} s$ ! Is it possible that $\rho(t)$ in Orear region increases in modulus being negative?

Fit at $7 \mathrm{TeV}\left(\mathrm{dip}+\right.$ Orear in $\left.0.3<|t|<1.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)$ (arXiv:1202.2016)


PROBLEM: The real part outside the diffraction cone At $t=0$, it is known from Coulomb-nuclear interference experimentally (at lower than LHC energies) and from dispersion relations theoretically. $\rho_{0}$ at LHC may be about 0.13-0.14.
No experimental results for $\rho(t)$ are available. However, it can be calculated (Martin formula) if the imaginary part is known:

$$
\rho(t)=\rho_{0}\left[1+\frac{t(d \operatorname{Im} A(t) / d t)}{\operatorname{Im} A(t)}\right]
$$

Then the equation for $\rho(t)$ follows from the unitarity condition

$$
\frac{d v}{d x}=-\frac{v}{x}-\frac{2}{x^{2}}\left(\frac{Z e^{-v^{2}}-1}{\rho^{2}(t=0)}-1\right)
$$

$x=\sqrt{2 B|t|}, \quad v=\sqrt{\ln \left(Z / f_{\rho}\right)}, \quad \rho(t)=\left(Z e^{-v^{2}}-1\right) / \rho(t=0)$
where $v$ is the solution of the equation.
Asymptotics at $|t| \rightarrow \infty \rho \rightarrow(Z-1) / \rho(t=0)$.
Then $f_{\rho} \rightarrow Z$ and $\ln \left(Z / f_{\rho}\right) \rightarrow 0$ !
Prediction: the drastic changes are expected in this region of $|t|$ ! (arXiv:1204.4866)

## LARGE ANGLES - HARD PARTON SCATTERING

Experimentally observed $|t|^{-8}$-regime in $p p$-scattering.
Kancheli talk
The dimensional counting
For $n$ partons participating in a single hard scattering

$$
A_{1}(s, t) \propto\left(\frac{s_{0}}{s}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}-2} f_{1}(s / t)
$$

The coherent scattering
Three gluons coherently exchanged between three pairs of quarks. Multi-Pomeron exchange with one large- $p_{T}$ Pomeron.

## Conclusions

- The black disk limit is still far away.
- The evolution of the impact parameter overlap function with energy shows steady increase of the contribution of the peripheral regions of protons (ISR $\rightarrow$ Sp $\bar{p} S \rightarrow$ LHC). The parton density at the periphery increases! Inelastic diffraction?
- Most theoretical models describe the diffraction peak but fail outside it.
- Scaling laws in the diffraction cone are predicted by the local dispersion relations + Martin formula but comparison with experiment requires some modification of the latter because it shows that geometric scaling is not valid.
- At intermediate angles between the diffraction cone and hard parton scattering region the unitarity condition predicts the Orear regime with exponential decrease in angles and imposed on it damped oscillations.
- The experimental data on elastic pp differential cross section at low and high ( $\sqrt{s}=7 \mathrm{TeV}$ ) energies have been fitted in this region with well described position of the dip and Orear slope.
- The fit by the "unitarity formula" allows for the first time at 7 TeV to estimate the ratio $\rho(s, t)$ far from forward direction $t=0$. It happened to be about -2 .
- Controversial forms of $\rho(s, t)$ for different models.

The common feature is the pole at the dip!
The unitarity condition does not require the pole!
The estimate of $\rho(t)$ in the unitarity condition is attempted.

- The overlap function is small and negative in the Orear region. That confirms the assumption used in solving the unitarity equation. Important corollary: the phases of inelastic amplitudes are crucial in any model of inelastic processes.

