



CLIC: a future linear collider at the foot of the Jura





Lucie Linssen, CERN

CERN60 public conference: Past, present future: LHC and future possibilities

Lucie Linssen, November 20th 2014



outline



- proton versus electron colliders
- short introduction to particle acceleration
- the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC)
- short introduction to particle detectors
- a detector for CLIC
- snapshot of physics at CLIC
- collaboration
- what's next ?





proton versus electron colliders



history of proton and electron colliders





pp and e⁺e⁻ provide complementary information **v** particle physics needs both !

historically, electron colliders lag behind in energy

why?





• short introduction to particle acceleration





few magnets, many accelerating cavities beam passes only once high energy → <u>high accelerating gradient needed</u> high luminosity → high beam power (high bunch repetition)



+

principle of particle acceleration



CLIC aims for high collision energy (3 TeV)

- need very strong acceleration
- more efficient at high frequency

CLIC:

- 100 MV/m (100 million Volts per metre !)
- 12 GHz (at LHC it's 5 MV/m and 400 MHz)



RF (radio frequency) accelerator: synchronise particle with an RF electromagnetic wave!





• the Compact Linear Collider (CLIC)





CLIC two-beam acceleration scheme

Two Beam Scheme:







CLIC layout at 3 TeV





Fig. 3.1: Overview of the CLIC layout at $\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV.



CLIC acceleration modules







drive beam

main beam



CLIC test facility (CTF3)



a small CLIC to test basic principles and key performance parameters





CLIC test facility (CTF3)



a small CLIC to test basic principles and key performance parameters



Recently installed 2-beam acceleration module in CTF3 (according to latest CLIC design) First 2-beam tests stand reached 145 MV/m (2012)

main beam

drive beam



CLIC at the foot of the Jura



staged operation	Collision energy (GeV)	Site length (km)	Luminosity (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	# Higgs events in ~4 yrs
↓	350	11	1.5×10 ³⁴	90000
ontimal for physics	1500	27	3.5×10 ³⁴	430000
	3000	48	6×10 ³⁴	920000







short introduction to particle detectors





particle detection (1)



Particles make small changes in the material they traverse

- ionisation, atomic effects, nuclear effect <= all very small !
 Particles differ in the way they interact with material
 - We can use it to identify particle types !





particle detection (2)



Many of the elementary particles we know have very short life times

• W, Z, Higgs (!) and many more We only see the products of their decay

quarks cannot be observed, as they hadronise in to "jets" of particles





quark

reconstructing the original particles from the visible decay products => key ingredient of particle detection





• a detector for CLIC





TRI







detector technology => "very light"





pixel detector and tracker

measure direction and momentum of charged particles

have to be extremely accurate and light !

Pixel detector:

- 2 billion pixels
- 3 μm measurement accuracy (1/300 mm)
- 25*25 μ m² pixels (25 times smaller pixel area at LHC)
 - Pulse height measurement
 - Time measurement to 10 ns
- Ultra-light
 - Power pulsing at 50 Hz (less heat dissipation)
 - Air cooling

Technologies involved:

- Very thin (50 μm) silicon sensor
- Full electronics circuit per pixel (microelectronics)
- Ultra-light power delivery and cables
- Ultra-light supports, stable with air cooling
- etc....

High-tech R&D covers many disciplines

spin-off to other fields

(medical, material science)



pixel detector R&D



silicon sensor



electronics chip (65 nm)



HV-CMOS sensor + CLICpix



power delivery



interconnect technology



thin supports



Lucie Linssen, November 20th 2014

thin electronics + sensor assembly



signal simulations



air cooling simulations



detector technology => "very heavy"







calorimeters

"electromagnetic + hadronic"

particles interact with heavy material => showers make a sandwich ~60 layers heavy absorbers + fine-grained detectors

extremely heavy and compact

80 million readout channels (400* larger than LHC)







• Snapshot of physics at CLIC





which physics at CLIC?

clc

Accurate measurement of known physics:

- Higgs => a completely new type of particle => accuracy needed !
- Top quark => the heaviest known particle

Searching for New Physics:

- Direct searches => see particle masses up to Mass = energy/2
- Indirect searches, via high-precision measurements





~1.5 TeV (1.5 ab⁻¹):

New Physics, precision Higgs

~3 TeV (2 ab⁻¹):

New Physics, precision Higgs

LHC results will tell what's the best scenario

26



Higgs physics (1)



Higgs production at CLIC





Very clean process

Can "see" Higgs by looking at Z only !

Model-independent Higgs measurement !

can also "see" invisible Higgs decays: 1% accuracy





Higgs coupling to mass





Higgs self-coupling H=> HH

Gives access to understanding the Higgs field Requires high energies => coupling g_{HHH} to 24% at 1.4 TeV, 10% at +3 TeV

Lucie Linssen, November 20th 2014

e⁺e⁻ → Hvī → bbvī CLIC 1.4 TeV



same event before cuts on beam-induced background







snapshot of other physics at CLIC

FR





Lucie Linssen, November 20th 2014





• collaboration





who is working on CLIC ?



Global collaboration:

>60 institutes from >25 countries collaborate on the CLIC study

Collaboration set up in a semi-formal way Each institute agrees to work on specific items:

- CLIC+CTF3 accelerator development http://clic-study.org/
- Detector development and physics studies <u>http://clicdp.web.cern.ch/</u>

CLIC provides many **opportunities for technology R&D** Excellent **learning environment for young professionals**







• what's next ?



options for the future



European countries determine together: **"European strategy for particle physics"** Last update of the strategy in 2013:

- Highest priority is LHC running, and High-Luminosity LHC upgrade (HL-LHC) Other priorities listed:
- Design studies for a **future collider at CERN after the LHC**
 - FCC (see next talk) and CLIC
- Participation in global projects:
 - High-energy colliders (e.g. possible projects ILC-Japan and CEPC-China)
 - Neutrino physics (with a possible large facility in the USA)
- Other projects involving CERN accelerators (diversity)

Next update of European strategy: 2018-2019

Physics indications from LHC => may help to decide what is the best facility to build

=> we need to be ready for the decision !









Lucie Linssen, November 20th 2014



CLIC plans



Activities foreseen in the coming ~5 years



- New CLIC staged baseline design
 optimised for cost and energy consumption
- High-gradient structures development + increase of test capacity
- Industrialisation of components
 cost drivers
- System tests
 - at CTF3, ATF and FACET
- Further component developments
- Collaboration

with light sources and other projects (ILC, FCC..)



- New optimised detector design
- **Detector R&D** demonstrators of main technical challenges
- **Physics studies** following LHC results
- **Collaboration** with other projects (ILC, HL-LHC, FCC..)





Many years of R&D have been invested in CLIC Large-scale tests have confirmed the technology No show stoppers identified CLIC is currently the only option to offer multi-TeV e⁺e⁻ collisions

CLIC offers a wealth of possible physics measurements Very high accuracies can be achieved A powerful tool to address the open questions in particle physics

Very active R&D collaborations for accelerator and physics/detector



http://clic-study.org/ http://clicdp.web.cern.ch/



37





SPARE SLIDES



ILC and CLIC in just a few words



CLIC



•2-beam acceleration scheme, at room temperature
•Gradient 100 MV/m
•√s up to 3 TeV
•Physics + Detector studies for 350 GeV - 3 TeV Linear e⁺e⁻ colliders Luminosities: few 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹

ILC



Superconducting RF cavities
Gradient 32 MV/m
√s ≤ 500 GeV (1 TeV upgrade option)
Focus on ≤ 500 GeV, physics studies also for 1 TeV



CLIC test facility (CTF3)



a small CLIC to test basic principles and key performance parameters



link to animation showing drive beam generation

some CLIC achievements

Tests of various acceleration structures, requiring:

- ≥100 MV/m
- breakdown rate smaller than 3*10⁻⁷

Recent structure tests with beam loading in CTF3, => no adverse effect on breakdown rate (preliminary)

Strong final focus quadrupole (short prototype). Compatible with ultrahigh mechanical stability.

CLIC layout at 500 GeV

CERN

Fig. 3.2: Overview of the CLIC layout at $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$. (scenario A)

Lucie Linssen, November 20th 2014

parameters, scenario A

Table 3.3: Parameters for the CLIC energy stages of scenario A.

Parameter	Symbol	Unit			
Centre-of-mass energy	\sqrt{s}	GeV	500	(1400)	3000
Repetition frequency	frep	Hz	50	50	50
Number of bunches per train	n_b		354	312	312
Bunch separation	Δ_t	ns	0.5	0.5	0.5
Accelerating gradient	G	MV/m	80	80/100	100
Total luminosity	L	$10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	2.3	3.2	5.9
Luminosity above 99% of \sqrt{s}	$\mathscr{L}_{0.01}$	$10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	1.4	1.3	2
Main tunnel length		km	13.2	27.2	48.3
Charge per bunch	Ν	10 ⁹	6.8	3.7	3.7
Bunch length	σ_z	μm	72	44	44
IP beam size	σ_x/σ_y	nm	200/2.6	pprox 60/1.5	pprox 40/1
Normalised emittance (end of linac)	$\varepsilon_x/\varepsilon_y$	nm	2350/20	660/20	660/20
Normalised emittance (IP)	$\varepsilon_x/\varepsilon_y$	nm	2400/25		
Estimated power consumption	Pwall	MW	272	364	589

parameters, scenario B

Table 3.4: Parameters for the CLIC energy stages of scenario B.

Parameter	Symbol	Unit			
Centre-of-mass energy	\sqrt{s}	GeV	500	(1500)	3000
Repetition frequency	frep	Hz	50	50	50
Number of bunches per train	n_b		312	312	312
Bunch separation	Δ_t	ns	0.5	0.5	0.5
Accelerating gradient	G	MV/m	100	100	100
Total luminosity	L	$10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	1.3	(3.7)	5.9
Luminosity above 99% of \sqrt{s}	$\mathscr{L}_{0.01}$	$10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	0.7	1.4	2
Main tunnel length		km	11.4	27.2	48.3
Charge per bunch	Ν	10 ⁹	3.7	3.7	3.7
Bunch length	σ_z	μm	44	44	44
IP beam size	σ_x/σ_y	nm	100/2.6	pprox 60/1.5	pprox 40/1
Normalised emittance (end of linac)	$\varepsilon_x/\varepsilon_y$	nm		660/20	660/20
Normalised emittance	$\varepsilon_x/\varepsilon_y$	nm	660/25	_	
Estimated power consumption	Pwall	MW	235	364	589

integrated luminosity

Possible scenarios "A" and "B", these are "just examples"

Fig. 5.2: Integrated luminosity in the scenarios optimised for luminosity in the first energy stage (left) and optimised for entry costs (right). Years are counted from the start of beam commissioning. These figures include luminosity ramp-up of four years (5%, 25%, 50%, 75%) in the first stage and two years (25%, 50%) in subsequent stages.

Based on 200 days/year at 50% efficiency (accelerator + data taking combined)

=> CLIC can provide an evolving and rich physics program over several decades

Lucie Linssen, November 20th 2014

CERN **CLIC** power and energy consumption

Fig. 5.7: Development of yearly energy consumption for staging scenarios A (left) and B (right).

CLIC power and energy consumption

Table 5.1: Nominal power and efficiency for staging scenarios A and B, where $W_{main \ beam}$ is for the two main beams.

Staging scenario	\sqrt{s} (TeV)	$\mathscr{L}_{1\%} (cm^{-2}s^{-1})$	Wmain beam (MW)	$P_{electric}$ (MW)	Efficiency (%)
	0.5	$1.4 \cdot 10^{34}$	9.6	272	3.6
Α	1.4	1.3 · 10 ³⁴	12.9	364	3.6
	3.0	$2.0 \cdot 10^{34}$	27.7	589	4.7
	0.5	$7.0 \cdot 10^{33}$	4.6	235	2.0
В	1.5	$1.4 \cdot 10^{34}$	13.9	364	3.8
	3.0	$2.0 \cdot 10^{34}$	27.7	589	4.7

Table 5.2: Residual power without beams for staging scenarios A and B.

Staging scenario	\sqrt{s} (TeV)	Pwaiting for beam (MW)	$P_{shut down}$ (MW)
	0.5	168	37
Α	1.4	190	42
	3.0	268	58
	0.5	167	35
B	1.5	190	42
	3.0	268	58

+ requirements from CLIC beam structure and beam-induced background

CLIC machine environment

√s' [GeV]

Lucie Linssen, November 20th 2014

0

CLIC machine environment

	CLIC at 3 TeV	
L (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	5.9×10 ³⁴	
BX separation	0.5 ns	Crives timing
#BX / train	312	requirements
Train duration (ns)	156	for CLIC detecto
Rep. rate	50 Hz	
Duty cycle	0.00078%	
σ _x / σ _y (nm)	≈ 45 / 1	very small beam size
σ _z (μm)	44	

- 1 train = 312 bunches, 0.5 ns apart
- not to scale -

combined p_T and timing cuts

$e^+e^- \rightarrow H^+H^- \rightarrow t\overline{b}b\overline{t} \rightarrow 8 \text{ jets}$

1.2 TeV background in reconstruction time window

100 GeV background after tight cuts

comparison CLIC <>>> LHC detector

In a nutshell:

CLIC detector:

•High precision:

Jet energy resolution

=> fine-grained calorimetry

Momentum resolution

Impact parameter resolution

•Overlapping beam-induced background:

- •High background rates, medium energies
- •High occupancies
- •Cannot use vertex separation
- •Need very precise timing (1ns, 10ns)

•"No" issue of radiation damage (10⁻⁴ LHC)

- •Except small forward calorimeters
- •Beam crossings "sporadic"
- •No trigger, read-out of full 156 ns train

LHC detector:

•Medium-high precision:

- •Very precise ECAL (CMS)
- Very precise muon tracking (ATLAS)

•Overlapping minimum-bias events:

- High background rates, high energiesHigh occupancies
- •Can use vertex separation in z
- Need precise time-stamping (25 ns)

•Severe challenge of radiation damage

Continuous beam crossings

Trigger has to achieve huge data reduction

calorimetry and PFA

Jet energy resolution and background rejection drive the overall detector design

=> => fine-grained calorimetry + Particle Flow Analysis (PFA)

Higgs physics at CLIC

Higgs physics at CLIC

٧e

 $\overline{\nu}_{e}$

Н

Higgs physics at CLIC

★ Expected precision for CLIC programme

Evaluated using full G4 simulations/full reconstruction global fit

_						
	Coupling	350 GeV	+1.4 TeV	+3.0 TeV		
	HZZ	0.8 %	0.8 %	0.8 %	7	
	HWW	1.8 %	0.9 %	0.9 %		
	Hbb	2.0 %	1.0 %	0.9 %	CLIC gives O(19	%)
	Нсс	3.2 %	1.4 %	1.1 %	model independer Coupling determine	ent inatio
	Hgg	3.6 %	1.1 %	1.0 %		
	Htt	3.5 %	1.5 %	1.4 %		
	Ημμ	-	19 %	10 %	-	
	Htt	0	4.5 %	4.5 %		
	ннн	-	24 %	12 %	Self-coupling	
	G _H	5.0 %	3.6 %	3.4 %	Higgs total width	l
	G _{invis} /G _H	<1.0 %			Invisible width	

Physics reach

FR

CLIC strategy and objectives

2013-18 Development Phase

Develop a Project Plan for a staged implementation in agreement with LHC findings; further technical developments with industry, performance studies for accelerator parts and systems, as well as for detectors.

2018-19 Decisions

On the basis of LHC data and Project Plans (for CLIC and other potential projects), take decisions about next project(s) at the Energy Frontier.

4-5 year Preparation Phase

Finalise implementation parameters, Drive Beam Facility and other system verifications, site authorisation and preparation for industrial procurement.

Prepare detailed Technical Proposals for the detector-systems.

2024-25 Construction Start

Ready for full construction and main tunnel excavation.

Construction Phase

Stage 1 construction of CLIC, in parallel with detector construction.

Preparation for implementation of further stages.

Commissioning Becoming ready for datataking as the LHC programme reaches completion.

European Strategy statements => 2006/2013 CLIC-related statements

2006 statement "4":

4. In order to be in the position to push the energy and luminosity frontier even further it is vital to strengthen the advanced accelerator R&D programme; *a coordinated programme should be intensified, to develop the* CLIC echnology *and high performance magnets for future accelerators, and to play a significant role in the study and development of a high-intensity neutrino facility.*

2013 statement "d":

pp or e⁺e⁻ ≰ at high-energy frontier

d) To stay at the forefront of particle physics, Europe needs to be in a position to propose an ambitious post-LHC accelerator project at CERN by the time of the next Strategy update, when physics results from the LHC running at 14 TeV will be available. CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on proton-proton and electron-positron high-energy frontier machines. These design studies should be coupled to a vigorous accelerator R&D programme, including migh-field magnets and high-gradient accelerating structures, in collaboration with national institutes, laboratories and universities worldwide.

CLIC and FCC

(Interstite the second future collider options) with the second future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) and future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) are second for the second future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) are second for the second future collider options (Interstite the second future collider options) are second for the seco

