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## 1. Introduction

Optical theorem:
Elastic collisions (t-dependence)
$\Longrightarrow$ total cross section
(increase with energy!)

## However -

Important difference:
infinite-range Coulomb force vs.
finite-range nucleon force (assumption - unphysical)
2. Optical theorem and its derivation
V.Barone, E.Predazzi:

High-Energy Particle Diffraction; Springer 2002
Fraunhofer diffraction $\left(k R^{2} \ll D\right)$ :
$\Gamma(b)$ - profile function of hole
Babinet's principle: hole and obstacle (S(b))

$$
U(x, y \cdot z)=-\frac{i k}{2 \pi} U_{0} \frac{e^{i k r}}{r} \int d^{2} \mathbf{b} S(\mathbf{b}) e^{-i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{b}}
$$

Huygens-Fresnel principle -

$$
(S(\mathbf{b})+\Gamma(\mathbf{b})=1) \longrightarrow \text { plane wave }
$$

Complete amplitude:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\hline U_{\text {out }}(x, y, z)\right)=U_{\text {unsc }}+U_{\text {scatt }} \\
&=U_{0}\left(\psi_{u n s c}+f(\mathbf{q}) \frac{e^{i k r}}{r}\right), \\
& \psi_{u n s c}=\alpha e^{i k z}, \quad|\alpha|<1 \\
& \mathbf{q}=\mathbf{k}^{\prime}-\mathbf{k}, \quad\left|\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right|=|\mathbf{k}|=k
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
U(x, y, z)=U_{0}\left(\psi_{u n s c}+f(\mathbf{q}) \frac{e^{i k r}}{r}\right) \\
f(\mathbf{q})=\frac{i k}{2 \pi} \int d^{2} \mathbf{b} \Gamma(\mathbf{b}) e^{-i(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{b})}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\Gamma(b) \text { - profile function }
$$

differential cross section - $\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}=|f(\mathbf{q})|^{2}$
integrated elastic cross section (small scattered angles + Parseval's theorem)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\sigma_{e l} \cong \frac{1}{k^{2}} \int|f(\mathbf{q})|^{2} d^{2} \mathbf{q}=\int d^{2} \mathbf{b} \right\rvert\, \Gamma\left(\left.\mathbf{b}\right|^{2}\right. \\
=\int d^{2} \mathbf{b}|1-S(\mathbf{b})|^{2}
\end{array}
$$

## Further assumptions:

$\psi_{u n s c} \equiv f(0)$ and unitarity
absorption cross section -

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{a b s} & =\int d^{2} \mathbf{b}\left(1-|S(\mathbf{b})|^{2}\right) \\
& =\int d^{2} \mathbf{b}\left(2 \operatorname{Re} \Gamma(\mathbf{b})-\mid \Gamma\left(\left.\mathbf{b}\right|^{2}\right)\right. \\
\sigma_{t o t} & =\sigma_{e l}+\sigma_{a b s}=2 \int d^{2} \mathbf{b} \operatorname{Re} \Gamma(\mathbf{b})
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ total cross section -

$$
\sigma_{t o t}=\frac{4 \pi}{k} \operatorname{Im} f(\mathbf{q}=0)
$$

3. Hadron force and optical theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U(x, y, z)=U_{0}\left(\psi_{u n s c}+f(\mathbf{q}) \frac{e^{i k r}}{r}\right) \\
& U(x, y, z)=U_{0} F(\mathbf{q}) \frac{e^{i k r}}{r} \\
& F(0)=f(0)+\left|\psi_{\text {unsc }}\right| \quad \text { (singular point) }
\end{aligned}
$$

However:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\mathbf{q}) \text { - as continuous } \\
& \quad(\text { and decreasing }) \\
& \Longrightarrow \quad F(0)=f(0) \text { or }\left(\psi_{\text {unsc }}=0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Acceptable:

- for Coulomb force
- not for finite nucleon force: $\psi_{u n s c} \neq 0 \quad$ (in measured beam)

Optical theorem: not generally valid

Questions: actual shape of $f(\mathbf{q})$ ? especially - value of $f(0)$ ? luminosity estimation?
4. Scattering at very small angles (all at $\approx 53 \mathrm{GeV}$ )

## Figure:

Two pairs of lines -
(complete ampl. + hadronic parts)
first pair (vertical line):

## standard approach

second pair:
nucleon amplitude rises for small $|t|$

$$
\left[\Gamma\left(b>b_{\max }\right)=0\right]
$$

## Luminosity : $\frac{d \sigma_{e l}(t)}{d t}=L\left|F^{C+N}(t)\right|^{2}$ derived at $|t|<0.02$ - undervalued?



## 5. Conclusion

see: /arXiv:0906.3961

## Standard approach:

no optical theorem
(for hadron forces)

## Phenomenological models:

cross sections and luminosity ?? (practically no possibility)
pessimistic outlook?!

## However:

## ontological approach?

M.L.: Hidden-variable theory vs. Copenhagen QM;
/arxiv:0905.0140,
will be published in Concepts of Physics (see also: Conference Proceedings, No. 1018, American Institute of Physics, 2008, pp. 40-5)

## Appendix

Ontological model:
Two assumptions:

- each proton in different internal states (divers maximal dimensions - $b_{\max }$ );
- for each internal state and any impact parameter: $\quad P_{e l}(b)=P_{t o t}(b) \cdot P_{r a t}(b)$ $P_{\text {rat }}$ - ratio of elastic to total probab., $P_{\text {tot }}, P_{\text {rat }}$ : opposite monotony in $\left(0, b_{\max }\right)$

Preliminary results ( 53 GeV ):
good (comparable) fits - $|t| \in(0,14) G e V^{2}$ 3 largest internal states: ( 6 comb.)
b-max: $1.61,1.4,0.88 \mathrm{fm}(\geq 0.4)$
frequency: $\approx 57 ., 37 ., 5 . \%$

$$
\sigma_{t o t}=\int_{0}^{b_{\max }} d b P_{t o t}(b) \cong 34 \mathrm{mb}, \sigma_{e l}=7.3 \mathrm{mb}
$$

Luminosity undervaluated: $\approx 20 \%$ solution - peripheral
(comp.: similar eikonal result)

