# Radiation in RE38 and UJ32 under nominal LHC operation

Andy Presland (AB/ATB/EET) Thijs Wijands (TS/LEA)

# Outline

Layouts and Locations Radiation sources Monte-Carlo method Arc description Approximations and errors Results Summary

### Locations

### Location

UJ32 in Arc23 half-cell 18L3RE38 in Arc34 half-cell 21R3













UJ32 - Ajout saferoom + mur + chicane - A.Kosmicki - 21 Mars 2003

## Radiation sources (I)

#### Point losses

- loss distribution around the ring have local maxima
  - high luminosity interaction points IP1 and IP5
  - collimation insertion regions IR3 and IR7.
- contributions from off-momentum protons created IP1 and IP5
  - calculations have shown negligible contributions
    - IP1 (RE38): neglect beyond QF19 in the adjacent arcs (Arc12, Arc81)
    - IP5 (UJ32): neglect beyond QF19 in the adjacent arcs (Arc45, Arc56)
- contribution from off-momentum protons created in IR3
  - Estimates by Baischev show the downstream proton losses are concentrated in the chain of Dispersion Suppressor (DS) magnets B8B-Q8-B9A.
- to good approximation we can neglect point losses

## Radiation sources (II)

#### Beam-gas interactions

- number of beam-gas interactions
  - depends on molecular composition of the gas
  - Imiting value deduced from max heat load to cryo magnets
  - alternatively estimated from operational scenarios.
- historically assumed value 1.65×10<sup>11</sup> m<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup> [Potter 95]
  - used previously to compute dose levels in the ARCs
  - derived from limit on cryogenic heating by hadronic showers
  - assumes 250 hour beam-gas lifetime limit
- this study adopts historical value in absence of better estimate
  enables easy comparison to earlier work
- an important input is 10 years old

### **Monte-Carlo Method**

#### 7 TeV proton interactions

- isotropic distribution along each beam line
- Interactions forced with carbon nuclei (dominate cross-section for beam-gas)

#### Radiation scoring

- radiation (dose, hadron fluence, 1 MeV n<sup>0</sup>) in a scoring bin is given by the sum of all contributions scored in that bin arising from each interactions.
- data is normalised to give the levels per interacting proton.

#### Weighting

data per interacting proton are weighted by the beam-gas interaction rate

#### Conversions

- total energy deposition ( $GeV/cm^3$ ) is converted to dose (1 Gy = 1 J/Kg)
  - at run-time using fluka material densities
- fluence converted to  $1 \text{MeV} n^0$  equiv. on a particle-by-particle basis
  - interpolating between the values of NIEL curve data.
- hadron fluence converted to 20 MeV by applying scoring threshold

# Arc geometry

Optics v6.2 •Arcs unchanged in upgrades •No effect on results expected



### Error sources

#### Physics model

- uncertainty in the inelastic *pp* cross section at 7 TeV
- uncertainty in the energy flow and multiplicity as a function of rapidity
- these effects have been studied by comparing event generators
  - a factor 1.3 was observed [Huh95]

#### Geometrical errors

– a factor 2 for geometry description and material composition is customary.

#### Radiation environment

- [Huhtinen 00] has approached this problem by comparing the results of FLUKA and MARS codes in a simple, well defined geometry.
  - this test will not be affected by experimental errors
  - since the two codes are independent they should not contain the same errors.
  - almost perfect agreement for energy-integrated neutron fluxes and for energy deposition
  - good agreement in the charged hadron spectra
  - this error can be neglected.
- results presented here have a factor 2 cumulated error

# Results::RE38

refer to scorings.ppt

# Summary

- RE38 and UJ32
  - beam-gas interactions are predominant radiation source
  - historical beam-gas interaction rate used
- RE38
  - two geometries were considered
    - baseline layout corresponding to the completed civil works
    - shielded layout that including a standard shielding configuration
  - shielding will reduce the radiation levels by an order of magnitude
    - the annual dose reduces from 3 x 10<sup>-2</sup> Gy y<sup>-1</sup> to 1 x 10<sup>-3</sup> Gy y<sup>-1</sup>
    - the 20 MeV hadron fluence reduces from 1 x 10<sup>8</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>y<sup>-1</sup> to 1 x 10<sup>7</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>
    - the 1 MeV neutrons equivalent fluence reduces from 5 x 10<sup>8</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>y<sup>-1</sup> to 5 x 10<sup>7</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>
  - electronic equipment is not rad hard by design shielding construction is recommended
- UJ32
  - existing wall provides a reduction of the radiation levels
    - reduction approaching a factor 100 is obtained for total ionizing dose- dose will be below 0.01 Gy/y
    - 20 MeV hadrons fluence expected to range from 10<sup>8</sup> hadrons cm<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>7</sup> hadrons cm<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>
    - 1 MeV n<sup>0</sup> equivalent fluence expected to range from 10<sup>9</sup> neutrons cm<sup>-2</sup>y<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>8</sup> neutrons cm<sup>-2</sup>y<sup>-1</sup>
  - dose is not an issue and electronics are expected to operate within specification
  - energetic neutrons may be a problem for the power distribution racks close to the beam
    - The neutron flux monitoring equipment that will be installed at the chicane entry, will help to make this issue more precise.