

Muon identification for the ATLAS experiment

Edward Moyse (on behalf of the ATLAS Muon Combined Performance group)

- Overview of Muon Spectrometer
- Overview and performance of stand-alone tracking algorithms
- Overview and performance of combined algorithms
- Overview and performance of tagging algorithms
- Summary



2

Muon Spectrometer



- Air core toroid magnet (B =0.4 T) to minimize multiple scattering.
- Three layers of precision tracking stations (MDT, CSC) for precise momentum measurement.
- Fast trigger chambers (RPC, TGC) for muon trigger.
- Large rapidity coverage: $|\eta| < 2.7$ (coverage of the inner detector: $|\eta| < 2.5$).
 - EE chambers are staged, and will be installed in 2009 (leading to an lowering of acceptance at |η| ≈1.2). The EE chambers help cover the incomplete coverage of the EO chambers where the hole is from 1.0 ≤ | η|≤ 1.4.

Challenges





- There are some challenges to reconstructing muons with the Muon Spectrometer:
 - The large amount of dead material in ATLAS & in general, the complex geometry
 - There are regions where we have limited numbers of measurements (|η|≈1.2, |η|≈0.0 and near the feet)
 - ... and regions where the B field integral is small (| $\eta|{\approx}1.5$)
- We also need to use muon measurements from the Calorimeter and Inner Detector, in order to get the best possible performance.
 - Two approaches:
 - 'tagging' inner detector tracks as Muons
 - Merging Inner Detector and Muon Spectrometer tracks into a 'combined' track

Stand alone track finding

AND F MASSACHUSE

- Muon Spectrometer:
 - Measurement of the muon momentum in the muon spectrometer
 - This is done by finding 'segments' in stations, calculating the sagitta, and from this (& their directions) the momentum
 - The resulting track is extrapolated back to the beam, and corrected for the energy loss in the calorimeters
 - Two Algorithms:
 - Moore
 - Muonboy

Inner detector



~100% efficient at detecting Muons:

- Found : a simulated muon is considered 'found' if there is a reconstructed track within a specified 'reference distance' (corresponding to 0.5 in η and φ, plus a charge match)
- Good : a found muon is considered 'good' if the 'evaluation distance' (a χ^2 with 5 degrees of freedom) is <4.5

For more information : ATL-PHYS-PUB-2008-000 "Muon Reconstruction and Identification Performance in ATLAS: Studies with Simulated Monte Carlo Samples"



Stand alone Performance: Muon Spectrometer



(Here I only show plots for Muonboy, but Moore performance is comparable)

20

2 m



Stand alone Performance: Resolution



• $\frac{\delta p_T}{p_T} < 10\%$ up to $p_T \le 1$ TeV.

- Resolution at low p_T limited by energy loss fluctuations.
- Optimum resolution of 3.5% limited by multiple scattering in the muon spectrometer.
- Resolution at high $p_{\rm T}$ limited by the spatial resolution and alignment of the muon chambers.

Combination Algorithms



- As will be shown, we can improve the performance of our Muon identification (and correct for problems with the Muon Spectrometer) by combining measurements from all ATLAS sub-detectors
- Two Algorithms to do this: STACO and Muid
- Both muon combination algorithms create combined tracks out of pairs of muononly and inner-detector-only tracks.
 - To do this, a match χ^2 is used.
 - Corrections are made for energy loss in the Calorimeter
- However how they handle the combined track differs slightly:
 - STACO does a statistical combination of the track vectors to obtain the combined track vector
 - Muid re-fits the combined track, starting from the ID track and then adding Muon measurements



Combined Performance: Efficiency



- From the plot to the left, we can see that combined efficiency is actually slightly lower than for the standalone muon algorithms.
 - Expected, as this is the convolution of the two standalone reconstruction (ID + MS) efficiencies, plus the tracks need to be successfully combined.

- The ID significantly improves the position and direction measurement
 - Again expected: the measurements are much closer to the IP.
- In the plot you see this: the 'good' efficiency increases.
 - Combined reco improves the 'quality'



Combined Performance: Resolution



Muon spectrometer crucial for good momentum resolution at large pT .

esolution (1987)

Rapidity dependence of the momentum resolution



- Stand-alone p_T resolution almost independent of |η| apart from the transition region around |η| ≈1.5 because of the small field integration.
- Poor stand-alone resolution in the transition region recovered after the combination with the inner detector.

Muon Tagging



- Take an Inner Detector track and 'tag' it as a muon by either:
 - Finding a matched muon segment (i.e. a proto-track but in one station only)
 - Finding an appropriate energy loss measurement in the calorimeter
- Algorithms
 - MuTag, MuTagIMO
 - MuGirl
 - CaloTag, CaloTagLR
- Overview:
 - CaloTag uses cuts, whilst CaloTagLR makes use of a Likelihood ratio.
 - MuTag defines a tag χ^2 , whilst MuGirl uses a neural network to define a discriminant.
 - MuGirl looks at all ID tracks and does segment finding around these tracks, whilst MuTag only uses ID tracks and segments not used by STACO.
 - (MuGirl also refits combined tracks so it could be considered a 'combined' algorithm too)

Muon Tagging: Efficiency





- Efficiency drop
 - |η|≈0 Large acceptance gap in the muon spectrometer for services of the inner detector and the calorimeters.
 - |η|≈1.2 Missing EE chambers in the spectrometer (to be installed in 2009)
- Efficiency recovery
 - |η|≈0 Tagging of inner detector tracks by calorimeter depositions (not included in the figure).
 - |η|≈1.2 Tagging of inner detector tracks by track segments in the spectrometer.

Cosmics





- ATLAS has now taken many millions of cosmic measurements
- Analysis is ongoing, but the plots to the left show a clear correlation between ID and Muon tracks.
- ATLAS is using cosmic data to study calibration and alignment, and to optimise the performance of the various algorithms.

Summary



- The ATLAS Muon Spectrometer presents some challenges to reconstructing muon tracks, notably the large dead material budget, the missing stations in the transition region, and the highly inhomogeneous magnetic field
- By using information from the Inner Detector tracking, and the calorimeters, we can recover tracks that would otherwise be lost, and improve the physics performance of ATLAS.
- The various combination and tagging algorithms that do this, have been extensively tested on simulated data, and found to perform well.
- Further optimisations are ongoing, and in particular the algorithms are now being tested with cosmic and first beam data.