



Kerr-Newman Black Holes in Supergravity

Finn Larsen

Michigan Center for Theoretical Physics

CERN, June 28, 2016 .

Corrections to Black Hole Entropy

- Precision studies of BHs in string theory usually focus on BPS black holes (and their near BPS relatives).
- Conventional wisdom: far from BPS there are large and complicated corrections.
- This talk: *explicitly compute corrections* to black hole entropy far from the BPS limit.
- Two types: *quantum corrections* and *higher derivative corrections*.
- Generally the corrections are found to be fairly complicated, as expected.

Environmental Dependence

- Black holes are often solutions to many different theories.
- For example, ***Kerr-Newman black holes*** are usually considered ***solutions to the Einstein-Maxwell theory***

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left(R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

- A simple variation: augment the theory by adding a field that appears only quadratically in the action (such as a fermion ψ .)
- The ***solution is “the same”*** because it is consistent to assume that the additional field vanishes $\psi = 0$.
- Environmental dependence: ***corrections depend on such additional fields*** (for example, these fields run in quantum loops).
- This talk: ***Kerr-Newman black holes are simpler in an environment with $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ supersymmetry.***

This Talk

- **Embedding** of Kerr-Newman black holes into theories with $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ SUSY.
- **Quantum corrections** to black hole entropy.
- **Higher derivative corrections** to black hole entropy: Weyl².
- **Discussion**: structure of black hole entropy.

Collaborator: Anthony M. Charles.

Black Hole Solutions

- Starting point: consider *any solution to Einstein-Maxwell theory*

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \left(R - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right)$$

- The general asymptotically flat stationary black holes: Kerr-Newman (quantum numbers: M, J, Q).
- Special cases:
 - Schwarzschild: M general but J=Q=0
 - Kerr: M and J general but Q=0
 - Reissner-Nordström: M and Q general but J=0
 - BPS M=Q and J=0
- We want to consider these as solutions to $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ SUGRA.

N=2 SUGRA

- The simplest case: $\mathcal{N} = 2$ **minimal** SUGRA has bosonic part identical to Einstein-Maxwell theory.
- The solution remains a solution after two gravitini multiplets are added because they can be consistently set to zero.
- **Coupling to n_V vector multiplets is a more significant challenge:**

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}R - g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\nabla^\mu z^\alpha\nabla_\mu z^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2}\text{Im} [\mathcal{N}_{IJ}F_{\mu\nu}^{+I}F^{+\mu\nu J}]$$

- Comments:
 - Complex scalar fields in vector multiplets: z^α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, n_V$.
 - Vector fields A_μ^I include the graviphoton so $I = 0, \dots, n_V$ (one more value).
 - Kähler metric $g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ and vector couplings \mathcal{N}_{IJ} depend on scalars and are related by special geometry.

Adding Scalars to Kerr-Newman

- Kerr-Newman does not have scalars so to maintain the “same” solution we take the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ **scalars constant**.
- An obstacle: **generally the vector fields source the scalars** so they cannot be constant.
- The sources on the scalars all cancel if we specify the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vectors in terms of the Einstein-Maxwell vector as:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{+I} = X^I F_{\mu\nu}^+ .$$

with X^I projective coordinates for the scalars.

- Interpretation 1: specify moduli, then pick the vectors (Q^I, P_I) so that the BPS attractor equations are satisfied for these moduli.
- Interpretation 2: the **Einstein-Maxwell field is the graviphoton**.

More General Embedding

- We consider *all theories with $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ SUSY*.
- It is convenient to summarize the matter content in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ fields: one SUGRA multiplet, $\mathcal{N} - 2$ (massive) gravitini, n_V vector multiplets, n_H hyper multiplets.
- This *decomposition is useful for both BPS and non-BPS*.
- Our embedding takes the geometry unchanged, matter fields “minimal”, and guarantees that all equations of motion of $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ SUGRA are satisfied.
- We want to compute quantum corrections of Kerr-Newman as a solution in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ SUGRA.

Quantum Corrections: Generalities

- The entropy of a large black hole allows the expansion:

$$S = \frac{A}{4G} + \frac{1}{2}D_0 \log A + \dots$$

- ***Taking all parameters with dimension length large***: area $A \sim (2MG)^2$ by dimensional analysis ***up to a function of dimensionless ratios $J/M^2, Q/M$*** that is nontrivial.
- In the same limit, the logarithmic correction is $\log A \sim \log 2MG$ up to the function D_0 of dimensionless ratios that is interesting.
- The area A and the coefficient D_0 can both be ***computed from the low energy theory***: only massless fields contribute.
- They each offer an ***infrared window into the ultraviolet theory***.

Quantum Fluctuations: Strategy

- All contributions from quadratic fluctuations around the classical geometry take the schematic form

$$e^{-W} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-\phi\Lambda\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det\Lambda}} .$$

- The quantum corrections are encoded in the heat kernel

$$D(s) = \text{Tr} e^{-s\Lambda} = \sum_i e^{-s\lambda_i} .$$

- The effective action becomes

$$W = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\epsilon^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} D(s) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\epsilon^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s} \int d^D x K(s) .$$

- The leading corrections are encoded in the **the s -independent term in $D(s)$ denoted D_0** , a.k.a. the 2nd Seeley-deWitt coefficient, a.k.a. the trace anomaly.

Interactions

- ***In principle***: computations are straightforward applications of techniques from the 70's.
- But: our embedding into SUGRA gives ***nonminimal couplings***.
- For example, for fermions in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hypermultiplets the background enter through Pauli couplings

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{hyper}} = -2\bar{\zeta}_A \gamma^\mu D_\mu \zeta^A - \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\zeta}^A F_{\mu\nu} \Gamma^{\mu\nu} \zeta^B \epsilon_{AB} + \text{h.c.} \right) .$$

- Bosons in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplets (some effort to show)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vector}} = -g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \left(\nabla_\mu z^\alpha \nabla^\mu \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2} f_{\mu\nu}^\alpha f^{\mu\nu\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} (F_{\mu\nu}^- f^{\alpha\mu\nu} \bar{z}^{\bar{\beta}} + \text{h.c.}) \right)$$

- Such nonminimal couplings force us to compute some new heat kernels.

Heat Kernel Technology

- Perturbative expansion of the (equal point) heat kernel density:

$$K(x, x; s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^{n-2} a_{2n}(x)$$

- We need a_4 (the D_0 coefficient is the spacetime integral over a_4)
- Schematic for Λ :

$$\Lambda_m^n = -(\mathcal{D}^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu) I_m^n - (2\omega^\mu D_\mu)_m^n - P_m^n$$

- General result:

$$(4\pi)^2 a_4(x) = \text{Tr} \left[\frac{1}{2} E^2 + \frac{1}{12} \Omega_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{180} (R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}) I \right].$$

Notation:

$$E = P - \omega^\mu \omega_\mu - (D^\mu \omega_\mu), \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu = D_\mu + \omega_\mu, \quad \Omega_{\mu\nu} \equiv [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu].$$

Examples

- Lagrangian for minimally coupled scalar with mass m :

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu \phi)(\partial_\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \phi^2 .$$

Heat kernel coefficient

$$(4\pi)^2 a_4^{\text{minimal scalar}}(x) = \frac{1}{2}m^4 + \frac{1}{180} (R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}) .$$

- Gravitino in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUGRA multiplet:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gravitini}} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \bar{\Psi}_{A\mu} \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho} D_\nu \Psi_{A\rho} + \frac{1}{4\kappa^2} \bar{\Psi}_{A\mu} \left(F^{\mu\nu} + \gamma_5 \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right) \epsilon_{AB} \Psi_{B\nu}$$

Heat kernel coefficient:

$$\begin{aligned} (4\pi)^2 a_4^{\text{gravitino}}(x) = & -\frac{1}{360} (212 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 32 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} \\ & - 360 R_{\mu\nu} (F^{\mu\rho} F_\rho^\nu - \tilde{F}^{\mu\rho} \tilde{F}_\rho^\nu) + 180 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} - \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma}) \\ & + 45 (F^{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\rho} - \tilde{F}^{\mu\rho} \tilde{F}_{\nu\rho}) (F_{\mu\sigma} F^{\nu\sigma} - \tilde{F}_{\mu\sigma} \tilde{F}^{\nu\sigma}) \Big) . \end{aligned}$$

Simplifications

- General form of 2nd Seeley-deWitt coefficient:

$$a_4(x) = \alpha_1 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + \alpha_2 R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \alpha_3 R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} + \dots$$

- After simplifications using Einstein equation, Bianchi identities,

$$a_4(x) = \frac{c}{16\pi^2} W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{a}{16\pi^2} E_4 ,$$

Euler density

$$E_4 = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 .$$

- Note: ***terms dependent on field strength all cancel.***
- Final results can be expressed in terms of c, a only.
- For each $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplet: record c, a for bosons and fermions independently.

Integrals

- Form of quantum corrections to the entropy:

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} D_0 \left(\frac{Q}{M}, \frac{J}{M^2} \right) \log A_H$$

- The a -term gives a **universal** (independent of BH parameters) contribution to D_0 because

$$\chi = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} E_4 = 2 .$$

- The c -term gives a complicated contribution to D_0 :

$$\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 64\pi^2 + \frac{\pi\beta Q^4}{b^5 r_H^4 (b^2 + r_H^2)} \left[4b^5 r_H + 2b^3 r_H^3 + 3(b^2 - r_H^2)(b^2 + r_H^2)^2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{b}{r_H} \right) + 3br_H^5 \right] .$$

$$b = J/M, r_H = M + \sqrt{M^2 - b^2}, \beta = 1/T.$$

Results: Logarithmic Corrections

- Contributions from bosons in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ theory:

$$c^{\text{boson}} = \frac{1}{60} (137 + 12(\mathcal{N} - 2) - 3n_V + 2n_H)$$
$$a^{\text{boson}} = \frac{1}{90} (106 + 31(\mathcal{N} - 2) + n_V + n_H)$$

- Contributions from fermions in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ theory:

$$c^{\text{fermion}} = \frac{1}{60} (-137 - 12(\mathcal{N} - 2) + 3n_V - 2n_H)$$
$$a^{\text{fermion}} = \frac{1}{360} (-589 + 41(\mathcal{N} - 2) + 11n_V - 19n_H)$$

- The c ***coefficient vanishes in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ theory!***
- A ***huge simplification***: Weyl² terms are complicated in general backgrounds.
- It is ***a surprise***: SUSY of the background $\Rightarrow \text{AdS}_2 \times S^2 \Rightarrow \text{Weyl}^2 = 0$

Summary: Quantum Corrections

- Logarithmic corrections to black hole entropy in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ SUGRA are determined by the coefficient of the Euler invariant.
- This coefficient ***depends only on the theory*** (not on parameters of the black hole) so the ***logarithmic corrections are universal:***

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{12} (23 - 11(\mathcal{N} - 2) - n_V + n_H) \log A_H .$$

- These corrections can be reproduced from microscopic theory in some BPS cases.
- The IR theory is a window into the UV theory: apparently the ***deformation (far!) off extremality is independent of coupling!***
- A minor caveat: fermionic zero modes (due to enhanced SUSY) gives a jump at extremality (in most ensembles).

Higher Derivative Corrections

- String theory corrections can give a Weyl² term ***directly in the action***.
- Quantum result: the coefficient of this term does not receive quantum corrections, it is not renormalized in $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ SUGRA.
- ***A term directly in the action is complicated***: the Einstein equation is modified by the Bach tensor

$$B_{\mu\nu} = 4R_{\mu\rho}R_{\nu}^{\rho} - g_{\mu\nu}R_{\rho\sigma}R^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{4}{3}R_{\mu\nu}R + \frac{1}{3}g_{\mu\nu}R^2 - 2R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} \\ + 4D^{\rho}D_{\mu}R_{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{3}g_{\mu\nu}R^2 - \frac{4}{3}D_{\mu}D_{\nu}R .$$

- A perturbative approach: evaluate $B_{\mu\nu}$ on the Kerr-Newman background, use result to correct geometry, evaluate $B_{\mu\nu}$ on the corrected geometry,....
- This procedure is simple conceptually but the results are complicated and not illuminating.

SUSY Theory and BPS Black Holes

- We are interested in corrections with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY, eg. a Weyl² term *along with its N=2 partners*.
- Details of the action: off-shell formalism from reduction of superconformal supersymmetry, a lot of auxiliary fields.
- To find *BPS solutions* to the resulting action:
 - Constrain solution using BPS conditions (independent of action in off-shell theory)
 - Use action for the final details.
- This *program has proven extremely successful*:
 - Corrections to BPS BH entropy were computed in detail.
 - They were found to agree with microscopic considerations.
- Summary of agreements: OSV conjecture *relating BPS black hole entropy to topological string amplitudes*.

SUSY Theory and Kerr-Newman

- We have analyzed the full **equations of motion** of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUGRA with Weyl^2 (**including SUSY partners**).
- The equations of motion are extremely elaborate due to all the terms required by SUSY.
- In the simplest case of pure $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUGRA (no vector multiplets): **the full equations motion are satisfied by Kerr-Newman**.
- Cartoon: there is an elaborate cancellation between gravitational terms (Weyl^2), their matter partners (F^4), and cross-terms (RF^2).
- The simplifications are for a **theory with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY** but **solutions that preserve no SUSY**.

Wald Entropy

- The geometry is the same, but the Wald entropy is changed.
- **Corrections to Wald entropy simplify greatly.** Schematically:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\text{Riem}}(\text{Weyl}^2 + \text{SUSY partners}) &= \partial_{\text{Riem}} \left((\text{Riem}^2 - 2\text{Ric}^2 + \frac{1}{3}R^2) + \frac{1}{4}\text{Ric} F^2 \right) \\ &= \partial_{\text{Riem}} (\text{Riem}^2 - 4\text{Ric}^2 + R^2) = \partial_{\text{Riem}} E_4\end{aligned}$$

- The correction to the Wald entropy due to higher order derivatives is a **constant, independent of black hole parameters**:

$$\Delta S = 256\pi c_2$$

- The **value of the constant is related to the prepotential** according to the **OSV conjecture for BPS black holes**.

Entropy Phenomenology

- **Entropy of Kerr Newman BHs:**

$$S = 2\pi \left((M^2 - \frac{1}{2}Q^2) + \sqrt{M^2(M^2 - Q^2) - J^2} \right)$$

- The form of the formula is **reminiscent of the Cardy formula for entropy of 2D CFTs:**

$$S = 2\pi \left[\sqrt{\frac{c_L h_L}{6}} + \sqrt{\frac{c_R h_R}{6}} \right]$$

- The resemblance may be an important clue.
- Dependence on angular momentum J accounted for by the model: identify it with the $SU(2)_R$ quantum number in a $(0, 4)$ CFT (as for 5D BPS BHs).

Matching Condition

- The levels assigned by the phenomenology satisfy a **matching condition**

$$\frac{c_L h_L}{6} - \frac{c_R h_R}{6} = \frac{1}{4} Q^4 = \text{integer} = \text{independent of } M$$

- This would be expected from **modular invariance of a CFT** (at least in the short string sector $c_L = c_R = 6$).
- Complaint: $Q^2 = n^2 \alpha$ with $\alpha \simeq 1/137$ in QED is not an integer.
- Response: embedding into $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUGRA: $Q^2 \rightarrow 4QP$.
Dirac's quantization rule gives $QP = \frac{1}{2} \times \text{integer}$.
- So: $\mathcal{N} = 2$ **of theory** gives simplifications for generic black holes.

Derivative Corrections to Entropy

- Higher derivative corrections (Euler or Weyl² + SUSY) ***add a constant to the entropy.***
- The value is ***independent of mass*** so same as BPS case so accounted for by BPS CFT (the OSV conjecture).
- The quantum corrections are also the same as the BPS case so accounted for by BPS CFT (the OSV conjecture).
- So ***all corrections pertain to L sector*** (as for BPS black holes).

The Inner Horizon Revisited

- For any black hole geometry there is an ***algorithm to split the entropy into “L” and “R” contributions***:

$$S_+ = S_L + S_R = \frac{1}{2}(S_+ + S_-) + \frac{1}{2}(S_+ - S_-)$$

where $S_{\pm} = \frac{A_{\pm}}{4G_N}$ with \pm referring to outer and ***inner horizon***.

- Higher derivative corrections are the same for outer and inner horizon.
- This algorithm agrees that ***all corrections are captured by S_L*** .
- Slogan for matching condition (leading order)

$$\frac{1}{(8\pi G_4)} A_+ A_- = \text{integer}$$

- Generalization to higher derivatives using $S_{L,R}$ as simple as using S_{\pm} and apparently the correct one.

Nonextreme BH Entropy (Scenario)

- Extremal Black hole entropy is accounted for by ***chiral string field theory*** (multiple strings).
- These theories are complicated but ***we have much experience with them*** at the level of indices and/or localization.
- A scenario for nonextreme black hole entropy: ***couple L and R chiral string field theory*** minimally.
- The L theory same as BPS theory, the R theory contains oscillator excitations but no new corrections.
- ***This gives the correct result*** for the black hole entropy.

Summary

- We evaluated corrections to Kerr-Newman black holes motivated by string theory: quantum corrections and higher derivative corrections.
- Perspective: $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ ***SUSY of the theory simplifies results greatly even when BHs preserve no SUSY.***
- Quantum corrections: independent of mass (so the same as for BPS black holes)
- Higher derivative corrections (Weyl² + SUSY) also independent of mass (so the same as for BPS black holes)
- Significance: evidence that ***black hole entropy far from extremality is accounted for by weakly coupled strings.***