

1

WLCG IPv6 deployment strategy

2

HEPiX IPv6 Working group

3

June 21, 2016

4

Version 0.1

5

Executive Summary

6

This document describes the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid's (WLCG) strategy to allow sites to provide IPv6 resources to the LHC experiments. In summary:

7

8

9

- Sites can provide IPv6-only CPU resources from April 2017 onwards if necessary;

10

11

- Sites can provide IPv6-only interfaces to their CPU resources, if necessary;

12

13

- The VO infrastructure (e.g. central services provided by VOs) must provide an equal quality of service to both IPv4 and IPv6 resources;

14

15

16

- Sites should allow dual stack access to their storage resources, to allow remote access from IPv6-only resources.

17

18 **Contents**

19	1 Introduction	3
20	2 Site requirements within the current computing model	4
21	2.1 Site Services	5
22	2.1.1 CPU	5
23	2.1.2 Disk	6
24	2.1.3 Tier 1 requirements	6
25	2.2 Shared services	7
26	2.2.1 CVMFS	7
27	2.2.2 FTS	7
28	2.2.3 PerfSonar	7
29	2.2.4 ETF test infrastructure	8
30	2.2.5 Frontier Service	8
31	2.2.6 Other Services	8
32	3 Experiment plans	9
33	3.1 ALICE	9
34	3.2 ATLAS	9
35	3.3 CMS	10
36	3.4 LHCb	11
37	4 Conclusion	12

38 1 Introduction

39 There are various motivations for WLCG sites to migrate services to IPv6.
40 The most obvious is the exhaustion of the IPv4 address space, which is al-
41 ready putting constraints on some countries and institutions. The WLCG is
42 expected to evolve under the assumption of flat cash funding for computing
43 resources and it is therefore important that sites are not hindered in their
44 procurement by unnecessary restrictions from the WLCG VOs. Hardware
45 procurements often have a significant lead time and will often be in produc-
46 tion for several years. Even if a site does not intend to switch to IPv6 any
47 time soon, they may well be making procurement decisions now which will
48 influence their decision to migrate.

49 Significant effort is also being put in by the WLCG community to in-
50 vestigate commercial cloud providers to see if they can provide resources
51 (normally CPU) more cost effectively than traditional Grid sites. Some
52 commercial providers charge more for machines with IPv4 connectivity over
53 those with IPv6 only connectivity[1]. The commercial sectors adoption of
54 IPv6 is significantly ahead of the WLCG. The rapid growth in the percent-
55 age of internet traffic going over IPv6 is expected to continue and large
56 companies such as Apple now mandate that software should be validated on
57 machines with IPv6 only connectivity[2].

58 The eventual goal with IPv6 deployment is for the entire internet to
59 migrate to IPv6 only. However as IPv6-only machines are unable to talk
60 to IPv4-only machines, during the migration, certain machines will need to
61 have both IPv4 and IPv6 addresses (dual stack machines). One possible
62 upgrade path would be for all sites to upgrade to dual stack before allowing
63 any site to switch off IPv4. This is unworkable as some sites are already
64 under pressure to migrate while others have not started thinking about
65 it. As there is an additional overhead in running dual stack machines and
66 the fact that the complete migration will take several years, where possible
67 machines should be migrated directly to IPv6.

68 This document describes the required steps to allow existing and future
69 sites and any opportunistic resource that may become available to provide
70 IPv6-only CPU resources. In order to provide CPU resources, a site also
71 needs to provide other services such as CEs, Squid caching proxies etc.; for
72 this reason, when this document refers to IPv6-only CPU resources it means
73 not only the WN but all related services can be IPv6-only.

74 In order not to penalise sites that choose to deploy IPv6-only CPU re-
75 sources, central services need to not only work with IPv6 but should provide
76 the same level of service (e.g. resilience and performance). Ideally the setup

77 would be identical and in cases where this is not possible the differences
78 should be clearly documented. Each of the WLCG VOs operate some cen-
79 tral services which are usually hosted at CERN. CERN is already able to
80 make these services dual stack. The WLCG also operates several central
81 services, which should be made dual stack.

82 **2 Site requirements within the current computing** 83 **model**

84 In the current computing model followed by the WLCG VOs a typical site
85 provides CPU and Disk resources. Data can be transferred to the site from
86 many other sites. In general jobs are still sent to the data therefore jobs
87 running on a sites CPU resources normally only access the local storage.
88 Some sites only provide CPU resources in which case they normally make
89 use of a nearby site's storage.

90 For this reason, it should be possible for sites to directly upgrade their
91 CPU resources to IPv6 as long as they upgrade their storage to dual stack
92 first. For VOs to take advantage of [opportunistic] CPU only resources it
93 will be necessary to have a nearby dual stack storage for them to connect
94 to.

95 The WLCG VOs, to different extents, all make use of federated XrootD
96 access. In the case of LHCb this is purely as a failover incase data access
97 at the local sites fails. For ATLAS (FAX) and CMS (AAA), as well as
98 making using of the failover mechanism, a small number of jobs make use
99 of the XrootD federation to access files remotely. This is normally to take
100 advantage of idle CPU at sites which lack the relevant data but have good
101 connectivity to the site that does. ALICE uses a fully federated storage
102 model.

103 The XrootD redirection mechanism has been designed to not direct re-
104 quests from an IPv4-only source to an IPv6-only destination or vice a versa.
105 Therefore if there are two copies of a file one on a dual stack storage and
106 one on a IPv4-only storage a job on an IPv6-only machine should be able
107 to access the file.

108 The original WLCG computing models used Tier 1 sites to distribute
109 data to the Tier 2 sites. While this model has changed, Tier 1s are still
110 extremely important as they have good connectivity, and provide access to
111 a lot of data. They also still run many services that are required by VOs or
112 other sites. It is therefore critical that the Tier 1s follow CERNs lead and
113 provide dual stack access to their services.

114 2.1 Site Services

115 2.1.1 CPU

116 A site that provides CPU resources to the WLCG is likely to have deployed
117 the following:

- 118 • Computer Element (CE): VOs submit their jobs to site CEs. The role
119 of a CE is to convert this job submission over the Grid into something
120 that the local batch system understands.
- 121 • Worker Nodes (WN): These provide the job slots where jobs run. Out-
122 bound connectivity is normally assumed.
- 123 • Squid proxies: These are used to cache requests from jobs to CVMFS
124 and Frontier.
- 125 • Accounting: The number and usage statistics of jobs run is reported
126 on a monthly basis to APEL.
- 127 • Information Provider: Site information is provided by the BDII, while
128 usage of this service is dropping, it is still necessary for some functions.

129 There are some CPU resources (HPC, commercial clouds) that have dif-
130 ferent setups. However these normally place constraints on the VOs that
131 would actually make it easier to be IPv6 compliant (e.g. no outbound con-
132 nectivity). WN normally make up the majority of machines (and hence IP
133 addresses) run by a site.

134 There are two likely upgrade paths. Some sites may wish to deploy all
135 their CPU resources IPv6-only in one go. In this case all services that speak
136 to any of these resources will need to be dual stack. Alternatively a site
137 may wish to upgrade more slowly, they are likely to make their services dual
138 stack and then migrate their WN to IPv6-only. When they are happy with
139 the migration, they can drop the IPv4 support from the remaining services.
140 In this case only the services that speak to WN will need to be made dual
141 stack.

142 From April 2017 sites will be allowed to deploy IPv6-only CPU resources
143 (and all related services). It is expected that the first few sites to migrate
144 will choose a gradual upgrade which will hopefully avoid problems that could
145 significantly affect the sites availability.

146 **2.1.2 Disk**

147 WLCG sites deploy a range of storage solutions. In general data can either
148 be accessed directly from the storage node or via gateway (sometimes known
149 as a doors or proxy) machines. Sites can use a variety of transfers protocols
150 internally however the LHC VOs rely on the XrootD and GridFTP protocols,
151 both of which have been shown to be IPv6 compliant. There is also a push
152 within the WLCG to use http and this is also IPv6 compliant. Both dCache
153 and DPM, the most popular storage services run by WLCG sites are already
154 being run by a small number of sites as dual stack in production. Other
155 storage service have been shown to be IPv6 compliant. For storage services
156 which aren't IPv6 compliant (e.g. Castor) it is still possible to provide dual
157 stack access via an XrootD/GridFTP dual stack gateway service.

158 All sites are encouraged to upgrade their storage to dual stack. Even if
159 a site does not intend to migrate to IPv6 soon, if it provides external access
160 to its services via dual stack gateways these will help the VOs with data
161 access.

162 **2.1.3 Tier 1 requirements**

163 In addition to CPU and Disk resources, Tier 1s also provide Tape backed
164 storage. Tape backed data is not used by jobs running on the Grid and there
165 is no requirement at this time to make this service dual stack. Having said
166 that most Tier 1 use dCache which provides a common interface to access
167 both disk and tape back files so it is expected that tape backed service will
168 become dual stack at the same time the disk is.

169 Tier 1s will be required to provide dual stack access to their storage with
170 the following requirements:

- 171 • At least 1Gb/s and 90% availability by April 2017.
- 172 • At least 10Gb/s and 95% availability by April 2018.

173 Even if there are Tier 1s, that haven't started to think about IPv6, it should
174 be possible to fulfil the April 2017 goal with a testbed setup which should
175 be easily achievable. Any central services a Tier 1 provides will also need to
176 be made dual stack with similar availability as for the storage.

177 **2.2 Shared services**

178 **2.2.1 CVMFS**

179 All the WLCG VOs as well as many others distribute their software across
180 the Grid using CVMFS. The software is uploaded to a Stratum-0 server
181 (located at CERN for the WLCG VOs) which then mirrors the data to
182 several Stratum-1 servers[4]. Jobs will access the VO software from a cache
183 on the local disk; if the file is not available, it will be looked for in the
184 site Squid server, which in turn, will contact a Stratum-1 if needed. Squid
185 3.x is IPv6 compliant¹ and is being used in production by some sites. It is
186 essential that the Stratum-1 service at CERN is upgraded to dual stack by
187 April 2017. When possible the Tier 1 should upgrade their service to dual
188 stack and all Tier 1s should be upgraded by April 2018 at the very latest.

189 **2.2.2 FTS**

190 ATLAS, CMS and LHCb all use the FTS service extensive for data move-
191 ment around the Grid. Jobs do not contact the FTS service directly so it is
192 not necessary for the FTS service to be dual stack. All VOs are encouraging
193 sites to make their storage dual stack. Transfers via two dual stack service
194 should go via IPv6, however it is the FTS server which initiates the negotia-
195 tion and sends a PASV (on IPv4) or an EPSV (on IPv6) to the destination
196 and sends the IP (for the corresponding protocol) and port to the source.
197 Therefore all FTS services should be upgraded to allow transfers between
198 dual stack sites to go over IPv6.

199 Currently the FTS service at CERN is dual stack. There are IPv4 only
200 FTS services at RAL, BNL and Fermilab that are used by the LHC VOs.
201 While it is possible to work around this all FTS services should be upgraded
202 to dual stack when possible and by April 2018 at the very latest.

203 **2.2.3 PerfSonar**

204 PerfSonar instances are required at all WLCG sites to implement the net-
205 work monitoring infrastructure. All Tier-1s were requested to provide a
206 dual stack perfSonar instance and GGUS tickets have now been submitted
207 to those that have not. PerfSonar is a very good way of checking that the
208 migration to IPv6 hasn't caused any network/routing problems. All sites
209 are requested to provide a dual stack PerfSonar instance by April 2018 at

¹There is a bug in the handling of HTTP caching headers, whose resolution is expected for July.

210 the latest. While it is not essential for all Tier 2s to migrate, it would be con-
211 cerning if they are unable to provide a PerfSonar instance by this time. Any
212 site unable to provide a PerfSonar instance by April 2018 will be requested
213 to provide a clear description of their IPv6 plans.

214 **2.2.4 ETF test infrastructure**

215 A separate IPv6-only ETF test infrastructure will need to be set up to
216 monitor IPv6-ready sites. This must be done by April 2017. This will be
217 run in parallel to the production ETF test infrastructure. This service will
218 provide sites with low level monitoring to help them identify problems with
219 their IPv6 migration and not used for official availability metrics unless
220 the site is providing some resources on IPv6 only. From April 2018 the
221 official ETF infrastructure will be migrated to dual stack. From this point
222 on production work going over IPv6 should be considered entirely normal.
223 This will hopefully encourage sites to investigate IPv6 before April 2018.

224 **2.2.5 Frontier Service**

225 ATLAS and CMS both use the Frontier Service[5] to access conditions data
226 across the Grid. The Frontier service has three components:

- 227 • Frontier client: This software is run by ATLAS and CMS jobs. It
228 converts a conditions database query into an HTTP request. The
229 Frontier Client was made IPV6 compliant in January 2016.
- 230 • Squid proxy: Sites are expected to deploy squid servers to cache the
231 conditions data requests.
- 232 • Frontier Launchpad: This converts the HTTP requests back into database
233 queries which are then submitted to the conditions database.

234 **2.2.6 Other Services**

235 There are several other services such as certificate authorities, software
236 repositories, the GOCDB/OIM, GGUS and the BDii. These are not used
237 directly by jobs but are needed when configuring the site. These services
238 should be made dual stack when possible and ideally by April 2018 (although
239 some services might not fall under the WLCG banner). It will depend heav-
240 ily on the site setup as to whether the lack of IPv6 connectivity will cause
241 problems. Problems will have to be followed up by the HEPiX working
242 group as they appear.

243 **3 Experiment plans**

244 The WLCG VO plans to allow IPv6 only CPU sites are detailed below.
245 In general the motivation for VOs to support IPv6 is to be able to take
246 advantage of any opportunistic resources that maybe IPv6 only. The VOs
247 agree that sites should be able to migrate to IPv6 if it gives performance,
248 cost or operational advantages. The VOs recommend all sites to upgrade
249 their storage to dual stack and would expect a large number to have by the
250 end of Run II. During Run II, the VOs still expect good site availability and
251 reliability and where possible sites should retain their IPv4 connectivity until
252 the end of Run II, even in a degraded form, as a precaution (e.g. don't hand
253 back IPv4 addresses or completely decommission NATs if not necessary).

254 **3.1 ALICE**

255 Unlike the other LHC VOs, ALICE uses fully federated storage, any site can
256 access the storage element of another site if needed (reading, writing and
257 data transfers). Therefore in order to ensure all job types can run on IPv6
258 only CPU all data needs to be accessible over IPv6. Some data is stored on
259 multiple sites and therefore it does not necessarily mean all sites will need to
260 be dual stack. To support IPv6, the site storage elements need to run xrootd
261 v.4. The central ALICE Grid services have been tested to run on IPv6 and
262 are running in dual stack mode for over a year. For sites supporting ALICE
263 the current situation is:

- 264 • One third of the sites are still running SEs with xrootd v.3.
- 265 • 5% of the SEs are running in dual stack mode, while the remaining
266 are IPv4.

267 **3.2 ATLAS**

268 The ATLAS workload management system is called PanDA [3]. Pilot facto-
269 ries generate pilot jobs which are sent directly to CEs at sites. Once these
270 pilots are started by the batch system, they will contact a central Panda
271 Server to pull in a job (done via http). They will also contact the Rucio
272 Server for File lookup (done via http) and the local storage. Some ATLAS
273 jobs access Conditions data using the Frontier service. At the end of the job
274 the pilot will write the output files to a local SE. Every 30 minutes while the
275 job is running the pilot will report to the Panda server (via http). It will
276 also contact the Panda Server at the end of the job. ATLAS jobs running
277 on IPv6 WN will need access to the following resources:

- 278 • The production panda server nodes.
- 279 • The Rucio Authentication nodes.
- 280 • The Rucio Production nodes.
- 281 • The Frontier servers at CERN, IN2P3, RAL and Triumf.

282 The pilot factories that submit jobs to CEs have been made dual stack.
283 ATLAS also use the ARC Control Tower (aCT) to submit jobs primarily to
284 NorduGrid but potentially any sites running an ARC CE. This will also need
285 to be made dual stack. ATLAS are working on making all these services
286 dual stack by April 2017.

287 **3.3 CMS**

288 The job submission middleware, glideinWMS, is used to launch HTCCon-
289 dor worker nodes and its major components (frontend and factory). These
290 have been validated as IPv6 compliant. Some glidein factories are already
291 deployed in dual stack. HTCCondor itself is fully IPv6-compliant, but the
292 collectors and schedds still need to be all dual-stack in production in order
293 to support IPv6-only worker nodes.

294 The central services hub, cmsweb.cern.ch, has been validated for dual
295 stack operation. The CMS-specific job management systems (WMAgent for
296 production and CRAB3 for analysis) have not yet been fully tested on IPv6,
297 but they are expected to work with little effort needed. In any case, they
298 do not need to be in dual stack for the foreseeable future.

299 The data management system, PhEDEx, uses the Oracle client for com-
300 munication between local site agents and the central service. Tests have not
301 yet been done, but Oracle 12c fully supports IPv6.

302 Concerning AAA, the CMS storage federation, only a very small fraction
303 of the data is accessible using xrootd or GridFTP via IPv6. The global and
304 regional redirectors are only partly on dual stack.

305 CMS plans to immediately start upgrading all services to dual-stack.
306 Upgrades will be coordinated to minimise operational disruption and will
307 be completed by the end of Run II. For services contacted by worker nodes
308 (like HTCCondor) these will be given priority and will aim to be done by
309 April 2017.

310 At the time of writing, only eleven CMS sites expose IPv6 addresses
311 for their services. This is proven not to create any problem, either in the
312 ETF tests or for real production or analysis jobs. This should be taken into

313 account by sites that need to evaluate the risks of deploying IPv6 in produc-
314 tion. Having said that, CMS strongly recommends sites not to switch off
315 their IPv4 networking until the end of Run II, as a risk mitigation measure.

316 **3.4 LHCb**

317 LHCb uses the DIRAC framework to submit jobs to the grid. DIRAC
318 officially supports IPv6 and some other VOs, who use DIRAC, are already
319 using a dual stack service in production. LHCb submits generic pilot jobs
320 to CEs as needed. When these pilots start on a WN, they contact the
321 LHCb DIRAC central services for available tasks (via dips) which are then
322 executed. If input data is needed, they contact the relevant storages using
323 the sites SRM² to access the data. Production jobs typically retrieve /
324 download the data to the worker node, as they know exactly how much
325 data is needed. User jobs stream data from the storage directly.

326 Once the job is done, it will upload the output to a storage location. If
327 the default preferred location is not available, all other possible locations
328 (available for LHCb) are tried in turn until successful and a request is set
329 in the central services of LHCb to transfer the file to the preferred location
330 when possible. If no location is available, the job ends up in status "failed",
331 and could be resubmitted depending on the conditions.

332 LHCb jobs running on an IPv6 only WN will need access to the following
333 resources :

- 334 • LHCb's DIRAC central services
- 335 • Storage services supporting LHCb
- 336 • Optionally, one of six VO-boxes at LHCb Tier-1 sites

337 Currently there is one Tier-1 storage and one Tier-2D storage that support
338 LHCb in a dual-stack configuration. The LHCb central services are being
339 moved to dual-stack machines. There is one outstanding issue with the gLite
340 software which has problems submitting to dual-stack cream CEs which
341 needs to be fixed [6].

²The job is given a list of locations of the input files by DIRAC. It currently contacts the site SRMs in turn to retrieve the data. This will in future be updated to bypass the SRM and construct the file location automatically using the information available.

342 **4 Conclusion**

343 The LHC VOs are committed to being able to work on the Grid over IPv6.
344 Much work still remains to be done to make this a reality. The HEPiX IPv6
345 working group has validated that all essential software is IPv6 compliant.
346 Software developers should consider IPv6 compliance a standard require-
347 ment and the emphasis should be on them to test this. All the VOs have
348 analysed their workflows on the grid and have provided a list of services
349 which they will need to make dual stack. While exact time lines have not
350 been agreed the amount of work required is sufficiently small that it should
351 be achievable by April 2017 without significantly disrupting normal WLCG
352 operations.

353 From April 2017 sites will be allowed to deploy IPv6 only CPU resources.
354 Sites wishing to deploy IPv6 only CPU must deploy dual stack storage if they
355 provide it. All sites are encouraged to upgrade their storage to dual stack.
356 From the contact the HEPiX IPv6 working group has with sites, we believe
357 that there are at most one or two sites that wish to urgently upgrade making
358 up less than 2% of the pledged WLCG CPU resources. Any site wishing to
359 upgrade should be in contact with the HEPiX IPv6 working group to ensure
360 that the inevitable teething problems are resolved promptly. By April 2018
361 it should be possible to deploy IPv6 only CPU resources with relative ease
362 and by the end of Run II enough sites should have upgraded their storage to
363 dual stack to allow almost complete data availability via federated XrootD
364 over IPv6.

365 **References**

- 366 [1] <https://www.mythic-beasts.com/servers/virtual>
- 367 [2] <https://developer.apple.com/news/?id=05042016a>
- 368 [3] <https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/PanDA/PanDA>
- 369 [4] <http://cernvm-monitor.cern.ch/cvmfs-monitor/atlas.cern.ch/>
- 370 [5] <http://frontier.cern.ch/>
- 371 [6] https://ggus.eu/index.php?mode=ticket_info&ticket_id=120586