

WLCG Technical Forum





Need

- As discussed and agreed in previous weeks:
- Need for a technical forum to discuss issues for evolution, improvement, etc between WLCG stakeholders
 - Able to provide input on a common WLCG position to e.g. EGEE, EGI, OSG, etc.
- Forum in which to discuss longer term needs and developments of middleware and other services
- Must prepare for the sustainability and evolution of the existing middleware in the light of changing technology and experience –
- Can we think again of common solutions in some areas where it is clear that existing solutions are weak?
- It needs to represent all the stakeholders experiments, sites, grid projects, etc.; but should not be too large
 - Depending on the topic bring in the appropriate experts
- It does not take decisions should produce clear documents for discussion in the GDB, and potential agreement in the MB



Mandate

- Provide detailed technical feedback and requirements on grid middleware and services, providing the WLCG position on technical issues:
 - Functionality, stability, interfaces, architecture, suitability
- Advise the MB on grid middleware and service needs and problems.
- Discuss the evolution and development of middleware and services, in particular driving common solutions where possible.
- Prioritise needs and requirements.

This group reports to the MB



Membership

Chair: Maarten Litmaath

Members:

- Experiments: 1+1 per experiment
- Sites: 1+1 for Tier 1s; 1+1 for Tier 2s; 1+1 for Tier 0
- Infrastructures: 1+1 from each EGEE, OSG, ARC
- Bring in experts as needed
- Members must have the mandate to speak for their community (of course also talking to them about the issues)

Meetings:

- Suggest setting up a strong non-face-to-face channel
- Monthly face to face/video meeting



Initial topics

- Data management review where we are with SRM vs actual needs
- Analysis model topics
- Support for virtualisation (many sub topics)
- Pilot jobs support
- Technical input to EGI discussions
 - Needs from the NGIs
 - Input to proposal for the SSC for HEP
 - Input to the middleware discussion we need to be explicit and clear about the WLCG needs in the near future