



# CLIC Main Beam generation Baseline configuration only

L. Rinolfi

for the CLIC Injector complex team





<u>The CLIC Main Beams generation is focused on 3 studies to produce the bunch charge at</u> <u>the entrance of the Pre-Damping Ring (PDR) :</u>

## 1) Base Line configuration:

3 TeV (c.m.) - polarized electrons ( $4.4x10^9$  e<sup>-</sup>/bunch) and unpolarized positrons ( $6.7x10^9$  e<sup>+</sup>/bunch).

2) Polarized positron configuration: 3 TeV (c.m.) - polarized  $e^{-}$  and  $e^{+}$  with same charge as above

An huge R&D work is ongoing for polarized e<sup>+</sup> but not presented in this talk

#### 3) Double charge configuration:

500 GeV (c.m.) - polarized electrons ( $8x10^9 e^-$ /bunch) and unpolarized positrons ( $13x10^9 e^+$ /bunch).



CLIC ACE meeting

27<sup>th</sup> May 2009

L. Rinolfi



**CLIC Main Beam nominal parameters** 



## At the entrance of the Main Linac for e<sup>-</sup> and e<sup>+</sup>

		NLC	CLIC 2008	CLIC 2008	ILC
		(1 TeV)	(3 TeV)	(0.5 TeV)	(0.5 TeV)
Ε	GeV	8	8	8	15
Ν	109	7.5	4	7	20
n <sub>b</sub>	-	190	312	354	2625
$\Delta t_b$	ns	1.4	0.5 (6 RF periods)	0.5	369
<i>t</i> <sub>pulse</sub>	ns	266	156	177	968925
ε <sub><i>x</i>,<i>y</i></sub>	nm, nm	3300, 30	600, 10	2300, 10	8400, 24
$\sigma_{z}$	μm	90-140	43 - 45	72	300
$\sigma_{\!\!E}$	%	0.68 (3.2 % FW)	1.5 - 2	2	1.5
$f_{rep}$	Hz	120	50	50	5
P	kW	219	90	180	630



**CERN** manpower



for the beam generation studies

# 0.5 FTE (Staff)

# + 0.2 FTE (Fellow)

## **External collaborations**



Alphabetic order for countries	Countries	Institutes	Contact person	Subject	Status	Date
	France	LAL	A. Variola	e+ studies	Formal agreement	September 2008
	Germany	FZR Rossendorf	J. Teichert	Compton sources	In preparation	November 2008
	Japan	KEK	T. Omori	e+ studies	Informal agreement	October 2007
	Japan	KEK	J. Urakawa	R&D on targets systems and experiments at KEKB	In preparation	January 2009
	Turkey	Ankara University	A.Kenan Çiftçi	FLUKA simulations	Informal agreement	April 2009
	Ukraine	Kharkov Institute	E. Bulyak	Compton Rings	Informal agreement	April 2006
	United Kingdom	Cockcroft Institute	J. Clarke	e+ studies	Formal agreement	October 2008
	USA	Argonne Laboratory	W. Gai	e+ studies	In preparation	January 2009
	USA	Jefferson Laboratory	M. Poelker	Polarized e-	Formal agreement	September 2007
	USA	SLAC	J. Sheppard	Polarized e-	In preparation	August 2008

t

0





# **Generation of polarized electron**

# **Specific issues for polarized e<sup>-</sup> source**



Symbol	0.5 TeV	3 TeV	
N <sub>e</sub>	10 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	6 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	
n <sub>b</sub>	354	312	
t <sub>b</sub>	~ 100 ps	~ 100 ps	-
$\Delta t_{b}$	0.5002 ns	0.5002 ns	laser
f <sub>b</sub>	1999 MHz	1999 MHz	a gun ▲
T <sub>B</sub>	177 ns	56 ns	
F <sub>B</sub>	50 Hz	50 Hz	
C <sub>b</sub>	1.6 nC	0.96 nC	
C <sub>B</sub>	566 nC	300 nC	
I <sub>ave</sub>	28 µA	15 μΑ	
I <sub>B</sub>	3.2 A	1.9 A	
DF	0.2	0.2	photo
I <sub>peak</sub>	16 A	9.6 A	cathode
D	5 A/cm <sup>2</sup>	3 A/cm <sup>2</sup>	
	Symbol $N_e$ $n_b$ $t_b$ $\Delta t_b$ $f_b$ $T_B$ $F_B$ $C_b$ $C_B$ $I_{ave}$ $I_B$ DF $I_{peak}$ D	Symbol $0.5 \text{ TeV}$ N <sub>e</sub> $10 \times 10^9$ n <sub>b</sub> $354$ t <sub>b</sub> ~ 100 ps $\Delta t_b$ $0.5002 \text{ ns}$ f <sub>b</sub> $1999 \text{ MHz}$ T <sub>B</sub> $177 \text{ ns}$ F <sub>B</sub> $50 \text{ Hz}$ C <sub>b</sub> $1.6 \text{ nC}$ C <sub>B</sub> $566 \text{ nC}$ I <sub>ave</sub> $28 \mu A$ I <sub>B</sub> $3.2 \text{ A}$ DF $0.2$ I <sub>peak</sub> $16 \text{ A}$ D $5 \text{ A/cm^2}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c } \hline Symbol & 0.5 \ TeV & 3 \ TeV \\ \hline N_e & 10 \ x \ 10^9 & 6 \ x \ 10^9 \\ \hline n_b & 354 & 312 \\ \hline t_b & \sim 100 \ ps & \sim 100 \ ps \\ \hline \Delta t_b & 0.5002 \ ns & 0.5002 \ ns \\ \hline f_b & 1999 \ MHz & 1999 \ MHz \\ \hline T_B & 177 \ ns & 56 \ ns \\ \hline F_B & 50 \ Hz & 50 \ Hz \\ \hline C_b & 1.6 \ nC & 0.96 \ nC \\ \hline C_B & 566 \ nC & 300 \ nC \\ \hline I_{ave} & 28 \ \mu A & 15 \ \mu A \\ \hline I_B & 3.2 \ A & 1.9 \ A \\ \hline DF & 0.2 & 0.2 \\ \hline I_{peak} & 16 \ A & 9.6 \ A \\ \hline D & 5 \ A/cm^2 & 3 \ A/cm^2 \\ \hline \end{array}$

One of the critical issues is the Surface charge limit => needs demonstration => depends on laser system





Parameters	ILC	CLIC	CLIC
		0.5 TeV	3 TeV
Electrons/microbunch	~3E10	10E9	6E9
Number of microbunches	2625	354	312
Width of Microbunch	1 ns	~100 ps	~100 ps
Time between microbunches	~360 ns	500.2 ps	500.2 ps
Width of Macropulse	1 ms	177 ns	156 ns
Macropulse repetition rate	5 Hz	50 Hz	50 Hz
Charge per macropulse	~12600 nC	566 nC	300 nC
Average current from gun	63 μ <b>Α</b>	<b>28</b> μ <b>Α</b>	<b>15</b> μ <b>Α</b>
Peak current of microbunch	4.8 A	16 A	9.6 A
Current density (1 cm radius)	1.5 A/cm <sup>2</sup>	5 A/cm <sup>2</sup>	3 A/cm <sup>2</sup>
Polarization	>80%	>80%	>80%





J. Sheppard/SLAC at TILC09 workshop

#### <u>Goals:</u>

- The major goals for photocathode development at SLAC for the ILC and CLIC are:
- 1) demonstration of full charge production without space charge and surface charge limitation;
- 2) >85% polarization;
- 3) ~1% QE and long QE lifetime.

# Formal CERN/SLAC collaboration under discussion for this topic





#### **Possible schedule**



 Assuming that SLAC management would agree with the proposed demonstration and provides support, then preliminary tests are expected before September 2009.
 If existing equipments show some limitations, the idea is to make the appropriate corrections in 2010.

In this case, results and relevant issues will be included in the CDR.

2) Further along (2011-2012), the SLAC cathode and laser would be installed together with JLab HV gun.





# **Generation of unpolarized positron**

# **Primary electron beam**





Parameter	Unit	
Primary e <sup>-</sup> Beam		
Energy	GeV	5
N e <sup>-</sup> /bunch	109	7.5
N bunches / pulse	-	312
N e <sup>-</sup> / pulse	1012	2.34
Pulse length	ns	156
Repetition frequency	Hz	50
Beam power	kW	94
Beam radius (rms)	mm	2.5
Bunch length (rms)	mm	0.3

П





e

After several simulations, an optimized configuration is given below:

# Electron beam on the crystal: energy = 5 GeV beam spot size = 2.5 mm First target is a crystal: 1.4 mm thick W oriented along <111> axis where channeling process occurs Second target is amorphous: 10 mm thick W amorphous

Charged particles are swept off after the crystal: only  $\gamma$  (> 2MeV) impinge on the amorphous target.

The distance between the two targets is 3 meters





#### **O. Dadoun / LAL**

**Blue:** after the target **Red:** after the AMD (\*) Yield =  $2.1 e^{+}/e^{-}$ Max energy =  $1.9 \times 10^9 \text{ GeV} / \text{mm}^3$ Peak Energy Density Deposition PEDD = 15.5 J/g-15 0.51.5 -0.5 Ô. x(cm) (\*) AMD = Adiabatic Matching Device: **Experimental limit found at SLAC:** R = $B_0 = 6 \text{ T}, L = 50 \text{ cm}, \alpha = 22 \text{m}^{-1}$ with a PEDD  $\geq$  35 J/g  $1+\alpha z$ 

=> target does not survive

CLIC ACE meeting

100 (MeV/c) ع

40

 $\mathbf{20}$ 

Û

-20

-40

-60

-80

-100

-2



## **Beam power and PEDD**





Parameter	Unit		
Target		Crystal	Amorphous
Material		W	W
Length	mm	1.4	10
Beam power deposited	kW	0.2	7.5
Deposited P / Beam Power	%	0.2	8
Energy lost per volume	10 <sup>9</sup> GeV/mm <sup>3</sup>	0.8	1.9
Peak energy deposition density (PEDD)	J/g	6.8	15.5



## e<sup>+</sup> source for CLIC 500 GeV



#### **Double charge / bunch => Double PEDD => ~ breakdown limit => Double target station**





 $G \approx 10 \text{ MV/m}$  L = 1.8 m Radius = 0.018 m f = 2 GHz

Magnetic Field of Flux Concentrator (FC)	Т	6
FC Length	m	0.5
Solenoid Magnetic Field	Т	0.5
Length of Pre-Injector Linac	m	42



## **Pre-Injector Linac**



#### Longitudinal

Parameter	Unit	CLIC 2009 (A. Vivoli)
		EGS4 + ASTRA
Energy (E)	GeV	0.2
No. of particles/bunch (N)	10 <sup>9</sup>	6.7
Bunch length (rms) ( $\sigma_z$ )	mm	10
Energy Spread (rms) ( $\sigma_E$ )	%	8
Longitudinal emittance	eV.s	0.5 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>
H and V emittances ( $\gamma \epsilon_x$ )	mm. mrad	6700



CLIC ACE meeting

L. Rinolfi





# "ILC/CLIC e<sup>+</sup> generation" working group

# J. Clarke (Daresbury), L. Rinolfi (CERN)





Officially set-up at ILC08 workshop Chicago: 15<sup>th</sup> - 20<sup>th</sup> November 2008



# **CLIC/ILC work plan (1)**



#### Short-term plan 2008 - mid-2009

#### Undulator-based source

Develop Geant4 model of collimator, target, capture optics, and capture RF assembly. Optimise parameters wrt yield, polarisation and cost (Collaboration with ANL). Consider timing constraints issues and upgrade paths. Consider electron beam quality issues.

#### Compton source

Design of the Compton ring (Collaboration with NSC KIPT). Optical stacking cavity (Collaboration with LAL and KEK). High power lasers. Stacking simulations.

#### Lithium lens capture optics

Evaluate suitability for Undulator and Compton schemes (Wide collaboration needed).

#### Conventional sources (Conventional targets and hybrid targets)

Simulations to optimize the unpolarized e+ yield (Collaboration with LAL). Evaluate the applicability of the Li lens.

#### Electron source

Set-up the CERN, CI, JLAB, SLAC collaboration for tracking studies. Preliminary tests at HV for the DC gun.





Long-term plan mid-2009 - 2010

#### Undulator-based source

Consider optimal target technology: thermal load, shock waves, activation (Collaboration with LLNL).

#### Compton source

Extend Geant4 model to Compton source (Collaboration with LAL) Stacking simulations studied in 6D.

Lithium lens tests Participate to the BINP tests and CesrTA tests.

#### Conventional sources

Channelling measurements on NA63 experiment at CERN Perform experiments at KEKB positron source.

#### Electron source

Perform tracking studies (Collaboration with JLAB and SLAC). Hardware tests at JLAB and SLAC for the DC gun at very HV.



## **Summary**



1) For the Base Line configuration at 3 TeV, polarized e<sup>-</sup> and unpolarized e<sup>+</sup> would be generated close to the requested performance but extensive simulations for both sources, in parallel with an important R&D program, remain to be done to confirm the present studies.

2) Double charge configuration (0.5 TeV): for the polarized electrons, the space charge limit is a real challenge to provide the requested charge pattern; for the positrons, it would require a double target stations under the present conditions.

3) For polarized positrons, extensive studies are carried on, in collaboration with several institutes. For the 3 TeV, several major issues remain to be investigated and demonstrated by simulations.

4) The beam intensity stability of both sources could be a performance issue.