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## NMX detectors



## Reflections of example crystal

EUROPEAN EUROPEAN
SPALLATION SOURCE

Bovine heart cytochrome c oxidase P2|2|2।
$\mathrm{a}=182.59 \AA$
$b=205.40 \AA$
$\mathrm{c}=178.25 \mathrm{~A}$
Detector distance 1 m


## All reflections

142842 ( $3.409 \AA, 134.4 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 213549 ( $2.809 \AA, 110.8 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 152943 ( $3.309 \AA$ À, 130.5 ms ) 223650 ( $2.739 \AA$ A, 108.0 ms ) 163044 ( $3.215 \AA$ A, 126.8 ms ) 233751 ( $2.672 \AA$ A, 105.4 ms ) 173145 ( $3.124 \AA$ A, 123.2 ms ) 243852 ( $2.608 \AA \hat{\AA}, 102.9 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 183246 ( $3.040 \AA, 119.9 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 253953 ( $2.548 \AA, 100.5 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 193347 ( $2.959 \AA \AA, 116.7 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 264054 (2.489 A, 98.2 ms ) 203448 (2.882 A, 113.6 ms )
1.800 to 2.019 Angstroms

- 2.019 to 2.237 Angstroms
- 2.237 to 2.456 Angstroms
- 2.456 to 2.675 Angstroms
- 2.675 to 2.894 Angstroms
- 2.894 to 3.112 Angstroms
- 3.112 to 3.331 Angstroms
- 3.331 to 3.550 Angstroms

Spatial overlaps only
275379 (1.812 Å, 71.4 ms ) 224364 ( $2.236 \AA, 88.2 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 183552 ( $2.752 \AA$ À, 108.5 ms ) 173349 ( $2.920 \AA, 115.1 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 193755 (2.602 A, 102.6 ms ) 152943 ( $3.327 \AA$ À, 131.2 ms ) 275277 (1.856 $\AA, 96.4 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 265074 (1.933 $\AA, 76.2 \mathrm{~ms})$ 244668 ( $2.103 \AA, 82.9 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 224262 ( $2.306 \AA, 90.9 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 214059 ( $2.424 \AA, 95.6 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 203856 ( $2.553 \AA \hat{\AA}, 100.7 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) 285378 (1.833 $\AA, 72.3 \mathrm{~ms}$ )

## TOF separation of reflections

## Overlap separation with TOF



## Requirements and challenges

- $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ position resolution (beyond state of art for time resolved neutron detectors)
- High rate requirements with up to $\mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
- High gain stability and count rate
 stability
- Mechanical robustness (detectors mounted on freely movable robotic arms)
- Reasonable gamma suppression
- Idea: Use GEM detector with Gd converter



## Gd-GEM backwards setup



## ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~B}_{4} \mathrm{C}$ GEM $\mu$ TPC Results



- Pristine position resolution $\sigma<200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ reached with Single GEM
- Detection efficiency $<5 \%$ at normal incidence of neutron


## Gd-GEM $\mu$ TPC Results



- Position resolution $\sigma<300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ reached with Triple GEM, APV-25
- Detection efficiency < $12 \%$ at normal incidence of neutron


## Better tracking algorithms needed



## Geant4 Gd simulation problems Getting worse in 10.2.p02



Photon Evaporation 10.1
kinetic energy of gamma


Photon Evaporation 10.2
kinetic energy of gamma


Without Photon Evaporation


Problems with gamma spectra: https://zzz.physics.umn.edu/lowrad/ media/meeting8/ychen gdgammas aarm2015.pdf

## Task division Geant4/Garfield++



## Arrival position neutron on converter



## Arrival time neutron on converter



## Arrival position ce in drift



## Arrival position Compton $e^{-}$in drift



## Arrival position gamma in drift



## Number of conduction electrons in drift



## Position of conduction electron that arrives last in time on first GEM



## Degrad Simulations



DEGRAD simulation of 70 keV electron in $\mathrm{Ar} / \mathrm{CU}_{2} / \mathrm{U} / 3 \mathrm{U}$ DEGRAD by Steve Biagi: http://magboltz.web.cern.ch/magboltz/

- Only Monte Carlo program that incorporates all relevant physics processes for electrons in gas
- Simulations with Geant4/Garfield interface have to be benchmarked against Degrad
- Check of primary ionization distribution in Geant4/Garfield++


## Degrad: Obtainable position resolution in um

| $\mathbf{k e V}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $<\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{u m}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 7 | 115 | 600 | $83 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 1 | 3 | 8 | 32 | 1638 | $78 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 1 | 3 | 8 | 380 | 3473 | $75 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 1 | 3 | 8 | $\mathbf{2 6 5}$ | 5921 | $75 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 1 | 3 | 8 | 122 | 8076 | $76 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 1 | 3 | 7 | 26 | 9809 | $79 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 1 | 2 | 7 | 21 | 12788 | $82 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 1 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 7306 | $87 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 437 | $89 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 53 | $91 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 57 | $92 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 25 | $94 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 29 | $95 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 23 | $97 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 24 | $96 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 21 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 20 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 21 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 20 | $98 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 22 | $98 \%$ |

Infinite volume

- The $(x, y)$ position of the electron with the smallest $z$ position was taken
- 2-25\% of tracks turn back depending on energy
- No drift and diffusion


## Summary

- Scattering of neutron considerably deteriorates position resolution
- Two GEM foils instead of three and low material budget readout lead to major improvement
- The position where the conversion electron enters the drift can be reconstructed with <= 400 um precision for ca $90 \%$ of all conversion (without diffusion from amplification)
- Announcements from Stephen: New Magboltz version 11 is out, includes better simulation of molecular light emission by using the null collision technique
- New Degrad 3.1 before Xmas will inlcude C2H6 update

