# ENERGY TRANSFER AND KALUZA-KLEIN MODE DECAY BETWEEN THROATS

Benedict v. Harling

Institute for Theoretical Physics University of Heidelberg Germany

SUSY 2007

Based on work with A. Hebecker and T. Noguchi. arXiv:0705.3648

B. V. HARLING (HEIDELBERG U.)

THROAT-TO-THROAT ENERGY TRANSFER

SUSY 2007 1/9

- Flux compactifications of type IIB string theory have nice features, e.g. moduli stabilization.
- Backreaction of fluxes can create strongly warped regions ⇒ throats.
- Throats are common in the string theory landscape, see e.g. HEBECKER, MARCH-RUSSELL '06 on the distribution of Klebanov-Strassler throats.



- Flux compactifications of type IIB string theory have nice features, e.g. moduli stabilization.
- Backreaction of fluxes can create strongly warped regions ⇒ throats.
- Throats are common in the string theory landscape, see e.g. HEBECKER, MARCH-RUSSELL '06 on the distribution of Klebanov-Strassler throats.



- Flux compactifications of type IIB string theory have nice features, e.g. moduli stabilization.
- Backreaction of fluxes can create strongly warped regions ⇒ throats.
- Throats are common in the string theory landscape, see e.g. HEBECKER, MARCH-RUSSELL '06 on the distribution of Klebanov-Strassler throats.



#### • Throats may be heated up in the early universe, e.g. due to

- brane-antibrane annihilation after inflation à la KKLMMT.
- energy flow from the heated standard model sector.
- Interesting for cosmology: Energy transfer rate from a heated throat to other throats.



- Throats may be heated up in the early universe, e.g. due to
  - brane-antibrane annihilation after inflation à la KKLMMT.
  - energy flow from the heated standard model sector.
- Interesting for cosmology: Energy transfer rate from a heated throat to other throats.



- Throats may be heated up in the early universe, e.g. due to
  - brane-antibrane annihilation after inflation à la KKLMMT.
  - energy flow from the heated standard model sector.
- Interesting for cosmology: Energy transfer rate from a heated throat to other throats.



- Throats may be heated up in the early universe, e.g. due to
  - brane-antibrane annihilation after inflation à la KKLMMT.
  - energy flow from the heated standard model sector.
- Interesting for cosmology: Energy transfer rate from a heated throat to other throats.



- Throats may be heated up in the early universe, e.g. due to
  - brane-antibrane annihilation after inflation à la KKLMMT.
  - energy flow from the heated standard model sector.
- Interesting for cosmology: Energy transfer rate from a heated throat to other throats.





- Simple setup: Two  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  throats embedded in a 6d torus.
- Energy transfer rate determined by transition probability of Hawking radiation between the two throats.
- Restrict to the dilaton. Its equation of motion can be written in form of a Schrödinger equation ⇒ multi-dimensional tunneling problem ⇒ difficult.
- Way out: AdS<sub>5</sub>×S<sup>5</sup> throats are near-horizon geometry of black 3-branes, equivalent to stack of D3-branes.



- Simple setup: Two  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  throats embedded in a 6d torus.
- Energy transfer rate determined by transition probability of Hawking radiation between the two throats.
- Restrict to the dilaton. Its equation of motion can be written in form of a Schrödinger equation ⇒ multi-dimensional tunneling problem ⇒ difficult.
- Way out: AdS<sub>5</sub>×S<sup>5</sup> throats are near-horizon geometry of black 3-branes, equivalent to stack of D3-branes.



- Simple setup: Two  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  throats embedded in a 6d torus.
- Energy transfer rate determined by transition probability of Hawking radiation between the two throats.
- Restrict to the dilaton. Its equation of motion can be written in form of a Schrödinger equation ⇒ multi-dimensional tunneling problem ⇒ difficult.
- Way out: AdS<sub>5</sub>×S<sup>5</sup> throats are near-horizon geometry of black 3-branes, equivalent to stack of D3-branes.



- Simple setup: Two  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  throats embedded in a 6d torus.
- Energy transfer rate determined by transition probability of Hawking radiation between the two throats.
- Restrict to the dilaton. Its equation of motion can be written in form of a Schrödinger equation ⇒ multi-dimensional tunneling problem ⇒ difficult.
- Way out: AdS<sub>5</sub>×S<sup>5</sup> throats are near-horizon geometry of black 3-branes, equivalent to stack of D3-branes.



- Simple setup: Two  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  throats embedded in a 6d torus.
- Energy transfer rate determined by transition probability of Hawking radiation between the two throats.
- Restrict to the dilaton. Its equation of motion can be written in form of a Schrödinger equation ⇒ multi-dimensional tunneling problem ⇒ difficult.
- Way out: AdS<sub>5</sub>×S<sup>5</sup> throats are near-horizon geometry of black 3-branes, equivalent to stack of D3-branes.



- Simple setup: Two  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  throats embedded in a 6d torus.
- Energy transfer rate determined by transition probability of Hawking radiation between the two throats.
- Restrict to the dilaton. Its equation of motion can be written in form of a Schrödinger equation ⇒ multi-dimensional tunneling problem ⇒ difficult.
- Way out: AdS<sub>5</sub>×S<sup>5</sup> throats are near-horizon geometry of black
   3-branes, equivalent to stack of D3-branes.

B. V. HARLING (HEIDELBERG U.)

THROAT-TO-THROAT ENERGY TRANSFER

SUSY 2007 4/9



- World-volume theory on stack of *N* D3-branes is a  $\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ U}(N)$  super Yang-Mills.
- The two brane stacks are coupled by the supergravity fields in the embedding space.
- Gauge theories are strongly coupled, but relevant process can be described by a weak-coupling calculation (KLEBANOV '97).



- World-volume theory on stack of *N* D3-branes is a  $\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ U}(N)$  super Yang-Mills.
- The two brane stacks are coupled by the supergravity fields in the embedding space.
- Gauge theories are strongly coupled, but relevant process can be described by a weak-coupling calculation (KLEBANOV '97).



- World-volume theory on stack of N D3-branes is a N = 4 U(N) super Yang-Mills.
- The two brane stacks are coupled by the supergravity fields in the embedding space.
- Gauge theories are strongly coupled, but relevant process can be described by a weak-coupling calculation (KLEBANOV '97).

- KK expansion of the dilaton ⇒ from 4d viewpoint there are two gauge theories coupled by tower of KK modes.
- Heated throat corresponds to heated gauge theory. Energy transfer due to processes of the type



$$\dot{o} \sim rac{R_1^8 R_2^8}{A^8} T^{13} + rac{R_1^8 R_2^8}{L^{12}} T^9.$$

- KK expansion of the dilaton ⇒ from 4d viewpoint there are two gauge theories coupled by tower of KK modes.
- Heated throat corresponds to heated gauge theory. Energy transfer due to processes of the type



$$\dot{o} \sim \frac{R_1^8 R_2^8}{A^8} T^{13} + \frac{R_1^8 R_2^8}{I^{12}} T^9.$$

- KK expansion of the dilaton ⇒ from 4d viewpoint there are two gauge theories coupled by tower of KK modes.
- Heated throat corresponds to heated gauge theory. Energy transfer due to processes of the type



$$\dot{
ho} \sim rac{R_1^8 R_2^8}{A^8} T^{13} + rac{R_1^8 R_2^8}{L^{12}} T^9.$$

- KK expansion of the dilaton ⇒ from 4d viewpoint there are two gauge theories coupled by tower of KK modes.
- Heated throat corresponds to heated gauge theory. Energy transfer due to processes of the type



$$\dot{
ho} \sim rac{R_1^8 R_2^8}{A^8} \ T^{13} + rac{R_1^8 R_2^8}{L^{12}} \ T^9.$$

- When throat temperature drops below IR scale ⇒ black hole horizon is replaced by IR cutoff region ⇒ from 4d viewpoint there is a non-relativistic gas of throat-localized KK modes.
- KK modes localized in one throat can decay to other throats  $\Rightarrow$  decay rate.
- Throat-localized KK mode is dual to glueball state on equivalent stack of D-branes. Decay rate given by the process:



• Glueball-dilaton vertex can be determined as follows: Calculate decay rate for a simpler setup in the gravity picture. Then match the vertex such that the decay rate is reproduced in the gauge theory picture.

- When throat temperature drops below IR scale ⇒ black hole horizon is replaced by IR cutoff region ⇒ from 4d viewpoint there is a non-relativistic gas of throat-localized KK modes.
- KK modes localized in one throat can decay to other throats  $\Rightarrow$  decay rate.
- Throat-localized KK mode is dual to glueball state on equivalent stack of D-branes. Decay rate given by the process:



• Glueball-dilaton vertex can be determined as follows: Calculate decay rate for a simpler setup in the gravity picture. Then match the vertex such that the decay rate is reproduced in the gauge theory picture.

B. V. HARLING (HEIDELBERG U.)

- When throat temperature drops below IR scale ⇒ black hole horizon is replaced by IR cutoff region ⇒ from 4d viewpoint there is a non-relativistic gas of throat-localized KK modes.
- KK modes localized in one throat can decay to other throats ⇒ decay rate.
- Throat-localized KK mode is dual to glueball state on equivalent stack of D-branes. Decay rate given by the process:



• Glueball-dilaton vertex can be determined as follows: Calculate decay rate for a simpler setup in the gravity picture. Then match the vertex such that the decay rate is reproduced in the gauge theory picture.

- When throat temperature drops below IR scale ⇒ black hole horizon is replaced by IR cutoff region ⇒ from 4d viewpoint there is a non-relativistic gas of throat-localized KK modes.
- KK modes localized in one throat can decay to other throats ⇒ decay rate.
- Throat-localized KK mode is dual to glueball state on equivalent stack of D-branes. Decay rate given by the process:



• Glueball-dilaton vertex can be determined as follows: Calculate decay rate for a simpler setup in the gravity picture. Then match the vertex such that the decay rate is reproduced in the gauge theory picture.

B. V. HARLING (HEIDELBERG U.)

- When throat temperature drops below IR scale ⇒ black hole horizon is replaced by IR cutoff region ⇒ from 4d viewpoint there is a non-relativistic gas of throat-localized KK modes.
- KK modes localized in one throat can decay to other throats ⇒ decay rate.
- Throat-localized KK mode is dual to glueball state on equivalent stack of D-branes. Decay rate given by the process:



• Glueball-dilaton vertex can be determined as follows: Calculate decay rate for a simpler setup in the gravity picture. Then match the vertex such that the decay rate is reproduced in the gauge theory picture.

B. V. HARLING (HEIDELBERG U.) THROAT-TO-THROAT ENERGY TRANSFER

• Same steps as before ( $\ell$  angular quantum number,  $m_{IR}$  IR scale):

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Gamma \sim \frac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{A^8} \, m_{\rm IR} \, m^{8+4\ell} + \frac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{L^{12}} \, m_{\rm IR} \, m^{4+4\ell}.$$

• From a 5d model with  $R_1=R_2$  and  $\ell=0,$  Dimopoulos et al. '01 get

 $\Gamma \sim (mR)^4 m_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm IR}.$ 

- In which case is a 5d model a good approximation? If the compact embedding manifold is very small. Minimal size L ~ R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, since otherwise throats could not be glued into compact manifold.
- Taking  $R_1 = R_2 \sim L \sim A$  and  $\ell = 0$ , we get the same result:

$$\Gamma \sim (mR)^4 m_{
m IR}.$$

• Same steps as before ( $\ell$  angular quantum number,  $m_{IR}$  IR scale):

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Gamma \sim rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{A^8} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{8+4\ell} + rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{L^{12}} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{4+4\ell}.$$

• From a 5d model with  $R_1=R_2$  and  $\ell=0,$  DIMOPOULOS ET AL. '01 get  $\Gamma\sim (mR)^4m_{
m IR}.$ 

- In which case is a 5d model a good approximation? If the compact embedding manifold is very small. Minimal size L ~ R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, since otherwise throats could not be glued into compact manifold.
- Taking  $R_1 = R_2 \sim L \sim A$  and  $\ell = 0$ , we get the same result:

$$\Gamma \sim (mR)^4 m_{
m IR}.$$

• We find agreement with a result by CHEN, FIROUZJAHI AND TYE '05, '06 only in special case.

B. V. HARLING (HEIDELBERG U.)

• Same steps as before ( $\ell$  angular quantum number,  $m_{IR}$  IR scale):

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Gamma \sim rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{A^8} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{8+4\ell} + rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{L^{12}} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{4+4\ell}.$$

• From a 5d model with  $R_1=R_2$  and  $\ell=0,$  Dimopoulos et al. '01 get

 $\Gamma \sim (\textit{mR})^4 \textit{m}_{\text{\tiny IR}}.$ 

- In which case is a 5d model a good approximation? If the compact embedding manifold is very small. Minimal size L ~ R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, since otherwise throats could not be glued into compact manifold.
- Taking  $R_1 = R_2 \sim L \sim A$  and  $\ell = 0$ , we get the same result:

$$\Gamma \sim (mR)^4 m_{
m IR}.$$

• Same steps as before ( $\ell$  angular quantum number,  $m_{IR}$  IR scale):

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Gamma \sim rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{A^8} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{8+4\ell} + rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{L^{12}} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{4+4\ell}.$$

• From a 5d model with  $R_1=R_2$  and  $\ell=0,$  Dimopoulos et al. '01 get

 $\Gamma \sim (\textit{mR})^4 \textit{m}_{\scriptscriptstyle IR}.$ 

- In which case is a 5d model a good approximation? If the compact embedding manifold is very small. Minimal size  $L \sim R_1, R_2$ , since otherwise throats could not be glued into compact manifold.
- Taking  $R_1 = R_2 \sim L \sim A$  and  $\ell = 0$ , we get the same result:

$$\Gamma \sim (mR)^4 m_{
m IR}.$$

• Same steps as before ( $\ell$  angular quantum number,  $m_{IR}$  IR scale):

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Gamma \sim rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{A^8} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{8+4\ell} + rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{L^{12}} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{4+4\ell}.$$

• From a 5d model with  $R_1=R_2$  and  $\ell=0,$  Dimopoulos et al. '01 get

 $\Gamma \sim (\textit{mR})^4 \textit{m}_{\text{\tiny IR}}.$ 

- In which case is a 5d model a good approximation? If the compact embedding manifold is very small. Minimal size  $L \sim R_1, R_2$ , since otherwise throats could not be glued into compact manifold.
- Taking  $R_1 = R_2 \sim L \sim A$  and  $\ell = 0$ , we get the same result:

$$\Gamma \sim (mR)^4 m_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm IR}.$$

• Same steps as before ( $\ell$  angular quantum number,  $m_{IR}$  IR scale):

$$\Rightarrow \quad \Gamma \sim rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{A^8} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{8+4\ell} + rac{R_1^{8+4\ell}R_2^8}{L^{12}} \, m_{ ext{IR}} \, m^{4+4\ell}.$$

• From a 5d model with  $R_1=R_2$  and  $\ell=0,$  Dimopoulos et al. '01 get

 $\Gamma \sim (\textit{mR})^4 \textit{m}_{\text{\tiny IR}}.$ 

- In which case is a 5d model a good approximation? If the compact embedding manifold is very small. Minimal size  $L \sim R_1, R_2$ , since otherwise throats could not be glued into compact manifold.
- Taking  $R_1 = R_2 \sim L \sim A$  and  $\ell = 0$ , we get the same result:

$$\Gamma \sim (mR)^4 m_{
m IR}.$$

#### SUMMARY

- We have determined energy loss rate of heated throat and decay rate of throat-localized KK modes.
- Genuine 10d calculation using the dual gauge theory picture. Simple setup, but order of magnitude stays correct for more general geometries.
- $\bullet\,$  Results also applicable to small brane stacks  $\Rightarrow$  e.g. standard model branes in the bulk.
- Interesting for cosmology with multi-throat manifolds:
  - Throat-localized KK particles are dark matter candidate.
  - Can be very light  $\Rightarrow$  constraints from cosmology.
- We reproduce existing result by DIMOPOULOS ET AL. '01 in a limiting case. In general the decay rate is much lower ⇒ important for reheating after brane-antibrane inflation.

- We have determined energy loss rate of heated throat and decay rate of throat-localized KK modes.
- Genuine 10d calculation using the dual gauge theory picture. Simple setup, but order of magnitude stays correct for more general geometries.
- $\bullet\,$  Results also applicable to small brane stacks  $\Rightarrow$  e.g. standard model branes in the bulk.
- Interesting for cosmology with multi-throat manifolds:
  - Throat-localized KK particles are dark matter candidate.
  - Can be very light  $\Rightarrow$  constraints from cosmology.
- We reproduce existing result by DIMOPOULOS ET AL. '01 in a limiting case. In general the decay rate is much lower ⇒ important for reheating after brane-antibrane inflation.

- We have determined energy loss rate of heated throat and decay rate of throat-localized KK modes.
- Genuine 10d calculation using the dual gauge theory picture. Simple setup, but order of magnitude stays correct for more general geometries.
- Results also applicable to small brane stacks  $\Rightarrow$  e.g. standard model branes in the bulk.
- Interesting for cosmology with multi-throat manifolds:
  - Throat-localized KK particles are dark matter candidate.
  - Can be very light  $\Rightarrow$  constraints from cosmology.
- We reproduce existing result by DIMOPOULOS ET AL. '01 in a limiting case. In general the decay rate is much lower ⇒ important for reheating after brane-antibrane inflation.

- We have determined energy loss rate of heated throat and decay rate of throat-localized KK modes.
- Genuine 10d calculation using the dual gauge theory picture. Simple setup, but order of magnitude stays correct for more general geometries.
- Results also applicable to small brane stacks  $\Rightarrow$  e.g. standard model branes in the bulk.
- Interesting for cosmology with multi-throat manifolds:
  - Throat-localized KK particles are dark matter candidate.
  - Can be very light  $\Rightarrow$  constraints from cosmology.
- We reproduce existing result by DIMOPOULOS ET AL. '01 in a limiting case. In general the decay rate is much lower ⇒ important for reheating after brane-antibrane inflation.

- We have determined energy loss rate of heated throat and decay rate of throat-localized KK modes.
- Genuine 10d calculation using the dual gauge theory picture. Simple setup, but order of magnitude stays correct for more general geometries.
- Results also applicable to small brane stacks  $\Rightarrow$  e.g. standard model branes in the bulk.
- Interesting for cosmology with multi-throat manifolds:
  - Throat-localized KK particles are dark matter candidate.
  - Can be very light  $\Rightarrow$  constraints from cosmology.
- We reproduce existing result by DIMOPOULOS ET AL. '01 in a limiting case. In general the decay rate is much lower ⇒ important for reheating after brane-antibrane inflation.