

## Plan

Lessons for colliders from extra dimensions

O Spins (UED)
O Tops (RS)


## UED and SUSY a tale of two spins
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Kaluza-Klein modes:

$$
m^{(n) 2}=n^{2} / R^{2}+m_{0}^{2}
$$

5D momentum $\Rightarrow \mathrm{KK}$ number conservation
Walls break momentum conservation
O KK parity left conserved

## Spectrum - KK level <br> Cheng, Matchev, Schmaltz hep-ph/0204342 02053 I

Lightest state is stable

O I-loop
corrections are calculable

O Spectrum
 certainly possible in the MSSM

## Is there a difference?



$$
\hat{m}=m_{q l}^{\text {near }} /\left(m_{q l}^{\text {near }}\right)_{\max }=\sin \left(\theta^{*} / 2\right)
$$

## Is there a difference?

Charge asymmetry (larmeppmoverosiz)


UED-like
mSUGRA-like

$$
\hat{m}=m_{q l}^{\text {near }} /\left(m_{q l}^{\text {near }}\right)_{\max }=\sin \left(\theta^{*} / 2\right)
$$
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## Is it a gluino?

Can also use decays through a sbottom (b').

O Purely hadronic observables available
e.g. azimuthal angle between b-jets


## For every spin, turn, turn, turn


$\frac{d \Gamma}{d t_{f \bar{f}}} \propto \alpha+\beta t_{f \bar{f}}$

| Scenario | Slope $\beta$ | Intercept $\alpha$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { roor }<$ | $\left(2 M_{g^{\prime}}^{2}-M_{Q}^{2}\right)\left(M_{Q}^{2}-2 M_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}\right)$ | $\left(M_{Q}^{4}+4 M_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2} M_{g^{\prime}}^{2}\right) t_{f f}^{(e \bar{f}}(\underline{e d e)}$ |
|  | $-\left(M_{Q}^{2}-2 M_{\gamma^{\prime}}^{2}\right)$ | $M_{Q}^{2} t_{f \bar{f}}^{(e d g e)}$ |
|  | $\left(2 M_{g^{\prime}}^{2}-M_{Q}^{2}\right)$ | $M_{Q}^{2} t_{f \bar{f}}^{(e d g e)}$ |
|  | -1 | $t_{f \bar{f}}^{(e d g e)}$ |

Wang, Yavin, hep-ph/0605296
Kilic, Wang, Yavin, hep-ph/0703085

Observation of non-zero slope:

Matter partner is fermionic

Possible to extract spin information about other particles in the chain (requires luck)
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## Lesson I

O We need spin measurements at the LHC

Even (especially?) in processes with MET

It's possible

Needs realistic experimental study

O Easy (easier) at the ILC
Take as a challenge!

$0-8$

## Is that all?

O The gluon partner is either a vector (spin I) or a spinor (spin I/2), right?
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O Wrong
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## If 5D, why not 6D?

A vector needs to eat another degree of freedom to be massive

O The KK modes eat their own $A_{5}$

In 6D there is an extra degree of freedom
$\left(A_{\mu}, A_{5}, A_{6}\right)$
$\downarrow$
$\left(A_{\mu}, \phi\right)$
$2+2=3+1$

## 6D spectrum

Dobrescu, Kong, Mahbubani hep-ph/070323 I
Dobrescu, Hooper, Kong, Mahbubani arxiv: 0706.3409

## O Scalars are lightest states!

O $=$ Scalar DM
O Lightest colored state also scalar


## Lepton-Photon


goes through KK fermion
$\Rightarrow$ lepton modes dominate

## Lepton-Photon

## $n$ leptons
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(compactification radius)

## Lepton-Photon

Small mass splittings so leptons and photons are soft

## $n$ leptons


$1 / R(\mathrm{GeV})$
(compactification radius)

## Lepton-Photon

Small mass splittings so leptons and photons are soft

Scalar DM: measuring spin gives a important prediction/check

$1 / R(G e V)$
(compactification radius)

## Lesson 2

O Don't forget lesson I

## Warped dimensions or

 why thinner isn't better
## The Randall-Sundrum model
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# The Randall-Sundrum model 



$$
\begin{gathered}
d s^{2}=e^{-2 k y} d x^{2}-d y^{2} \\
M \rightarrow e^{-\pi k L} M \quad(L \simeq 30 / k)
\end{gathered}
$$

O UEDs $\rightarrow$ Flat metric
RS uses the AdS, or "warped" metric

Geometrically solves the Hierarchy problem
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# Where to put the SM? 

OOOUV (Planck)

O Could localize the entire SM to the IR brane

O Cutoff is lowered by the same geometry to ~ 10 TeV

OBad
OSM (except Higgs vev) in bulk solves this problem

## The good...

UV
(Planck)


IR (TeV)


O Generates fermion mass
hierarchy
O Overlap on IR brane is exponentially supressed

O Model variations can change arrangement of chiralities

Always strong IR localization for one top chirality

## ...the bad...

UV
(Planck)


O Strong coupling to top (and maybe bottom)

O Weak(er) coupling to light fermions

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{t t A} \simeq 4 g_{\mathrm{SM}} \\
g_{f f A} \simeq-\frac{1}{5} g_{\mathrm{SM}}
\end{gathered}
$$

O ALL gauge KKs decay primarily into $t \bar{t}$

## ... the ugly

Resonance masses are generally > 2-3 TeV

O Produce highly collimated "top-jets"

Traditional top searches will fail

## 6 degrees of collimation

## 2 TeV resonance

## Fraction of events



## $\longleftarrow$ I top completely collimated: 50\%

## Both fully separated: 5\%

# 6 degrees of collimation 

4 TeV resonance


Separated": $\Delta R>0.4$

## Finding energetic tops <br> Agashe, Belyaev, Krupovnickas, Perez, Virzi hep-ph/06I20I5

Tag events with lepton + missing
modified lepton isolation criterion: lepton can be inside b-jet if $m_{\ell b}>40 \mathrm{GeV}$

Gluon KK resonance


# Finding energetic tops 

Skiba, Tucker-Smith, hep-ph/0701247

$k_{T}$ algorithm $D=0.5$

## Finding energetic tops

Baur, Orr, arxiv:0707.2066
O Found strong jet algorithm dependence
$\bigcirc k_{T}$ algorithm slurps up a lot of the underlying event

O Can be fixed by underlying event subtraction?


$$
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## Finding energetic tops

O Found strong jet algorithm dependence
$\bigcirc k_{T}$ algorithm slurps up a lot of the underlying event

O Can be fixed by underlying event subtraction?


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad R=\underset{\text { cone }^{\pi}}{\mathrm{k} k_{T}}=0.5 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

Fundamental QCD limit?

$$
\langle m(j)\rangle \propto \sqrt{\alpha_{s}} p_{T}(j)
$$

## Finding energetic other-than-tops

Butterworth, Ellis, Raklev, hep-ph/0702 I 50Use jet-mass to identify gauge and higgs bosons in SUSY events

Would also be useful in, e.g., longitudinal W-scattering

## Lesson 3

O High energy top channels are crucial

A robust algorithm for tagging "top-jets" is needed

Many unresolved issues
b-tagging efficiency?
Reliability of jet mass?
All-hadronic channels?
O Full study needed


## Conclusions

Oxtra dimensions point to important and difficult channels and analyses

It's possible we can measure spins in long decay chains at the LHC

Olt's certain that we should try
Still unique challenges in high mass resonance production

They also might just turn out to exist....

