

16 T dipole in common coil configuration: mechanical design

J. Munilla, F. Toral - CIEMAT



MINISTERIO DE ECONOMÍA Y COMPETITIVIDAD



Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas

Common Coil scheme: Magnetic field



Notice orientation of cables How forces are acting on these coils?

Common Coil scheme: Forces at coils





Common Coil scheme: Coils

JUST COILS: Horizontal movement constrained



Horizontal force: +14,5 MN/m Vertical force: +0,6 MN/m Horizontal displacement: +0,23 mm Vertical displacement: -0,13 / +0,14 mm

Concept design: Inner support

- Two different approaches are being studied:
 - 1. Open structure at beam pipe:
 - Optimized magnetic design
 - Not horizontal support available for coil pre-stress at mean plane
 - 2. Closed structure at beam pipe
 - Coils should be moved from beam pipe to accomodate this closed structure
 - Stiffer support for higher horizontal pre-stress
 - It reduces horizontal displacements of the coils
 - Less efficiency from magnetic point of view -> More cable needed
 - Higher elastic energy in the coils due to prestress

Option 1 was evaluated in the past (<u>see FCC week'17</u> <u>slides</u>) Just a quick review now



Option 2 will be shown here

Concept design: open support

- 40 mm stainless steel shell, small clearance for easy assembly.
- No prestress at warm
- Main coils are impregnated together with, but NONE of them are bonded to supporting structure



- It results in quite big displacements
- Contact pressure is not preserved at all surfaces

OPEN SUPPORT SUMMARY

Displ. X COILS (mm)	0,58 / 0,40
Displ. Y COILS (mm)	0,03 / -0,23
σ_{VM} Support (MPa)	527
σ_{VM} Iron (MPa)	418
σ_1 Iron (MPa)	82

Coils displacements for open support design

- Total displacement less than 0,6 mm in horizontal axis, -0,07 mm in vertical (mean plane).
- Slight shape deformation (not parallel displacement along the coils)
 - ➢ Horizontal max/min (0,58-0,40=0,18 mm), vertical (0,03-(-0,23)=0,26mm)



Coils RELATIVE displacement in mm: horizontal (left) and vertical (right)

(displacement between cool down to nominal current)

Open support: Coils X stress

- "azimuthal" stress for Ancillary coils
- "radial" stress for main coils



Assembly Peaks +0,7/-38 Mpa

Cool down Peaks +2,6/-78 MPa 16 T Peak 4 MPa "Max" 1/-140 Mpa

Concept design: CLOSED external support

- An outer shell of stainless steel (70 mm) holds the magnet against horizontal forces.
- Yoke is cut in 4 pieces. Invar to increase pre-stress. Magnetic simulation was made considering iron yoke, then changed to invar at structural analysis
- Main coils are impregnated together with, but NONE of them are bonded to supporting structure



Concept design: external support



Frictional c.f = 0,2

Concept design: external support

- TWO keys are used for horizontal prestress and TWO keys for vertical prestress
- Horizontal and Vertical Symmetries



Assembly

- TWO keys are used for horizontal prestress and TWO keys for vertical prestress
- Horizontal and Vertical Symmetries





Assembly Peaks +11/-71 Mpa

Keys in Peaks +9/-57 Mpa *Cool down Peaks +31/-202 MPa* 16 T Peaks +14/-146 MPa

Peaks coming from stress concentracion at center pole

For open support design: -38,-,-78,-140 MPa

Coils Y stress

- "azimuthal" stress for Ancillary coils
- "radial" stress for main coils



Peaks +1/-56 Mpa

Peaks +4/-37 Mpa

Peaks +48/-87 MPa

Peaks +11/-133 MPa

14

Peaks coming from stress concentracion at center pole

For open support design: -20,-,-66,-155 MPa

Coils X displacements



Assembly Max +0/-0,079 mm From Assembly to Cool down Max -0,034/-0,44 mm

> From 0 T to 16 T Max +0,275/+0,011 mm

15,00

30,00 (mm)

0,136

0,183

Coils Y displacements



Assembly Max +0/-0,040 mm

From Assembly to Cool down Max -0,541/-0,172 mm



From 0 T to 16 T Max +0,053/-0,254 mm

Blocks: from 0T to 16 T

X Displacement



Horizontal contact everywhere in blocks

Y Displacement



Just small areas lose vertical contact at blocks

Shell: Stainless Steel



Supports: Titanium





103,16 0,003262 Min







Conclusions

OPEN SUPPORT SUMMARY (16T)

Displ. X COILS (mm)	0,58 / 0,40
Displ. Y COILS (mm)	0,03 / -0,23
σ_{VM} Support (MPa)	527
σ_{VM} Iron (MPa)	418

CLOSED SUPPORT SUMMARY (16T)

Displ. X COILS (mm)	0,275 / 0,11
Displ. Y COILS (mm)	0,52 / -0,25
σ_{VM} Support (MPa)	1059
σ_{VM} Yoke (MPa)	946

Conclusions

- A closed inner support provide much more prestress, which could result in quite similar situation as a infinite rigid support
- Two vertical keys are needed in order to spread vertical preload between ancillary coils
- Delivery of such amount of prestress could lead to a degradation of the cable based on the specifications
- Even when coils are under compression at both directions, separation can result on some areas on the cable because the distribution of magnetic forces
- The open support concept will result in larger displacements of the coil and shape deformation of the coil
- Sliding of the coil will occur with no pressure force

Thank you for your attention