

Latest CMS Minimum Bias Results

Mayda M. Velasco

MBUEWO


Sept. 6-7, 2010



Introduction I



- Majority of the particles produced in pp collisions arise from soft interactions, which are only modeled phenomenologically
 - models must be tuned/validated with experimental results
- Today, we will present a new set of results to be used as input to that modeling work and event generator tuning based on minimum bias data collected at CMS in pp collisions:
 1. Strange Particle Production at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ & 7 TeV
 2. Charged Particle Multiplicities at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, \text{ \& } 7.0$ TeV
 3. Charged Particle Transverse Momentum Spectra at 7 TeV
 - ➔ Emphasis on large p_T
 4. Transverse-Momentum and Pseudorapidity Distributions of Charged Hadrons at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36 \text{ \& } 7$ TeV
 - ➔ $dN/d\eta$, dN/dp_T and $\langle p_T \rangle$ of charged hadrons
 - ➔ Emphasis on low p_T

Introduction II




- Data used has a natural admixture of soft, semi-hard, hard scatters and multiple particle interactions
- Not all soft processes are kept in the analyses to be discussed (1-4):
 - elastic scattering } excluded
 - single-diffractive } excluded
 - double-diffractive } included NSD
 - non-diffractive } included NSD
- Single-Diffraction (**SD**) was observed with an independent analysis and results will be presented today as well

CMS Detector






3.8T



Minimum Bias Trigger and FW HCal

- HCAL Forward
 - HF: $2.9 \leq |\eta| \leq 5$.
- Beam Scintillator Counters
 - BSC: ± 10.5 m from IP
 - $3.23 \leq |\eta| \leq 4.65$
- Beam Pick-up Timing
 - BPTX: ± 175 m from IP




- Trigger: Min Bias & Zero Bias
 - L1 Beam Scintillator Counters “BSC”
 - L1 Trigger “BPTX”
- Minimum Bias selection:
 - BPTX+BSC(OR)+ vertex: $\epsilon \sim 90\%$
 - HF ($E > 3$ GeV both sides): $\epsilon \sim 90\%$
 - !(BSC Halo) + track quality for further rejection of beam gas interactions

Main requirement to reject single-diffraction (SD) events and define Non-diffracting (NSD) signal

Trigger and data selection NSD & rejection of SD

Checks with data

$$\Sigma(E+p_z) = \Sigma E(1+\cos\Theta) = \Sigma(p_T e^\eta)$$




Checks with MC


- High NSD trigger acceptance $> 85\%$
- SD contamination after event selection $5-6\%$
- Difference between Phojet SD definitions and Pythia is at the level of 2%

Tracker Performance

- The CMS silicon pixel and strip tracker detectors were used
- Pixels: three 53.3 cm long layers with radii 4.4, 7.3, 10.2 cm
- >97% of all channels were operational, hit efficiency optimized



The energy loss in the tracker layers well described by MC







The vertex position distributions are clean Gaussians, with no tails

Strange Particle Production



K_s , Λ & Ξ

CMS PAS QCD-10-007


Strange Particles Decays



Strange Hadron Spectra






- Only NSD interactions
- Normalized to number of NSD
- Solid line is a fit to Tsallis function
- Band error due to normalization



Comparison with various generators

- All generators underestimate the amount of **Strange Particles** produces at both 0.9 and 7 TeV



Comparison with previous experiments & event Generator


Simulation

Particle	$\sqrt{s} = 0.9 \text{ TeV}$				$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$			
	T (GeV)	n	$\langle p_T \rangle_{\text{Tsallis}}$ (GeV/c)	$\langle p_T \rangle_{\text{true}}$ (GeV/c)	T (GeV)	n	$\langle p_T \rangle_{\text{Tsallis}}$ (GeV/c)	$\langle p_T \rangle_{\text{true}}$ (GeV/c)
PYTHIA 6 (D6T) K_S^0	0.156	7.41	0.581	0.579	0.183	5.71	0.753	0.754
PYTHIA 8 K_S^0	0.141	6.93	0.550	0.550	0.171	5.67	0.713	0.711
PYTHIA 6 (P0) K_S^0	0.150	6.73	0.585	0.582	0.168	5.39	0.730	0.726
PYTHIA 6 (D6T) Λ^0	0.152	6.07	0.756	0.756	0.216	5.11	1.064	1.069
PYTHIA 8 Λ^0	0.112	5.04	0.666	0.669	0.168	4.68	0.933	0.928
PYTHIA 6 (P0) Λ^0	0.124	5.33	0.695	0.694	0.163	4.64	0.921	0.910
PYTHIA 6 (D6T) Ξ^-	0.123	4.90	0.759	0.763	0.213	4.70	1.167	1.162

Simulation & Data

Particle	$\frac{dN}{dy} _{y=0}(7 \text{ TeV})$		$\frac{dN}{dy} _{y=0}(\text{PYTHIA D6T})$	
	$\frac{dN}{dy} _{y=0}(0.9 \text{ TeV})$		$\frac{dN}{dy} _{y=0}(\text{Data})$	
	Data	PYTHIA D6T	0.9 TeV	7 TeV
K_S^0	$1.71 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.20$	1.41	$0.87 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.07$	$0.72 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06$
Λ^0	$1.65 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.26$	1.48	$0.60 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.07$	$0.54 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.06$
Ξ^-	$2.09 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.27$	1.47	$0.48 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.09$	$0.33 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.05$



Data



Charge Multiplicities

CMS PAS QCD-10-004

KNO Scaling and C_q Moments



- Probability distributions $P_n(s)$ of producing n particles at collision energy s :

$$P_n(s) = \frac{1}{\langle n(s) \rangle} \psi\left(\frac{n}{\langle n(s) \rangle}\right)$$




- Scaling function:

$$\Psi(z) = \langle n \rangle P_n, \text{ with } z = n / \langle n \rangle$$

- Moments:

$$C_q = \langle n^q \rangle / \langle n \rangle^q$$



Results for the Probability Distributions





- $P_n = \sigma_n / \sigma$, where σ normalization taken from **NSD** events
- $p_T > 100$ MeV, then extrapolate to 0 MeV. The fraction of charged hadrons that is added by extrapolation correction ranges between **5% and 7%**

Comparison with Generators

Soft vs Semi hard scatters & Multi Parton Interactions





Scaling Functions Results



Difference in scaling between $|\eta| < 2.4$ and $|\eta| < 0.5$





Other useful distributions



2010 – Recent Theoretical work

C_q Energy Dependence - Scaling Violations

→ Correlations between particles produced





C_q moments increase nearly linearly with $\log(\sqrt{s})$
for $0.5 < |\eta| < 2.4$

Charged particle transverse momentum spectra

Jet Triggered: CMS PAS QCD-10-008

Minimum Bias: CMS PAPER QCD-10-006 (PRL)



Using Jet Trigger & Minimum Bias



➔ High- E_T jet triggers are employed to enhance yields at high p_T


Comparison of Differential Yield with Previous Experiments:

$$E \frac{d^3\sigma}{dp^3} = F(x_T) / p_T^{n(x_T, \sqrt{s})} = F'(x_T) / \sqrt{s}^{n(x_T, \sqrt{s})}$$




A robust prediction of pQCD hard processes is the power-law scaling of the inclusive invariant cross section with $x_T \equiv 2p_T/\sqrt{s}$
 ➔ Expected to be valid for $p_T > 2\text{GeV}$

Comparison of Differential Yield with Generators including the low p_T



- The gray band corresponds to statistical plus systematic errors in quadrature.
- Pythia – 8 in reasonable agreement
- Jet Triggered data note: CMS PAS QCD-10-008

Differential Yield of Charged Hadrons @ low p_T



Based on Minimum Bias PRL



- Minimum p_T 150 MeV
- Fit with Tsallis-Function:

$$E \frac{d^3 N_{ch}}{dp^3} = \frac{1}{2\pi p_T} \frac{E}{p} \frac{d^2 N_{ch}}{d\eta dp_T} = C \frac{dN_{ch}}{dy} \left(1 + \frac{E_T}{nT}\right)^{-n}$$

$$y = 0.5 \ln[(E + p_z)/(E - p_z)], E_T = \sqrt{m^2 + p_T^2} - m,$$

- Exponential at low p_T
 - Beam-beam remnant
- Power Law at high p_T
 - Hard parton-parton scattering

As expected: p_T Spectrum gets harder at higher energies




Invariant differential yield for the new analysis (solid circles) & the previous CMS 7 TeV measurement (stars) over the limited p_T range of the earlier result. (Lower) Ratio of the new (solid circles) & previous (stars) CMS results to a **Tsallis fit of earlier measurement**.

Difference between new and old result...Further tuning of tracking in 3rd method

Pixel detector:

53.3cm long,
3 layers with radii: 4.4, 7.3, 10.2 cm



$p_T > 30 \text{ MeV/c}$

Clusters per layer


$|\eta| < 2$

3 measurements of $dN/d\eta$

Immune to mis-alignment

Simplest method

Requires noise-free detector




$p_T > 75 \text{ MeV/c}$

2 of 3 pixel layers

$|\eta| < 2$

3 measurements of $dN/d\eta$

Sensitive to mis-alignment



Over 50% Efficient for $p_T > 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 \text{ GeV/c}$ for π, K, p

Full tracks (pixel and strips)


$|\eta| < 2.4$

$dN/d\eta$ and dN/dp_T

Sensitive to mis-alignment

Most complex

Average p_T of Charged Hadrons





- The energy dependence of the average charged-hadron p_T can be described by a quadratic function of $\ln(s)$
- Minimum Bias: CMS PAPER QCD-10-006 (PRL)

Charged particle pseudorapidity distributions

Minimum Bias: CMS PAPER QCD-10-006 (PRL)

Pseudorapidity Distributions of Charged Hadrons for NSD Events



Rise of the particle density at 2.36 & 7 TeV steeper than in model predictions.

What is next for this type of measurements?

- Finish all the analysis requested by the MBUEWG for $dN/d\eta$, dN/dp_T , etc.
- Some of the main differences with analyses shown today:
 - Do not reject SD events
 - Minimum p_T of 500 MeV and require at least one track in the central region
- Still some open questions to WG
 - Definition/Correction of “primary” charged particles

➔ More discussion in close section


Observation of diffraction in proton-proton collisions at 900 and 2360 GeV

CMS PAS FWD-10-001


Diffraction

- **Diffractive reactions in $p p$ collisions:** reactions $p p \rightarrow X Y$ in which the systems X and Y are separated by a **Large Rapidity Gap**

Single diffraction (SD)



Double Pomeron Exchange (DPE)




2 gluon exchange with
vacuum quantum numbers
"Pomeron"

- Diffractive events **contribute significantly** to Minimum Bias data set ($\sim 30\%$ of the total $p p$ cross section)
- Modelling of soft diffraction is **generator dependent**

→ Info on proton structure (dPDFs and GPDs), discovery physics, MPI, ...


Strategy for Single Diffraction Detection at CMS

Single diffraction (SD)



$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= M_X^2 / s \\ \sigma &\approx 1 / \xi \\ \Delta y &\approx -\ln \xi \\ \xi &\approx \sum_i (E_i \pm p_{z,i}) / \sqrt{s}\end{aligned}$$

No measurement of the proton \rightarrow rely on Large Rapidity Gaps



LOOK FOR A SD PEAK @ low $\xi \approx \sum_i (E_i \pm p_{z,i}) / \sqrt{s}$

Hadron Forward:



- @11.2m from interaction point
- rapidity coverage: $3 < |\eta| < 5$
- Steel absorbers/quartz fibers (Long +short fibers)
- 0.175×0.175 η/ϕ segmentation

Sum runs over all the Calo Towers:

$$p_{z,i} = E_i \cos \vartheta_i$$

CONFIRM SD PEAK @ low $E_{HF\pm}, N_{HF\pm}$


$E_{HF\pm}$ = energy deposition in HF \pm

$N_{HF\pm}$ = multiplicity of towers above threshold in HF \pm

Observation of Single Diffraction at CMS

(Results at 7 TeV to become public in the near future)


900 GeV ($10 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$)



**Systematic uncertainty
dominated by energy scale**


Acceptance for SD $\sim 20\%$
For NSD $\sim 80\%$ (PYTHIA)

2360 GeV ($0.4 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$)



**SD seen in $\Sigma E+pz$ distribution
due to cross section peaking at
small values of ξ**


Observation of Single Diffraction at CMS



SD signature confirmed by the absence of forward hadronic activity (presence of a LRG)



Enriched SD Sample →

$$E(\text{HF}+) < 8 \text{ GeV}$$



Requirement of low
Activity in one side
of CMS

SD component of the data
LRG in z+ direction
Concentrating on the
fragmenting object
(X) boosted in z- direction



Conclusions...

- Strange Particle:
 - production at all energies is underestimated by available generator
 - ...so is the relative increase between 0.9 and 7 TeV
 - Charged Particle Multiplicity
 - Scaling violations observed - C_q grows linearly with $\log(\sqrt{s})$
 - Reasonable agreement with Pythia-8 for 0.9 and 2.36 TeV, but overestimates multiplicity at 7 TeV
 - Charged Particle Transverse momentum spectra at 7 TeV
 - At high p_T behaves as expected by pQCD contrary to CDF results
 - At low p_T our new results supersede previous CMS results
 - Charged Particle Pseudorapidity distributions
 - Underestimated by current models, but empirical fit possible
 - SD unambiguously observed
- ➔ Good references for MC tuning & for future suppression measurements in Dense QCD medium produced in PbPb collision are now available