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## Motivation

- the couplings of gauge bosons among themselves belong to one of the least tested sectors of electroweak theory
- the photoproduction of single W bosons is a process well-suited to test the $W W \gamma$ coupling
- up to now very low rates for processes involving triple gauge boson coupling (HERA: 3 events for inclusive photoproduction [Breitweg et al., Phys. Lett. B 471, 411 (2000)])
- Can these rates be improved in p-p and p-A collisions at LHC?
- exclusive photoproduction: neutron in forward direction
- in p-p collisions contributions from elastic and inelastic photon spectra


## Exclusive Photoproduction of W

- we include three Feynman diagrams in our calculation

- appropriate electromagnetic and weak form factors have to be employed


## Electromagnetic NNVertex

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{\mu}^{\gamma N N}=-i e\left[F_{1}^{N}\left(k^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu}+i \frac{\kappa_{N}}{2 M} F_{2}^{N}\left(k^{2}\right) \sigma_{\mu \nu}\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)^{\nu}\right] \\
& F_{1}^{p}(0)=F_{2}^{p}(0)=F_{2}^{n}(0)=1 \\
& F_{1}^{n}(0)=0 \\
& \kappa_{p}=1.79 \\
& \sigma_{\mu \nu}=\frac{i}{2}\left[\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\right]
\end{aligned} \quad \kappa_{n}=-1.91
$$

## Weak NNVertex

## (spacelike region)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mu}^{W N N} & =-i g\left[F_{V}\left(q^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu}+i F_{M}\left(q^{2}\right) \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu}+F_{S}\left(q^{2}\right) q_{\mu}\right. \\
& +F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5}+i F_{T}\left(q^{2}\right) \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu} \gamma_{5} \\
& \left.+F_{P}\left(q^{2}\right) q_{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Weak NNVertex

## (spacelike region)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mu}^{W N N} & =-i g\left[F_{V}\left(q^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu}+i F_{M}\left(q^{2}\right) \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu}+F_{S} /\left(q^{2}\right) q_{\mu}\right. \\
& +F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5}+i F_{\mathcal{H}}\left(q^{2}\right) \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu} \gamma_{5} \\
& \left.+F_{\nu}\left(q^{2}\right) q_{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- conserved vector current hypothesis:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{V}\left(q^{2}\right)=F_{1}^{p}\left(q^{2}\right)-F_{1}^{n}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{1+\tau\left(1+\left(\kappa_{p}-\kappa_{n}\right)\right)}{1+\tau} G_{V}\left(q^{2}\right) \quad F_{V}(0)=1 \\
& F_{M}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 M}\left(\kappa_{p} F_{2}^{p}\left(q^{2}\right)-\kappa_{n} F_{2}^{n}\left(q^{2}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2 M} \frac{\kappa_{p}-\kappa_{n}}{1+\tau} G_{V}\left(q^{2}\right) \\
& G_{V}\left(q^{2}\right)=\left(1-\frac{q^{2}}{m_{V}^{2}}\right)^{-2} \quad \tau=\frac{q^{2}}{4 M^{2}} \quad m_{V}^{2}=0.71 G e V^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Weak NN Vertex

## (spacelike region)



$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mu}^{W N N} & =-i g\left[F_{V}\left(q^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu}+i F_{M}\left(q^{2}\right) \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu}+F_{S}\left(q^{2}\right) q_{\mu}\right. \\
& +F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5}+i F_{\mathcal{H}}\left(q^{2}\right) \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu} \gamma_{5} \\
& \left.+F_{\nu}\left(q^{2}\right) q_{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- by analogy with vector form factors, the axial vector form factor $F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right)$ is usually parameterized as a dipole form

$$
F_{A}\left(q^{2}\right)=F_{A}(0)\left(1+\frac{q^{2}}{m_{A}^{2}}\right)^{-2}
$$

with $F_{A}(0)=-1.26$ and $m_{A}=0.95 \mathrm{GeV}$

## Weak NNVertex

## (timelike region)



$$
\Gamma_{\mu}^{W N N}=-i g\left[F_{V}\left(Q^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu}+i F_{M}\left(Q^{2}\right) \sigma_{\mu \nu} Q^{\nu}+F_{A}\left(Q^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]
$$

here:

$$
Q^{2}=M_{W}^{2}
$$

- Fearing et al. [Phys. Rev. D5, 158 \& 177 (1972)] and Kallianpur [Phys. Rev. D34, 3343 (1986)] use two different choices of timelike weak form factors:
- constant timelike form factors
- dipole timelike form factors


## Triple Gauge Boson Coupling



$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\mu \alpha \beta}^{W W \gamma} & =i e\left\{F_{1}^{W}\left(k^{2}\right)\left[(2 Q-k)_{\mu} g_{\alpha \beta}-Q_{\alpha} g_{\mu \beta}-(Q-k)_{\beta} g_{\mu \alpha}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\kappa_{W} F_{2}^{W}\left(k^{2}\right)\left[k_{\beta} g_{\mu \beta}-k_{\alpha} g_{\mu \beta}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
F_{1}^{W}(0) & =F_{2}^{W}(0)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- Standard Model Coupling recovered for $\kappa_{W}=1$


## Gauge Invariance

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}_{f i}=- \text { ige } \epsilon_{\mu} M^{\mu \beta} \epsilon_{\beta}^{W} \\
M^{\mu \beta}=M_{1}^{\mu \beta}+M_{2}^{\mu \beta}+M_{3}^{\mu \beta}
\end{gathered}
$$

- employing weak vertices between offshell states results in loss of explicit gauge invariance

$$
k_{\mu} M^{\mu \beta} \epsilon_{\beta}^{W} \neq 0
$$

- Fearing et al. [Phys. Rev. D 5, 158 (1972)] introduce a technique how to maintain gauge invariance by adding a term $\Delta M^{\mu \beta}$
$\Delta M^{\mu \beta}$ has to fulfill certain requirements:
- it should cancel the extra terms arising
- it should not contain new singularities in the physical region
- it should satisfy $\Delta M \ll M$

$$
M^{\mu \beta} \longrightarrow M^{\mu \beta}+\Delta M^{\mu \beta}
$$

## Results (Photoproduction)



- total photoproduction cross section as function of photon energy without form factors and with constant and dipole weak timelike form factors
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## Results (Photoproduction)



- total photoproduction cross section as function of photon energy for different values of $\kappa_{W}$


## Equivalent Photon Approximation

- impact parameter larger than the extension of the nucleus/proton
- approximated equivalent photon spectrum for ion of radius R and mass $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}$

$$
f_{\gamma \mid A}(u) \approx \frac{2 Z^{2} \alpha}{\pi} \ln \left(\frac{1}{u R M_{A}}\right) \quad \omega=u \cdot E_{A}
$$

- approximate proton spectrum can be derived in the same way as ion spectrum, with R being the charge radius of the proton

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\gamma \mid p}(u) \approx \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \ln \left(\frac{0.71 G e V^{2}}{u^{2} M_{p}^{2}}\right) \quad \omega=u \cdot E_{p} \\
\sigma=\int \frac{d u}{u} f_{\gamma \mid p / A}(u) \sigma_{\gamma}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Equivalent Photon Approximation (proton, elastic)

- proton spectrum can be derived approximately in the same way as ion spectrum, with R being the charge radius of the proton

$$
f_{\gamma \mid p}(u) \approx \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \ln \left(\frac{0.71 G e V^{2}}{u^{2} M_{p}^{2}}\right)
$$

- parametrization from Kniehl [Phys. Lett. B 254, 267 (1991)]

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\gamma \mid p}(u)=\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi} u\left[c_{1} y \ln \left(1+\frac{c_{2}}{z}\right)-\left(y+c_{3}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{1}{z}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\frac{c_{4}}{z-1}+\frac{c_{5} y+c_{6}}{z}+\frac{c_{7} y+c_{8}}{z^{2}}+\frac{c_{9} y+c_{10}}{z^{3}}\right] \\
y=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{u}+\frac{2}{u^{2}} \quad z=1+\frac{M_{p}^{2}}{0.71 G e V^{2}} \frac{u^{2}}{1-u} \\
\sigma=\int \frac{d u}{u} f_{\gamma \mid p}(u) \sigma_{\gamma}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Equivalent Photon Approximation (proton, elastic)



## Equivalent Photon Approximation

 (elastic)

## Results (p-p and p-A collisions)

- total cross sections:
- p-p collisions: $\sim 5 \cdot 10^{-40} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
- Pb-p collisions: $\sim 9 \cdot 10^{-37} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
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- p-p collisions: $\sim 5 \cdot 10^{-40} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
- Pb-p collisions: $\sim 9 \cdot 10^{-37} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
- luminosities:
- p-p collisions: $L_{p p} \approx 10^{29} \ldots 10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
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$$
\begin{array}{ll}
5 \cdot 10^{-4} \ldots 50 \text { events } / 10^{7} s & \text { p-p collisions } \\
\text { at least } 0.1 \text { events } / 10^{6} s & \text { Pb-p collisions }
\end{array}
$$

## Results (p-p collision)



- differential cross section as function of rapidity in p-p collision
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- differential cross section as function of rapidity in p-p collision


## Results (p-p collision)



- differential cross section as function of energies in p-p collision, incident particles at 7 TeV


## Results (p-A collision)



- total cross section as function of $\kappa_{W}$ in $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{p}$ collision


## Equivalent Photon Approximation

 (proton, inelastic)- for large momentum transfers $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ of the photon, the proton should be regarded as a collection of partons, which radiate as pointlike particles
- for simplicity, we neglect the dependence of the parton distribution functions on $Q^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma=\int d x \int d u \sum_{q_{i}} e_{i}^{2} f_{q_{i} \mid p}\left(x, Q_{a v}^{2}\right) f_{\gamma \mid q_{i}}(u) \sigma_{\gamma} \\
f_{\gamma \mid q}=\frac{\alpha}{2 \pi} \frac{1+(1-u)^{2}}{u} \ln \left(\frac{Q_{\max }^{2}}{Q_{\min }^{2}}\right) \quad \omega=x \cdot u \cdot E_{p} \\
Q_{\min }^{2}=1 G e V^{2} \quad Q_{\max }^{2}=M_{W}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Equivalent Photon Approximation
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## Equivalent Photon Approximation (proton, inelastic)



## Results (p-p collisions)

- total cross sections:
- p-p collisions, elastic: $\sim 5 \cdot 10^{-40} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
- p-p collisions, inelastic: $\sim 1.7 \cdot 10^{-39} \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \quad Q_{a v}^{2} *=\frac{Q_{\max }^{2}-Q_{\min }^{2}}{\log Q_{\max }^{2}-\log Q_{\min }^{2}}$



## Results (p-p collisions)



- differential cross section as function of rapidity in p-p collision (inelastic EPA)
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- differential cross section as function of energies in p-p collision, incident particles at 7 TeV
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- for elastic EPA \& for timelike form factors which fall off:
- total cross section is sensitive to the anomalous magnetic moment of the W boson
- differential and total cross sections do not depend on the choice of the form factors in the timelike region
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## Open Questions

- feasibility of measuring this process
- Is it possible to distinguish single W boson production from other processes?
- inelastic EPA: two further diagrams exist, their contribution needs to be checked

