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We will be interested in gluon scattering amplitudes of planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills.

Motivation: It can give non trivial information about more realistic theories but is more tractable.

- Perturbative computations are easier. Higher loop computations are possible $\rightarrow$ proposal for all loops (MHV) $n$-point amplitudes.
- The strong coupling regime can be studied, by means of the gauge/string duality, through a weakly coupled string sigma model.


## Aim of these project

Prescription for computing scattering amplitudes of planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills at strong coupling by using the AdS/CFT correspondence.
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## Gauge theory amplitudes, Dixon's talk

$A_{n}^{L, F u l l} \sim \sum_{\rho} \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a_{\rho(1)}} \ldots T^{a_{\rho(n)}}\right) A_{n}^{(L)}(\rho(1), \ldots, \rho(2))$

- Leading $N_{c}$ color ordered $n$-points amplitude at $L$ loops: $A_{n}^{(L)}$
- The amplitudes are IR divergent.
- Dimensional regularization $D=4-2 \epsilon \rightarrow A_{n}^{(L)}(\epsilon)=1 / \epsilon^{2 L}+\ldots$
- Focus on MHV amplitudes and scale out the tree amplitude

$$
M_{n}^{(L)}(\epsilon)=A_{n}^{(L)} / A_{n}^{(0)}
$$

BDS proposal for all loops MHV amplitudes (Bern, Dixon, Smirnov)

$$
\log \mathcal{M}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(-\frac{1}{8 \epsilon^{2}} f^{(-2)}\left(\frac{\lambda \mu^{2 \epsilon}}{s_{i, i+1}^{\epsilon}}\right)-\frac{1}{4 \epsilon} g^{(-1)}\left(\frac{\lambda \mu^{2 \epsilon}}{s_{i, i+1}^{\epsilon}}\right)\right)+f(\lambda) F i n_{n}^{(1)}(k) .
$$
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## AdS / CFT duality

## AdS/CFT duality (Maldacena)

> Four dimensional Type IIB string theory maximally SUSY Yang-Mills $\Leftrightarrow$ on $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$.

We will study scattering amplitudes at strong coupling by using the AdS/CFT duality.

- Set up the computation: Use a $D$ - brane as IR cut-off.
- Actual computations: Dimensional regularization.


$$
d s^{2}=R^{2} \frac{d x_{3+1}^{2}+d z^{2}}{z^{2}}
$$

Place a D-brane extended along $x_{3+1}$ and located at $z_{I R} \gg R$.


- The asymptotic states are open strings ending on the D-brane.
- Consider the scattering of these open strings.
- $k_{p r}=k \frac{z_{R}}{R}$ is very large: fixed angle and very high momentum.
- Intuition from flat space (Gross and Mende): Amplitude is dominated by a saddle point,

World-sheet with the topology of a disk with vertex operator insertions (corresponding to external states)


- Near each vertex operator, the momentum of the external state fixes the form of the solution.
- The boundary of the world-sheet sits at $z=z_{I R}$.
- T-duality in $x^{\mu}$ directions followed by a change of coordinates $r=R^{2} / z \rightarrow$ we end up again with $A d S_{5}$ !

$$
d s^{2}=R^{2} \frac{d x_{3+1}^{2}+d z^{2}}{z^{2}} \quad \rightarrow \quad d s^{2}=R^{2} \frac{d y_{3+1}^{2}+d r^{2}}{r^{2}}
$$



The world-sheet boundary is located at $r=R^{2} / z_{I R}$ and is a particular line constructed as follows...


- For each particle with momentum $k^{\mu}$ draw a segment joining two points separated by $\Delta y^{\mu}=2 \pi k^{\mu}$
- Concatenate the segments according to the ordering of the insertions on the disk (particular color ordering).
- As $z_{I R} \rightarrow \infty$ the boundary of the world-sheet moves to $r=0$.
- Exactly same computation as when computing the expectation value of a Wilson-Loop given by a sequence of light-like segments!


## Prescription

- $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ : Leading exponential behavior of the $n$-point scattering amplitude.
- $A_{\min }\left(k_{1}^{\mu}, k_{2}^{\mu}, \ldots, k_{n}^{\mu}\right)$ : Area of a minimal surface that ends on a sequence of light-like segments on the boundary.

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n} \sim e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi} A_{\min }}
$$

- Prefactors are subleading in $1 / \sqrt{\lambda}$, and we don't compute them.
- In particular our computation is blind to helicity (and hence works also for non MHV)


Consider $k_{1}+k_{3} \rightarrow k_{2}+k_{4}$

- The simplest case $s=t$.


Need to find the minimal surface ending on such sequence of light-like segments

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & =\sqrt{\left(1-y_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-y_{2}^{2}\right)} \\
y_{0} & =y_{1} y_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The "dual" $A d S$ space possesses isometries $S O(2,4)$.
- This dual conformal symmetry takes this solution to the most general one!

Let's compute the area...

- Small problem: The area diverges!
- Dimensional reduction scheme: Start with $\mathcal{N}=1$ in $\mathrm{D}=10$ and go down to $D=4-2 \epsilon$.
- For integer $D$ this is exactly the low energy theory living on Dp-branes $(p=D-1)$


## Gravity dual

$$
\begin{array}{r}
d s^{2}=h^{-1 / 2} d x_{D}^{2}+h^{1 / 2}\left(d r^{2}+r^{2} d \Omega_{9-D}^{2}\right), \quad h=\frac{c_{D} \lambda_{D}}{r^{8-D}} \\
\lambda_{D}=\frac{\lambda \mu^{2 \epsilon}}{\left(4 \pi e^{-\gamma}\right)^{\epsilon}} \quad c_{D}=2^{4 \epsilon} \pi^{3 \epsilon} \Gamma(2+\epsilon)
\end{array}
$$

## T-dual coordinates

$$
d s^{2}=\sqrt{\lambda_{D} c_{D}}\left(\frac{d y_{D}^{2}+d r^{2}}{r^{2+\epsilon}}\right) \rightarrow S_{\epsilon}=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{D} C_{D}}}{2 \pi} \int \frac{\mathcal{L}_{\epsilon=0}}{r^{\epsilon}}
$$

- Presence of $\epsilon$ will make the integrals convergent.
- The eoms will depend on $\epsilon$ but if we plug the original solution into the new action, the answer is accurate enough.
- plugging everything into the action...

$$
S \approx-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{D} C_{D}}}{2 \pi a^{\epsilon}}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{\epsilon}{2}, \frac{1-\epsilon}{2} ; b^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)
$$

- Just expand in powers of $\epsilon \ldots$


## Final answer

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{A}=e^{i S}=\exp \left[i S_{d i v}+\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{8 \pi}\left(\log \frac{s}{t}\right)^{2}+\tilde{C}\right] \\
S_{d i v}=2 S_{d i v, s}+2 S_{d i v, t} \\
S_{d i v, s}=-\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda \mu^{2 \epsilon}}{(-s)^{\epsilon}}}-\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{4 \pi}(1-\log 2) \sqrt{\frac{\lambda \mu^{2 \epsilon}}{(-s)^{\epsilon}}}
\end{array}
$$

- Should be compared to the field theory answer

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} & \sim\left(\mathcal{A}_{\text {div }, s}\right)^{2}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\text {div }, t}\right)^{2} \exp \left\{\frac{f(\lambda)}{8}(\ln s / t)^{2}+\text { const }\right\} \\
\mathcal{A}_{\text {div }, s} & =\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{8 \epsilon^{2}} f^{(-2)}\left(\frac{\lambda \mu^{2 \epsilon}}{s^{\epsilon}}\right)-\frac{1}{4 \epsilon} g^{(-1)}\left(\frac{\lambda \mu^{2 \epsilon}}{s^{\epsilon}}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $S O(2,4)$ transformations fixed somehow the kinematical dependence of the finite piece.
- This dual conformal symmetry constrains the form of the amplitude


## Dual Ward identity

$$
\mathcal{O}_{K} \mathcal{A}=0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_{k} \text { Fin }=-\mathcal{O}_{k} \text { Div }
$$

For $n=4,5$ the solution is unique and agrees with BDS! for $n=6$ there is some freedom.

- Also perturbatively and even dual super-conformal symmetry on NMHV (Sokatchev's talk).
- Dual super-conformal symmetry present for all values of the coupling! (Berkovits's talk)

What about the BDS ansatz?

- Symmetries "protect" BDS from corrections, we need to consider $n>5$. What about $n=\infty$ ?
We choose a zig-zag configuration that approximates the rectangular Wilson loop.

- $\log <W_{\text {rect }}^{\text {weak }}>=\frac{\lambda}{8 \pi} \frac{T}{L}$
- $\log <W_{\text {rect }}^{\text {strong }}>=\sqrt{\lambda} \frac{4 \pi^{2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{4}} \frac{T}{L}$
- While BDS $\rightarrow \log <W_{\text {rect }}^{\text {strong }}>=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4} \frac{T}{L}$. Impresive explicit computations showed that indeed BDS fails for 6 gluons at two loops! (Bern, Dixon, Kosower, Roiban, Spradilin, Vergu, Volovich)

- This computation shows a relation between Wilson loops and scattering amplitudes.


## Scattering amplitudes vs. WL

$$
\mathcal{M}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \approx<W\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)>, \quad k_{i}=x_{i+1}-x_{i}
$$

- This relation holds also at weak coupling! (for MHV amplitudes)
- Four legs at one loop (Drummond, Korchemsky, Sokatchev)
- $n$ legs at one loop (Brandhuber, Heslop, Travaglini )
- Up to six legs at two loops (Drummond, Henn, Korchemsky, Sokatchev)


## Other processes ( something like meson/photon $\rightarrow q+\bar{q}$ )



- Brane I extending along $\left(x^{0}, x^{1}\right)$ at $Z=Z_{I R}$.
- Brane I/ extending along $\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, z\right)$
- meson $\rightarrow(I I, I I)$, quarks $\rightarrow(I, I I)$
- $(0, \kappa) \rightarrow(\kappa / 2, \kappa / 2)+(-\kappa / 2, \kappa / 2)$
- After T-duality, a triangle in the $\left(y^{0}, y^{1}\right)$ plane with boundary conditions for $r$
- $r=0$ in the red lines (quarks), $r=\infty$ in the blue line (meson).


- Also possible to consider meson $\rightarrow q+\bar{q}+g / u o n s$.
- Other processes like singlets into gluons.
- We could not find the solutions but the singular behavior can be understood

$$
g_{\text {quark }}(\lambda)=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4 \pi}(1-3 \log 2)
$$

## Scattering amplitudes vs. twist two operators

Consider a massless gauge theory

## High spin, twist two operators

$\mathcal{O}_{S}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi D^{S} \phi\right), \quad S \gg 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta_{\mathcal{O}_{s}}=f(\lambda) \log S-B(\lambda)+\ldots$

## Scattering amplitudes

$$
\log \mathcal{A}=\frac{f(\lambda)}{\epsilon^{2}}+\frac{g(\lambda)}{\epsilon}+\ldots
$$

- Is there any relation between $B(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ ?

- They are not equal but $g_{R}-B_{R}=C_{R} X$, where $X$ is a universal function (Dixon, Magnea, Sterman).
- All this quantities can be computed at strong coupling in planar $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM for gluons (adjoint) and quarks (fundamental).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{g g}=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\left(\log \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi}\right)+1-2 \log 2\right) \\
& B_{q q}=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi}\left(\log \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2 \pi}\right)+1-3 \log 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Universality seems to hold at strong coupling!


# String theory set up <br> Explicit example and recent developments <br> Other processes <br> Scattering amplitudes vs. twist two operators <br> Conclusions and outlook <br> <br> What needs to be done? 

 <br> <br> What needs to be done?}

- Try to make explicit computations for $n>4$, e.g. $n=6$ is a good one.
- Subleading corrections in $1 / \sqrt{\lambda}$ ? Information about helicity of the particles, etc.
- Gross and Mende computed higher genus amplitudes (in flat space) using similar ideas, can we do the same?
- Can we repeat the computation in other backgrounds?
- Deeper relation between Wilson loops and scattering amplitudes?
- Some powerful alternative to BDS?

- A lot of structure ( some discovered and hopefully more waiting to be discovered) behind scattering amplitudes of planar MSYM.
- For $n=4,5$, we think we know them to all values of the coupling!
- We haven't assume/use at all the machinery of integrability.

Talk by someone at strings 2009:

- Expression for all planar MSYM amplitudes at all values of the coupling.


[^0]:    $f(\lambda), g(\lambda) \rightarrow$ cusp/collinear anomalous dimension.

