The basics and applications of the tempered Lefschetz thimble method for the numerical sign problem

Masafumi Fukuma (Dept Phys, Kyoto Univ)

@Lattice 2021 (online)

Based on work with

Nobuyuki Matsumoto, Yusuke Namekawa and Naoya Umeda
(RIKEN/BNL)(YITP, Kyoto U)(PwC)

- -- **MF** and **Umeda**, "Parallel tempering algorithm for integration over Lefschetz thimbles" [PTEP2017(2017)073B01, arXiv:1703.00861]
- -- **MF**, **Matsumoto** and **Umeda**, "Applying the tempered Lefschetz thimble method to the Hubbard model away from half filling" [PRD100(2019)114510, arXiv:1906.04243]
- -- MF, Matsumoto and Umeda, "Implementation of the HMC algorithm on the tempered Lefschetz thimble method" [arXiv:1912.13303]
- -- **MF** and **Matsumoto**, "Worldvolume approach to the tempered Lefschetz thimble method" [PTEP2021(2021)023B08, arXiv:2012.08468]
- -- **MF**, **Matsumoto** and **Namekawa**, "Statistical analysis method for the Worldvolume Monte Carlo algorithm" [arXiv:2107.06858]

1. Introduction

Numerical sign problem

Numerical sign problem:

has prevented the first-principles analysis of physically important systems

Examples

- (1) QCD at finite density
- (2) Solid state systems (using QMC)
 - strongly correlated electron systems
 - frustrated classical/quantum spin systems
- (3) Real-time dynamics of quantum fields
- (4) QCD with finite θ

Various approaches

method 1: no use of reweighting

 ▼ complex Langevin method [Parisi 1983, Klauder 1983]
 (may show a wrong convergence problem) (⇐ wrong results w/ small stat errors)

method 2: deformation of the integration surface

- Lefschetz thimble method [Witten 2010, Cristoforetti et al. 2012, Fujii et al. 2013, Alexandru et al. 2015]
 Tempered Lefschetz thimble method (TLTM) [MF-Umeda 2017] [MF-Umeda-Matsumoto 2019]
 worldvolume TLTM (WV-TLTM) [MF-Matsumoto 2020]
- ▼ path optimization method (POM) [Mori-Kashiwa-Ohnishi 2017, Alexandru et al. 2018]

method 3: no use of MC in the first place

- ▼ tensor network [Levin-Nave 2007, ...]
 - $\left. \mathsf{'}\mathsf{-} \mathsf{good} \mathsf{~at} \mathsf{~calculating} \mathsf{~the} \mathsf{~free} \mathsf{~energy}
 ight
 angle$
 - but not so much for correl fcns
 - complementary to MC approach?

Various approaches

method 1: no use of reweighting

▼ complex Langevin method [Parisi 1983, Klauder 1983] (may show a wrong convergence problem) (← wrong results w/ small stat errors)

method 2: deformation of the integration surface

 Lefschetz thimble method [Witten 2010, Cristoforetti et al. 2012, Fujii et al. 2013, Alexandru et al. 2015]
 Tempered Lefschetz thimble method (TLTM) [MF-Umeda 2017] [MF-Umeda-Matsumoto 2019]
 worldvolume TLTM (WV-TLTM) [MF-Matsumoto 2020]

▼ path optimization method (POM) [Mori-Kashiwa-Ohnishi 2017, Alexandru et al. 2018]

method 3: no use of MC in the first place

- tensor network [Levin-Nave 2007, ...]
 - $\left. \mathsf{'}\mathsf{-} \mathsf{good} \mathsf{\ at\ calculating\ the\ free\ energy}
 ight
 angle$
 - but not so much for correl fcns
 - complementary to MC approach?

Plan

- 1. Introduction (done)
- 2. Lefschetz thimble method
- 3. Tempered Lefschetz thimble method (TLTM)
- 4. Worldvolume-TLTM (WV-TLTM)
- 5. Summary and outlook

2. Lefschetz thimble method

Basic idea of the thimble method (1/2)

• complexification of dyn variable: $x = (x^i) \in \mathbb{R}^N \implies z = (z^i = x^i + iy^i) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ <u>assumption</u> (satisfied for most cases) $(S(x) : action, \mathcal{O}(x) : observable)$ $e^{-S(z)}$, $e^{-S(z)}\mathcal{O}(z)$: entire fcns over \mathbb{C}^N (can have zeros) $\mathbb{C}^N = \{z\}$ lV Cauchy's theorem $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{R}^{\overline{N}}$ Integral does not change under continuous deformation of integration surface : $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \Sigma (\subset \mathbb{C}^N)$ (boundary at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ kept fixed) $\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \rangle \equiv \frac{\int_{\Sigma_0} dx \ e^{-S(x)} \mathcal{O}(x)}{\int_{\Sigma_0} dx \ e^{-S(x)}} = \frac{\int_{\Sigma} dz \ e^{-S(z)} \mathcal{O}(z)}{\int_{\Sigma} dz \ e^{-S(z)}}$ severe sign problem sign problem will be significantly reduced if Im S(z) is almost constant on Σ

Basic idea of the thimble method (2/2)



$$\left[S(z_t)\right] = \partial S(z_t) \cdot \dot{z}_t = \left|\partial S(z_t)\right|^2 \ge 0$$

 $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re} S(z_t) \end{bmatrix} \ge 0 : \text{ always increases except at crit pt } \zeta \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta : \operatorname{crit pt} \\ \Leftrightarrow \end{array} \right) \\ \left[\operatorname{Im} S(z_t) \right] = 0 : \text{ always constant} \end{array} \right\}$

 $\Sigma_t \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \mathcal{J} \text{ (Lefschetz thimble)} \equiv \text{ set of orbits starting from } \zeta$ $\operatorname{Im} S(z) : \text{ constant on } \mathcal{J} \ (= \operatorname{Im} S(\zeta))$

Sign problem is expected to disappear on Σ_t at a sufficiently large t

3. Tempered Lefschetz thimble method (TLTM)

Ergodicity problem

[Fukuma-Umeda 1703.00861]

Sign problem resolved? NO!

Actually, there comes out another problem at large *t* : **Ergodicity problem**



Tempered Lefschetz thimble method (TLTM) [Fukuma-Umeda 1703.00861]

■ <u>TLTM</u>

- (1) Introduce replicas in between the initial integ surface $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{R}^N$ and the target deformed surface Σ_T as $\left\{ \Sigma_{t_0=0}, \Sigma_{t_1}, \Sigma_{t_2}, \dots, \Sigma_{t_A=T} \right\}$
- (2) Setup a Markov chain for the extended config space $\{(x,t_a)\}$
- (3) Estimate observables with a sample on Σ_T



Sign and ergodicity problems solved simultaneously !

TLTM has been successfully applied to ...

- (0+1)dim massive Thirring model [MF-Umeda 1703.00861]
- 2dim Hubbard model [MF-Matsumoto-Umeda 1906.04243, 1912.13303]
- chiral random matrix model (a toy model of finite density QCD)
 [MF-Matsumoto 2012.08468]
- anti-ferro Ising on triangular lattice [MF-Matsumoto 2020, JPS meeting]

So far successful for all the models when applied, though the system sizes are yet small (DOF ≤ 200)

4. Worldvolume TLTM (WV-TLTM)

Pros and cons of TLTM

■ <u>TLTM</u> [**MF-Umeda 2017**]

Replicas introduced in between Σ_0 and Σ_T :

$$\left\{ \Sigma_{t_0=0}, \ \Sigma_{t_1}, \ \Sigma_{t_2}, \ \dots, \ \Sigma_{t_A=T} \right\}$$



- <u>Pros</u>: can be applied to any systems once formulated by path integrals with continuous variables
- Cons : large comput cost at large DOF
 - necessary # of replicas $\propto O(N^{0-1})$
 - need to calculate Jacobian $J_t(x) = \partial z_t(x) / \partial x \propto O(N^3)$ everytime we exchange configs between adjacent replicas

[9/15]

Idea of WV-TLTM (1/2)

[MF-Matsumoto 2012.08468]

[10/15]

Worldvolume TLTM (WV-TLTM)

HMC on a continuous accumulation of integ surfaces, $\mathcal{R} \equiv \bigcup \Sigma$



<u>Pros</u>: can be applied to any systems once formulated by path integrals with continuous variables

- \bigoplus major reduction of comput cost at large DOF
 - No need to introduce replicas
 - No need to calculate Jacobian $J_t(x) = \partial z_t(x) / \partial x$ in MD process
 - Configs can move largely due to the use of HMC

Idea of WV-TLTM (2/2)

[MF-Matsumoto 2012.08468]

■ <u>Basic Idea</u>

HMC on the worldvolume

■ <u>Algorithm</u>

ADM decomposition of the induced metric : $ds^{2} = \alpha^{2} dt^{2} + \gamma_{ab} (dx^{a} + \beta^{a} dt) (dx^{b} + \beta^{b} dt) \quad (\alpha : \text{lapce})$ $\Rightarrow \text{ vol element of } \mathcal{R} : Dz = \alpha dt \mid dz_{t}(x) \mid = \alpha \mid \det J \mid dt dx$ $\Rightarrow \text{ rewt factor } : A(z) \equiv \frac{dt dz_{t}}{Dz} e^{-i \text{Im}S(z)} = \alpha^{-1}(z) \frac{\det J}{|\det J|} e^{-i \text{Im}S(z)}$



potential $V(z) \equiv \operatorname{Re}S(z) + W(t(z))$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \rangle = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{R}} Dz \, e^{-V(z)} A(z) \, \mathcal{O}(z)}{\int_{\mathcal{R}} Dz \, e^{-V(z)} A(z)} \equiv \frac{\langle A(z) \mathcal{O}(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}}}{\langle A(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}}} \left(\langle f(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}} \equiv \frac{\int_{\mathcal{R}} Dz \, e^{-V(z)} f(z)}{\int_{\mathcal{R}} Dz \, e^{-V(z)}} \right)$$

 $\langle f(z) \rangle_{\mathcal{R}}$: estimated with RATTLE (HMC on a constrained space)[Andersen 1983, Leimkuhler-Skeel 1994]

$$\begin{cases} \pi_{1/2} = \pi - \Delta s \,\overline{\partial} V(z) - \lambda^a F_a(z) \\ z' = z + \Delta s \,\pi_{1/2} \\ \pi' = \pi - \Delta s \,\overline{\partial} V(z') - \lambda'^a F_a(z') \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda^a \text{ and } \lambda'^a \text{ are determined s.t.} \begin{cases} z' \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } \lambda^a \ln[J_a^{\dagger}(z) E_0(z)] = 0 \\ \pi' \in T_z' \mathcal{R} \text{ and } \lambda^a \ln[J_a^{\dagger}(z') E_0(z')] = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$C^N = \mathbb{R}^{2N}$$

$$z + \Delta z \quad x^a F_a \quad \pi' \qquad \Sigma_{T_1} \\ z' = \lambda f_a \quad x + \lambda f$$

Application: chiral random matrix model (1/2) [MF-Matsumoto 2012.08468]

■ <u>finite density QCD</u>

$$Z_{\text{QCD}} = \text{tr} e^{-\beta(H-\mu N)} \begin{pmatrix} \{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}, \ \gamma_{\mu} = \gamma_{\mu}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{\mu} \\ \sigma_{\mu}^{\dagger} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \int [dA_{\mu}] [d\psi d\overline{\psi}] e^{(1/2g^{2})} \int \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu}^{2} + \int [\overline{\psi}(\gamma_{\mu}D_{\mu}+m)\psi + \mu\psi^{\dagger}\psi]$$
$$= \int [dA_{\mu}] e^{(1/2g^{2})} \int \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu}^{2} \text{Det} \begin{pmatrix} m & \sigma_{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu}) + \mu \\ \sigma_{\mu}^{\dagger}(\partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu}) + \mu & m \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\text{toy model}$$

chiral random matrix model [Stephanov 1996, Halasz et al. 1998]

 $Z_{\text{Steph}} = \int d^2 W \ e^{-n \operatorname{tr} W^{\dagger} W} \det \begin{pmatrix} m & iW + \mu \\ iW^{\dagger} + \mu & m \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{quantum field replaced by} \\ \text{a matrix incl spacetime DOF} \end{pmatrix} \\ (T = 0, N_f = 1) \end{pmatrix}$

 $W = (W_{ij}) = (X_{ij} + iY_{ij}) : n \times n \text{ complex matrix}$ $\left(\mathsf{DOF} : N = 2n^2 \iff 4L^4(N_c^2 - 1)\right)$

■ <u>role as an important benchmark model</u>

- well approximates the qualitative behaviour of QCD at large *n*
- complex Langevin suffers from wrong convergence [Bloch et al. 2018]



5. Summary and outlook

Summary and outlook

■ <u>Summary</u>

- TLTM has a potential to be a solution to the sign problem
 - the sign and ergodicity problems are solved simultaneously
- \checkmark TLTM has been successfully applied to various models. (yet only to toy models at this stage)

 - on trianglular lattice

[MF-Matsumoto]

[15/15]

Outlook [MF-Matsumoto-Namekawa, in progress]

- Large-scale computation for large-size systems w/ WV-TLTM
- Further improvements of algorithm
- Combining various algorithms cf) TRG for 2D YM: (e.g.) TRG (non-MC) : good at calculating free energy [MF-Kadoh-Matsumoto 2107.14149]
- Particularly important: MC calc for time-dependent systems
 - first-principles calc of nonequilibrium processes such as early universe, heavy ion collision experiments, ...

Thank you.