Static potential at non-zero temperature from the fine lattices

Daniel Hoying (MSU)

In collaboration with A. Bazavov, D. Bala, P. Gaurang, O. Kaczmarek, R. Larsen, S. Mukherjee, P. Petreczky, A. Rothkopf, J.H. Weber

How interaction between heavy quark and anti-quark are modified at non-zero temperature ?

The potential is expected to be complex

Important for understanding quarkonium production in heavy ion collisions

Wilson loops and potential at $T>0$

Correlation function of static Q and $\bar{Q}$ at distance r ($\tau \times r$ Wilson loop)

$$W(\tau, r, T) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \sigma_r(\omega, T) e^{-\omega\tau}$$

Rothkopf 2009, Hatsuda, Rothkopf, Hatsuda 2011

potential at $T > 0$ is related to a peak structure in $\sigma_r(\omega, T)$:
peak position: $\mathrm{Re}V(r,T)$ peak width: $\mathrm{Im}V(r,T)$

One needs large N_τ to reconstruct $\sigma_r(\omega, T)$ but previous calculations in 2+1 flavor QCD use $N_\tau = 12$

Here: fixed scale approach, $a^{-1} = 7.04$ GeV, $m_\pi \simeq 300$ MeV, $96^3 \times N_\tau$,
$N_\tau = 32$, ($T = 220$ MeV) and $N_\tau = 24$, ($T = 294$ MeV)
Additional $T = 0$ (64^4) lattices for reference

We use temporal Wilson line correlators in Coulomb gauge instead of Wilson loops (better signal)
For large N_τ additional noise reduction is needed $\Rightarrow$ HYP smearing of the temporal Wilson lines

Effective masses at T=0





$$m_{\text{eff}}(r,\tau,T) = \partial_\tau \ln W(\tau,r,T) = \frac{1}{a}\ln\frac{W(\tau,r,T)}{W(\tau+a,r,T)}$$

HYP smearing is needed to obtain a plateau in m_{eff} already at small r, without smearing the errors are too large for $\tau/a > 10$

For $\tau/a > 10$ HYP smearing only shifts m_{eff} by a constant

Temperature dependence of the effective masses





- Tiny T-dependence at small τ
- The T-dependence increases with increasing r and τ
- No plateau in $m_{\rm eff}$ at $T > 0$, and qualitatively similar to bottomonium effective mass at $T > 0$

Larsen, Meine, Mukherjee, Petreczky,
PLB 800 (2020) 135119
PRD 100 (2019) 7, 074506

The approximately linear decrease in the effective masses is due to the width

Subtracted effective masses at $T>0$

Tiny T-dependence at small $\tau \Rightarrow \sigma_r(\omega,T) = \sigma_r^{\mathrm{med}}(\omega,T) + \sigma_r^{\mathrm{high}}(\omega)$

$W(\tau,r,T) = W^{\mathrm{med}}(\tau,r,T) + W^{\mathrm{high}}(\tau)$

Excited states

$\sigma_r(\omega, T=0) = A\delta(\omega - V^{T=0}(r))$

After evaluating the ground state at $T=0$ one can also estimate $W^{\mathrm{high}}(\tau)$

$$W^{\mathrm{sub}}(\tau,T) = W(\tau,T) - W^{\mathrm{high}}(\tau) \qquad \Delta m_{\mathrm{eff}}(\tau,T) = \frac{1}{a}\ln\frac{W^{\mathrm{sub}}(\tau,r,T)}{W^{\mathrm{sub}}(\tau+a,r,T)}$$



- No significant dependence on the number of smearings
- The thermal width $\sim T$

Subtracted effective masses at *T>0* (cont'd)





The subtracted effective masses agree well with the previous calculations on $N_\tau = 12$ and $N_\tau = 16$ lattices for $\tau T > 0.2$ but have smaller errors thanks to HYP smearing

Summary

- We calculated Wilson line correlators on fine lattice with $a^{-1} = 7.04$ GeV and $m_\pi \simeq 300$ MeV at $T = 0$, $T = 220$ MeV and $T = 293$ MeV
- In order to obtain signal at sufficiently large τ HYP smearing has to be used
- The distortion due to HYP smearing can be controlled and are limited to quite small spatial separation and τ
- The temperature and τ dependence of the effective masses is consistent with the non-zero thermal width (imaginary part of the potential), which is roughly proportional to the temperature
- Our results are consistent with previous calculations performed on coarser lattices with $N_\tau = 12$ and 16