Sigma Terms and Singlet Charges Lattice 2021 - MIT Virtual Conference

Daniel Jenkins

University of Regensburg Collaborators: Gunnar Bali, Sara Collins, Thomas Wurm, Marius Löffler

26th July 2021



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 813942



Nucleon Charges

Nucleon charges are matrix elements of the form $\langle H|\bar{q}\Gamma q|H\rangle$, where Γ is a spinor structure that defines the charge.

	Г	Charge
Focus on the sigma terms $m_q \langle H \overline{q} q H \rangle$,	Ĩ	g_S^q
however we do perform a joint analysis with additional matrix elements	$\gamma^5 \gamma^{\mu}$	g^q_A
	$\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}$	$g_V g_T^q$

The sigma terms are of physical interest in various areas, notably the decomposition of hadron masses [arXiv: 1603.00827]

$$m_H = -\langle T_{\mu\mu} \rangle_N = \sum_q m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_H - \gamma_m(\alpha) \sum_q m_q \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_H - \frac{\beta(\alpha)}{4\alpha} \langle F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \rangle_N$$

and in spin-independent WIMP-nucleon scattering (where λ_q is the coupling of a scalar particle and the quark q) the cross section is

$$\sigma^{SI} \propto [Zf_p + (A - Z)f_n]^2 \qquad f_{n,p} = \sum_q \frac{\sigma_q^{n,p} \lambda_q}{m_q}.$$

CLS Action

The action used by CLS[arXiv: 1411.3982] consists of the Lüscher-Weisz gluonic action, and the Sheikholeslami-Wohlert fermionic action

$$\begin{split} S &= \frac{\beta}{6} \left(\frac{5}{3} \sum_{p} \text{Tr} \{ 1 - U(p) \} - \frac{1}{12} \sum_{r} \text{Tr} \{ 1 - U(r) \} \right) \\ &+ \left(a^{4} \sum_{f=1}^{3} \sum_{x} \overline{\psi}_{f}(x) D_{W}(m_{0,f}) \psi_{f}(x) \right) \\ D_{W}(m_{0,f}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=0}^{3} [\gamma_{\mu} (\nabla_{\mu}^{*} + \nabla_{\mu}) - a \nabla_{\mu}^{*} \nabla_{\mu}] + a c_{SW} \sum_{\mu,\nu=0}^{3} \frac{i}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \widehat{F}_{\mu\nu} + m_{0,f} \end{split}$$

Aspects of note:

- Chiral symmetry breaking by the Wilson Fermion Term $a \nabla^*_{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}$
- $\mathbb{O}(a)$ improvement via the Clover term $\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}$, where the c_{SW} is determined non-perturbatively [arXiv: 1304.7093].
- Some ensembles have open boundary conditions in time, periodic in space

CLS Ensembles



Typically between 1000 and 2000 configs each. Six lattice spacings 0.039 fm < a < 0.098 fm $Lm_{\pi} \gtrsim 4$, with some smaller L for volume studies 11 geometries, ranging between $(24^3, 48)$ and $(96^3, 192)$

・ロト ・回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Correlation Functions

The Correlation Functions used are:

$$C_{2pt}(t_f, t_i) = \left\langle \mathcal{H}(t_f) \overline{\mathcal{H}}(t_i) \right\rangle$$

$$C_{3pt}(t_f, t, t_i) = \left\langle \mathcal{H}(t_f) S(t) \overline{\mathcal{H}}(t_i) \right\rangle - \left\langle S(t) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{H}(t_f) \overline{\mathcal{H}}(t_i) \right\rangle$$

- \mathcal{H} (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$) is the interpolation operator that destroys (creates) a nucleon
- S(t) is an insertion operator that defines the matrix element we can extract

 $S(t) = \overline{q}(t)\Gamma q(t)$ with $\Gamma = \mathbb{I}$ for the scalar current.

Related to the matrix elements via the spectral decomposition (with the first excitation).

$$C_{2pt}(t_f, 0) \sim Z_1^2 \mathrm{e}^{-t_f m} \left[1 + \frac{Z_2^2}{Z_1^2} \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta m t_f} \right]$$

$$C_{3pt}(t_f, t, 0) \sim Z_1^2 e^{-t_f m} \left[\langle 1|S|1 \rangle + \frac{Z_2 Z_1}{Z_1^2} \langle 2|S|1 \rangle \left(e^{-\Delta m(t_f - t)} + e^{-\Delta m t} \right) \right]$$

where $Z_j = \langle 0 | \overline{\mathcal{H}}(0) | j \rangle = Z_j^*$, and $| 0 \rangle$ and $| 1 \rangle$ are the vacuum and ground state respectively. As $m_{\pi} \to m_{\pi}^{phys}$ we expect the first excited state to be either $N(0)\pi(0)\pi(0)$ or $N(\vec{p})\pi(-\vec{p})$.

Connected Contribution



Connected Quark Line Diagram

- Sequential Source Method
- Coherent Source Method [arXiv: 1001.3620]
 - Typically 4 source-sink separations (typically 10 measurements) 0.7 fm (1), 0.9 fm (2), 1.0 fm (3), 1.2 fm (4).
- Wuppertal Smearing for the source and sink
 - Quark rms radius between 0.6 and 0.85fm

Disconnected Contribution



Disconnected Quark Line Diagram

- Stochastic Loop Estimation
 - Truncated Solver Method[arXiv:0910.3970], Hopping Parameter Expansion[arXiv:hep-lat/9707001], Time Partitioning[S. Bernardson 1993]
- Solvers
 - ▶ IDFLS [arxiv:0710.5417] or DD- α AMG[arxiv:1303.1377]
- Two-point Functions
 - ▶ Typically 20 measurements, lead into the 20 measurements of the disconnected three-point function

Fit Form

• The expected excited state is either $N\pi\pi$ or a $N\pi$ p-wave state, which is difficult to resolve in two-point functions, so we use a ratio

$$R(t_f, t, 0) = \frac{C_{3pt}(t_f, t, 0)}{C_{2pt}(t_f, 0)} = \langle 1|S|1\rangle + A \langle 2|S|1\rangle \left(e^{-\Delta m(t_f - t)} + e^{-\Delta mt}\right) + \dots$$

where A is the fraction of overlap factors. While (normally) small, the resulting excited state contribution can be enhanced by the $\langle 2|S|1\rangle$ matrix element.

• Fitting ratios alone can lead to unstable fits. To better resolve excited states, we fit several matrix elements with different currents simultaneously with the same excited state mass.

Г	Charge
$\mathbb{I}_{\gamma^5\gamma^\mu}$	$g^q_S g^q_A g^q_A$
$\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{ u}$	$g_V \\ g_T^q$

Connected Fit (Preliminary Results)



5.785+-0.158

Connected Data

The fit above is to ensemble N203,

- Volume: $(48^3, 128) \rightarrow (3.08^3, 8.22)$ fm
- m_{π} : 345 MeV, m_K : 442 MeV
- Beta: 3.55, Lattice spacing: 0.0642 fm

э

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Disconnected Fit (Preliminary Results)

3.284+-0.291



Disconnected Data

The fit above is to ensemble N203,

- Volume: $(48^3, 128) \rightarrow (3.08^3, 8.22)$ fm
- m_{π} : 345 MeV, m_K : 442 MeV
- Beta: 3.55, Lattice spacing: 0.0642 fm

3

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト







Fit Method Variation (Preliminary Results)



All plots going forward are from the fit which uses all currents, connected and disconnected, and no priors.

Renormalisation & Mixing

Due to chiral symmetry breaking, non-singlet quark mass combinations renormalise with Z_m^{ns} and singlet quark mass combinations with Z_m^s and $Z_m^s/Z_m^{ns} = r_m = 1 + \alpha_z$, which gives mixing of the form[arXiv: 1111.1600]

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_u(\mu) \\ m_d(\mu) \\ m_s(\mu) \end{pmatrix}^{\rm ren} = Z_m^{ns}(\mu, a) \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\alpha_z}{3} & \frac{\alpha_z}{3} & \frac{\alpha_z}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha_z}{3} & 1 + \frac{\alpha_z}{3} & \frac{\alpha_z}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha_z}{3} & \frac{\alpha_z}{3} & 1 + \frac{\alpha_z}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_u \\ m_d \\ m_s \end{pmatrix}^{\rm lat}$$

Defining Tr $M = \sum_{q} m_q$, Tr $g_S = \sum_{q} g_{q,S}$, and $\widehat{\mathbb{O}}$ to be the renormalised \mathbb{O} we can write

$$\widehat{m}_q = Z_m \left(m_q + \frac{r_m - 1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} M \right), \qquad \widehat{g}_{q,S} = Z_m^{-1} \left(g_{q,S} + \frac{r_m^{-1} - 1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} g_S \right).$$

Combining these gives

$$\sigma_q = \left(m_q + \frac{r_m - 1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} M\right) \left(g_{q,S} + \frac{r_m^{-1} - 1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} g_S\right).$$

Combinations of note include the pion-nucleon sigma-term and the singlet combination, with the latter being RG invariant

$$\sigma_{N\pi} = \sigma_u + \sigma_d, \qquad \sum_q \sigma_q$$



The FLAG point is for $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ and is formed from only one result [arXiv:1806.09006] Renormalisation scale $\mu = 2$ GeV, with Z_S taken from [arXiv:2012.06284] • • • • • • • • • • • •

-



The FLAG point is for $N_f=2+1$ and is formed from [arxiv:1109.4265], [arxiv:1510.08013], and [arxiv:1511.09089]

Sigma Term Overview Plots: M_{π} (Preliminary Results)



Sigma Term Overview Plots: Lattice Spacing a (Preliminary Results)

While the action is $\mathcal{O}(a)$ -improved, the iso-singlet current is subject to $\mathcal{O}(a)$ lattice effects. Their removal would require adding an improvement term $\propto aFF$, whose coefficient is unknown.



- Analysis is ongoing, with results being generated on ensembles with a wide range of lattice spacings
- Simultaneous fits to two- and three-point function ratios with different currents seem to be stable
- Compatible results when compared with fits where the excited state is set to $N\pi$ using priors, but there is a systematic difference
- For a similar analysis see the poster: Towards the Determination of Sigma Terms for the Baryon Octet on $N_f = 2 + 1$ Ensembles by Pia Leonie Jones Petrak (Wednesday 8am EST)
- Next steps include:
 - Further exploration of the excited states is needed
 - Push analysis closer to physical pion mass
 - Quark mass, lattice spacing, and volume extrapolations
 - ▶ Data is also available for g_A and g_T

Thank you for your attention!