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## The Light Scalar

Earlier lattice studies indicate that the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ gauge theory with 8 flavors has a light scalar:
[LatKMI PRD96(2017)014508], [LSD PRD 99(2019)014509]


■ The $\sigma$ and $\pi$ much lighter than the $\rho$ for $N_{f}=8$.
■ Expect the $\pi \pi$ scattering amplitude to have nearby poles.
$\mathrm{SU}(3)$ with $N_{f}=8$ can be used to build composite Higgs models, e.g [PRL126(2021)191804]

## $\pi \pi$ Scattering

1 We would like to learn more about the scalar besides its mass. $\pi \pi$ scattering observables probe the interaction strength between the light scalar and the PNGBs.
2 Tests low energy EFT descriptions of the 8 flavor theory - we will compare with predictions from dilaton EFT.
3 On the lattice, we measure $k \cot \delta_{\ell=0}^{I=2}$ - in the $I=2$ isospin, $\ell=0$ channel in the 8 flavor theory. Makes coupled channel analysis unnecessary.

## Lattice Calculation of Scattering Phase Shift

[M. Lüscher NPB354(1991)]

$$
\begin{align*}
& k^{2}=\frac{1}{4} E_{\pi \pi}^{2}-M_{\pi}^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& k \cot \delta(k)=\frac{2 \pi}{L} \pi^{-3 / 2} Z_{00}\left(1, \frac{k^{2} L^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

■ Restrict ourselves to $I=2$ channel.

- $E_{\pi \pi}$ is the two-PNGB ground state energy.
- Measured at finite volume ( $L$ ) on the lattice from a fit to a two point correlation function of two PNGB operators. Schematically: $C(t) \sim\left\langle\mathcal{O}^{I=2}(t) \mathcal{O}^{\dagger=2}(0)\right\rangle$ where $\mathcal{O}^{l=2} \sim \pi \pi$.


## Lattice Calculation of Scattering Phase Shift

The difference between $\frac{1}{4} E_{\pi \pi}^{2}$ and $M_{\pi}^{2}$ is very small compared to the sum.
Need very high precision on measurements of $\frac{1}{4} E_{\pi \pi}^{2}$ and $M_{\pi}^{2}$, to get reasonable precision on $k^{2}$.

■ More statistics for $M_{\pi}$ than in earlier LSD $N_{f}=8$ studies.
■ Use AIC procedure to control fit range systematic errors. See lattice conference presentation by E. Neil

## Finite Volume

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\pi}(L) & =M_{\pi}\left(1+\alpha \frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{F_{\pi}^{2}} \frac{e^{-M_{\pi} L}}{\left(M_{\pi} L\right)^{3 / 2}}\right), \\
F_{\pi}(L) & =F_{\pi}\left(1-\beta \frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{F_{\pi}^{2}} \frac{e^{-M_{\pi} L}}{\left(M_{\pi} L\right)^{3 / 2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Use crude model to extrapolate $M_{\pi}$ and $F_{\pi}$ to infinite volume limit.
- We use model to conservatively estimate the uncertainties in $M_{\pi}$ and $F_{\pi}$.




## Review of Previous Leading Order EFT Results

[Golterman, Shamir PRD94(2016)054502], [PRD102(2020)114507]
[Golterman, Shamir, Neil PRD102(2020)034515], [Appelquist, Ingoldby, Piai JHEP07(2017)035], [PRD101(2020)07525]

Minimizing potential for dilaton field $=$ solving a transcendental equation to determine pNGB decay constant $F_{\pi}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{\pi}^{4-y}}{(4-\Delta) f_{\pi}^{4-y}}\left[1-\left(\frac{f_{\pi}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{4-\Delta}\right]=\frac{y N_{f} f_{\pi}^{2} m_{\pi}^{2}}{2 f_{d}^{2} m_{d}^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $m_{\pi}^{2} \equiv 2 B_{\pi} m$, where $m$ is a small degenerate mass for the 8 flavors.

## Review of Previous Leading Order EFT Results

Use result for $F_{\pi}$ to find the pNGB mass $M_{\pi}$ and singlet scalar mass $M_{d}$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{m_{\pi}^{2}}=\left(\frac{F_{\pi}^{2}}{f_{\pi}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{y}{2}-1}  \tag{4}\\
\frac{M_{d}^{2}}{F_{\pi}^{2}}=\frac{m_{d}^{2}}{(4-\Delta) f_{\pi}^{2}}\left(4-y+(y-\Delta)\left(\frac{f_{\pi}}{F_{\pi}}\right)^{4-\Delta}\right) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Scattering Parameters from the EFT

Scattering phase shift can be extracted from scattering amplitude M

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{\prime}(s)=\frac{\sqrt{M_{\pi}^{2}+k^{2}}}{k \cot \delta_{\ell}^{\prime}-i k} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $k^{2}$ is small on the lattice, it is convenient to expand both sides in $k^{2}$, using the effective range expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \cot \delta^{\prime}(k)=\frac{1}{a^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{2} r^{\prime} M_{\pi}^{2}\left(\frac{k^{2}}{M_{\pi}^{2}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^{4}}{M_{\pi}^{4}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can then calculate scattering length $a^{l}$, and effective range $r^{\prime}$ in dilaton EFT.

## $I=2$ Scattering Length



■ First diagram, same as $\chi \mathrm{PT}$. The others only arise for light scalar (dilaton).

- Projecting out the $I=2$ component removes s-channel diagram.
- Project out $\ell=0$ component.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\pi} a^{I=2}=-\frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{16 \pi F_{\pi}^{2}}\left(1-(y-2)^{2} \frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{f_{d}^{2}} \frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{M_{d}^{2}}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplifies to $\chi \mathrm{PT}$ result when $y \rightarrow 2$ or $f_{\pi}^{2} / f_{d}^{2} \rightarrow 0$.

## Momentum Dependence

There is mild evidence for momentum dependence in the lattice scattering data, which we can compare with dilaton EFT predictions.


Confirms that effective range expansion approach is applicable.

## Qualitative Comparison



- $M_{\pi}^{2} / F_{\pi}^{2}$ are extrapolated.

■ Effective range contribution subtracted from $k \cot \delta$ to get scattering length.

- 9 points total - many with same $m$ but different $L$.
- Dashed line uses values for $y$ etc taken from global fit.

$$
a^{\text {band }}=a^{I=2}\left[1 \pm \frac{M_{\pi}^{2}}{\left(4 \pi F_{\pi}\right)^{2}}\right]
$$

Points all lie within the band.

## Quantitative Comparison

We perform a global fit of LO dilaton EFT to lattice data for $M_{\pi}$, $F_{\pi}, M_{d}$ and $k \cot \delta_{\ell=0}^{l=2}$.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| $y$ | $2.1321(61)$ |
| $a B_{\pi}$ | $2.210(60)$ |
| $f_{\pi}^{2} / f_{d}^{2}$ | $0.0865(42)$ |
| $\Delta$ | $3.11(20)$ |
| $a^{2} f_{\pi}^{2}$ | $5.8(2.1) \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $m_{d}^{2} / f_{d}^{2}$ | $1.28(26)$ |
| $\chi^{2} / N_{\text {dof }}$ | $54.5 / 18=3.03$ |

- $\chi^{2} / N$ shows that LO fit is not a perfect description.
- But earlier studies and previous figure suggest dilaton EFT is a reasonable approximate description.
- Motivates study of NLO effects in dilaton EFT. NLO could change parameters outside quoted uncertainty ranges.


## Summary

1 First lattice determination of scattering phase shift in a gauge theory with a confirmed light composite scalar, using Lüscher procedure.
2 Scattering observables provide an independent test of any EFT description of the PNGBs and light scalar.

3 We have calculated the scattering length and effective range in the $I=2$ channel in LO dilaton EFT.
4 We have fitted the LO dilaton EFT to all the available LSD lattice data. Our results suggest that it is a fair approximate description, but we see discrepancies between the EFT and the very precise lattice data.
5 NLO might help resolve the discrepancies?

## $I=0$ Scattering

We calculate the $I=0$ scattering length in dilaton EFT. The s-channel Feynman diagram contributes. The dilaton makes an unsuppressed contribution to the scattering length.

$$
M_{\pi} a^{I=0}=\frac{7 M_{\pi}^{2}}{32 \pi F_{\pi}^{2}}+\frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{f_{d}^{2}} \frac{M_{\pi}^{4}\left(M_{d}^{2}\left(5 y^{2}+4 y+20\right)-8 M_{\pi}^{2}(y-2)^{2}\right)}{32 \pi F_{\pi}^{2} M_{d}^{2}\left(M_{d}^{2}-4 M_{\pi}^{2}\right)}
$$



## $I=2$ Interpolating Operators

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi^{+}(t)=\sum_{\vec{x}} \bar{\chi}_{2}(x) \epsilon(x) \chi_{1}(x), \text { where } \epsilon(x)=(-1)^{x+y+z+t}  \tag{9}\\
\mathcal{O}_{I=2}(t)=\pi^{+}(t) \pi^{+}(t+1)  \tag{10}\\
C_{l=2}\left(t, t_{0}\right)=\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{I=2}(t) \mathcal{O}_{I=2}\left(t_{0}\right)^{\dagger}\right\rangle \\
=\sum_{\vec{x}_{1}, \cdots, \vec{x}_{4}}\left\langle\pi^{+}\left(t_{4}, \vec{x}_{4}\right) \pi^{+}\left(t_{3}, \vec{x}_{3}\right) \pi^{+}\left(t_{2}, \vec{x}_{2}\right)^{\dagger} \pi^{+}\left(t_{1}, \vec{x}_{1}\right)^{\dagger}\right\rangle \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Wall sources used - moving wall method.

## Lattice Action

- Our numerical calculations use improved nHYP smeared staggered fermions with smearing parameters $\alpha=(0.5,0.5,0.4)$. [LSD PRD 99(2019)014509]
- $\beta_{A} / \beta_{F}=-0.25$ where $\beta_{F}=4.8$.

■ After taste splitting, only $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{L} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{R}$ flavor symmetry preserved in massless theory ( 3 exact NGBs).

- Spectral study has revealed that the taste splitting of the 63 -plet masses are on the order of $20-30 \%$. [LSD PRD 99(2019)014509]

