Previous LSD Results

Earlier study [1] of flavor singlet scalar meson at fixed $M\pi \times L \approx 5.3$



Finite difference correlator used to avoid fitting constant: $\Delta \sigma(t+\frac{1}{2}) = C\sigma(t+1) - C\sigma(t)$

Problems:

 $\Delta\sigma(t+\frac{1}{2})$ reduced signal Large systematics due to fitting range variation

Recent I=2 $\pi\pi$ scattering [2] did finite volume study for M π , F π

$M_m(L) = M_m(\infty)$	$\left(1 + \alpha_m \; \frac{M_\pi^2}{4\pi F_\pi^2} \; \frac{e^{-M_\pi L}}{\left(M_\pi L\right)^{3/2}}\right)$
$F_m(L) = F_m(\infty)$	$\left(1 + \beta_m \; \frac{M_\pi^2}{4\pi F_\pi^2} \; \frac{e^{-M_\pi L}}{\left(M_\pi L\right)^{3/2}}\right)$

Extrapolation is very accurate. Coefficients are somewhat large: $\alpha \pi = 19.23(98), \beta \pi = -20.4(1.5)$

		/
am	aM_{π}	aF_{π}
0.00889	0.22525(27)	0.05
0.00750	0.205680(20)	0.04
0.00500	0.16575(10)	0.03
0.00222	0.1085(12)	0.02
0.00125	0.08115(82)	0.02
		,

Features Added to Current Analysis

Model averaging [3] over choice of fitting ranges $\log p(M|D) \approx -\frac{1}{2}\chi^2 - N_p - \frac{N_t}{2} + (t_{\max} - t_{\min})$

Shrinkage estimator [4,5] for covariance matrix

$$\Sigma_s(i,j) = (1-\lambda)\Sigma(i,j) + \lambda\Sigma(i,i)\delta(i,j)$$

New subtraction scheme for p=0 correlator

$$C_s(t) = C(t) - \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{t'=0}^{N_t-1} C_s(t')$$

New correlators at non-zero spatial momentum, i.e. p=(1,0,0)do not require subtraction. Ground state energies E(p) translated to rest masses M using lattice dispersion relation

$$\widehat{E}^2 = \widehat{p}^2 + \widehat{M}^2$$
$$\widehat{E} = 2\sinh\frac{E}{2} , \quad \widehat{p}_i = 2\sin\frac{2\pi n_i}{2N_s} , \quad \widehat{M} = 2\sinh\frac{2\pi n_i}{2N_s}$$

New ``relativistic'' normalization [6] for amplitudes related to residues of poles in frequency domain by discrete Fourier transform $\widehat{\omega}_k = 2\sin\frac{2\pi k}{2N_t} , \quad \widehat{\omega}'_k = 2\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{2\pi k}{2N_t}\right)$

New larger volume ensembles

Finite Volume Study of Flavor Singlet Scalar Meson in SU(3) Nf=8 Gauge Theory Lattice Strong Dynamics (LSD) Collaboration

G.T. Fleming (Yale), presenter

Very Preliminary Results

Model averaged results for finite volume study of 0++ mass using one non-oscillating and one oscillating exponential.



 $\left| M_{\pi}^2 / \left(4 \pi F_{\pi}^2
ight)
ight|$ 52491(59)|1.4654(48)|48233(20)|1.4471(12)|982(28) |1.379(19) 2742(32) |1.246(40) 2165(23) | 1.118(33)

 $h \frac{M}{2}$



ne	Mass	Try	MDTU	Period (MDTU)	Block (MDTU)	$N_{ m blk}$
48	0.00889	1	[250, 25000]	10	100	247
64		1	[1040,7000]	40	80	75
		2	[1040,7000]	40	80	75
		3	[1040,7000]	40	80	75
		4	[1040,7000]	40	80	75
		С			80	300
48	0.0075	1	[350, 10000]	10	90	107
64		1	[255, 1395]	10	100	
			[1400, 25160]	5	100	249
96		1	[250,9990]	10	70	139
		2	[250, 9990]	10	70	139
		С			70	278
64	0.005	1	[251,29641]	5	100	293
		2	[20011, 22815]	2	100	28
		3	[29001, 31653]	2	100	26
		4	$[10001,\!13293]$	2	100	32
		С			100	379
96		1	[250, 4200]	10	50	79
		2	$[250,\!3390]$	10	50	63
		С			50	142
28	0.00125	rO	[200, 2060]	10	120	15
		r1	[200, 1990]	10	120	15
		r2	[200, 2010]	10	120	15
		r3	[200, 2070]	10	120	15
		s0	$[8436,\!17088]$	12	120	72
		s1	[7644, 17472]	12	120	82
		s2	[7212, 17412]	12	120	86
		С			120	300
$2\overline{8}$		$\overline{2}$	$[50\overline{0,31}\overline{44}]$	2	80	34
		3	[500,3282]	2	80	35
		С			80	69

Discussion

Current fits tend to estimate higher masses than published results. Could be due to excited state contamination. Fits in progress.

Two different methods of dealing with constant agree in larger volumes (M $\pi \times L \sim 6.6-9.9$) but don't yet agree in smaller volumes

Still unclear even on the sign of the finite volume effect. Even higher statistics on smaller volumes needed.

References

. LSD Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 014509

3. Jay, W. I. and Neil, E. T., *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) 114502.

4. Ledoit, O. and Wolf, M., Journal of Multivariate Analysis 88 (2004) 365.

5. Schäfer, J. and Strimmer, K., Statist. Appl. in Genet. Mol. Biol. 4 (2005) 1, 32.

6. Brower, R. C., Fleming, G.T. and Neuberger, H., *Phys. Lett. B* **72** (2013) 299-305.

We thank DOE Leadership Computing for computing resources at ALCF and ORNL via INCITE and ALCC. We thank LLNL for computing through MIC



Grand Challenge program.

george.fleming@yale.edu