Restricted Boltzmann Machines: theory and applications

Gabriele Visentin ¹ March 22, 2021

¹University of Zürich, Mathematics Institute

Focus of the talk:

- Generative models that are not so popular in particle physics.
- GANs, VAEs, etc. typically require a lot of fine tuning.
- Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs):
 - are easy to train (old model \Rightarrow lots of training methods!),
 - can be used for efficient conditional sampling,
 - are theoretically well studied (known density, MCMC connection).

1. Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs)

- Model description
- Training methods
- 2. RBMs for credit risk management
 - Problem description
 - Model training
 - Stress testing
- 3. RBMs for pharmaceutical product liability
 - Problem description
 - Learning patient features
 - Learning diagnostic/clinical features
 - Legal claims distribution
 - Evaluation of alternative policies

Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs)

An RBM is a probabilistic graphical model that can be used to learn data distributions in an unsupervised way.



• Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable



- Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable
- Units are either visible (data) or hidden (latent factors)



- Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable
- Units are either visible (data) or hidden (latent factors)
- Presence of an edge indicates a direct statistical dependence



- Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable
- Units are either visible (data) or hidden (latent factors)
- Presence of an edge indicates a direct statistical dependence
- Separation relations correspond to conditional independence



- Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable
- Units are either visible (data) or hidden (latent factors)
- Presence of an edge indicates a direct statistical dependence
- Separation relations correspond to conditional independence
- Hidden units are latent factors for the distribution of the visible units (non-linear version of Factor Analysis or PCA)

Let's consider the case of binary units¹, i.e. $v \in \{0,1\}^n$ and $h \in \{0,1\}^m$.

 $^{^1\}mbox{Generalizations}$ to real-valued units (both visible and hidden) exist.

Let's consider the case of binary units¹, i.e. $v \in \{0,1\}^n$ and $h \in \{0,1\}^m$.

An RBM is parametrized using the following Gibbs measure:

$$p(v,h) = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-E(v,h)}$$

where

• Z is a normalization constant (partition function), such that

$$Z = \sum_{v \in \{0,1\}^n} \sum_{h \in \{0,1\}^m} e^{-E(v,h)}$$

• E(v, h) is the energy function given by

$$E(v, h) = -\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i b_i}_{\text{visible bias}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_i c_i}_{\text{hidden bias}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} W_{ij} v_i h_j}_{\text{interaction term}}$$

¹Generalizations to real-valued units (both visible and hidden) exist.

²Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

• The model is a universal approximator². Limit case: choose as many hidden units as points in the support of the distribution. In practice: use cross-validation on the number of hidden units to avoid overfitting.

²Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

- The model is a universal approximator². Limit case: choose as many hidden units as points in the support of the distribution. In practice: use cross-validation on the number of hidden units to avoid overfitting.
- Computing the partition function Z is numerically intractable (need to sum over 2^{n+m} terms).

²Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

- The model is a universal approximator². Limit case: choose as many hidden units as points in the support of the distribution. In practice: use cross-validation on the number of hidden units to avoid overfitting.
- Computing the partition function Z is numerically intractable (need to sum over 2^{n+m} terms).
- Therefore computing the joint distribution p(v, h) is intractable. Exact sampling from the model is not possible.

²Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

- The model is a universal approximator². Limit case: choose as many hidden units as points in the support of the distribution. In practice: use cross-validation on the number of hidden units to avoid overfitting.
- Computing the partition function Z is numerically intractable (need to sum over 2^{n+m} terms).
- Therefore computing the joint distribution p(v, h) is intractable. Exact sampling from the model is not possible.
- Nevertheless the conditional distributions p(v|h) and p(h|v) are easy:

$$\mathbb{P}(V_i = 1 | H = h) = \text{sigmoid} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m W_{ij} h_j + b_i \right)$$
$$\mathbb{P}(H_j = 1 | V = v) = \text{sigmoid} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n W_{ij} v_i + c_j \right)$$

²Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

We train an RBM using likelihood maximization via (stochastic) gradient ascent. Let θ be shorthand for one of the model's parameters (W, a, b), then the log-likelihood on a sample point is:

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(v) = \log \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} = \log \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} - \log \sum_{v,h} e^{-E(v,h)}.$$

We train an RBM using likelihood maximization via (stochastic) gradient ascent. Let θ be shorthand for one of the model's parameters (W, a, b), then the log-likelihood on a sample point is:

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(v) = \log \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} = \log \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} - \log \sum_{v,h} e^{-E(v,h)}.$$

Its derivative w.r.t θ is given by:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \mathcal{L}(\theta) &= -\sum_{h} p(h|v) \frac{E(v,h)}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{v,h} p(v,h) \frac{\partial E(v,h)}{\partial \theta} \\ &\approx -\mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{data}} \left[\frac{\partial E(v,h)}{\partial \theta} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{model}} \left[\frac{\partial E(v,h)}{\partial \theta} \right] \end{split}$$

We train an RBM using likelihood maximization via (stochastic) gradient ascent. Let θ be shorthand for one of the model's parameters (W, a, b), then the log-likelihood on a sample point is:

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(v) = \log \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} = \log \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} - \log \sum_{v,h} e^{-E(v,h)}.$$

Its derivative w.r.t θ is given by:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log \mathcal{L}(heta) &= -\sum_{h} p(h|v) rac{\mathcal{E}(v,h)}{\partial heta} + \sum_{v,h} p(v,h) rac{\partial \mathcal{E}(v,h)}{\partial heta} \ &pprox &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{data}} \left[rac{\partial \mathcal{E}(v,h)}{\partial heta}
ight] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{model}} \left[rac{\partial \mathcal{E}(v,h)}{\partial heta}
ight] \end{aligned}$$

Sampling from the model is intractable, therefore we need an approximation of the second term.

We can sample approximately from p(v, h) by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick $v = v_0$ from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly $h \sim p(h|V = v)$ and $v \sim p(v|H = h)$.
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$.

³Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

⁴Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

⁵Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from p(v, h) by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick $v = v_0$ from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly $h \sim p(h|V = v)$ and $v \sim p(v|H = h)$.
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$.

Main problems:

- Thermalization may take many sampling steps ($\geq 10^4$ for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

³Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

⁴Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

⁵Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from p(v, h) by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick $v = v_0$ from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly $h \sim p(h|V = v)$ and $v \sim p(v|H = h)$.
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$.

Main problems:

- Thermalization may take many sampling steps ($\geq 10^4$ for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

Main training algorithms:

• Contrastive Divergence³: even one Gibbs sampling step is enough.

³Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

⁴Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

⁵Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from p(v, h) by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick $v = v_0$ from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly $h \sim p(h|V = v)$ and $v \sim p(v|H = h)$.
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$.

Main problems:

- Thermalization may take many sampling steps ($\geq 10^4$ for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

Main training algorithms:

- Contrastive Divergence³: even one Gibbs sampling step is enough.
- Stochastic Maximum Likelihood⁴: initialize new chain with last Gibbs state of previous chain, instead of a new training sample point.

³Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

⁴Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

⁵Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from p(v, h) by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick $v = v_0$ from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly $h \sim p(h|V = v)$ and $v \sim p(v|H = h)$.
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$.

Main problems:

- Thermalization may take many sampling steps ($\geq 10^4$ for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

Main training algorithms:

- Contrastive Divergence³: even one Gibbs sampling step is enough.
- Stochastic Maximum Likelihood⁴: initialize new chain with last Gibbs state of previous chain, instead of a new training sample point.
- Parallel Tempering⁵: increase mixing rate by annealed sampling.

³Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

⁴Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

⁵Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from p(v, h) by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick $v = v_0$ from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly $h \sim p(h|V = v)$ and $v \sim p(v|H = h)$.
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$.

Main problems:

- Thermalization may take many sampling steps ($\geq 10^4$ for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

Main training algorithms:

- Contrastive Divergence³: even one Gibbs sampling step is enough.
- Stochastic Maximum Likelihood⁴: initialize new chain with last Gibbs state of previous chain, instead of a new training sample point.
- Parallel Tempering⁵: increase mixing rate by annealed sampling.
- Other methods: Pseudo-likelihood, ratio-matching, denoising score-matching.

³Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

⁴Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

⁵Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

Advantages of the model:

- Fast and easy training (e.g. compared to adversarial learning).
- Conditional sampling is a built-in feature!

To sample (v, h) given $v_i = x$:

- 1. Pick $v = v_0$ from dataset (or random).
- 2. Sample $h \sim p(h|V = v)$, sample $v \sim p(v|H = h)$ and fix $v_i = x$.
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain $(v, h) \sim p(v, h|v_i = x)$.

RBMs for credit risk management

Joint work with Giuseppe Genovese⁶ and Ashkan Nikeghbali^{7 8}.

⁶University of Basel, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

⁷UZH, Mathematics Institute

⁸UZH, Department of Banking and Finance

• We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.
- Standard credit risk models use parametric or semi-parametric models (e.g. copulas, mixture models, regression).

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.
- Standard credit risk models use parametric or semi-parametric models (e.g. copulas, mixture models, regression).
- Macroeconomic variables may or may not be used as underlying factors.

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.
- Standard credit risk models use parametric or semi-parametric models (e.g. copulas, mixture models, regression).
- Macroeconomic variables may or may not be used as underlying factors.

Goal:

• Model the joint distribution of default probabilities and macroeconomic factors using RBMs.

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.
- Standard credit risk models use parametric or semi-parametric models (e.g. copulas, mixture models, regression).
- Macroeconomic variables may or may not be used as underlying factors.

Goal:

- Model the joint distribution of default probabilities and macroeconomic factors using RBMs.
- Perform portfolio stress-testing (e.g. how do portfolio losses change if unemployment increases?).



- Data.
 - Daily estimated 1-year default probabilities⁹ from January 2000 to March 2020 of 236 top listed US firms.
 - Quarterly macroeconomic variables¹⁰ (domestic and international).
- Training: hidden units: 500 (5-fold cross-validated), epochs: 10000, method: Stochastic Maximum Likelihood (100 Gibbs steps).

⁹Estimation via vanilla Merton model, similarly to Bloomberg's DRISKTM and Moody's EDFTM.

¹⁰For a complete list see the Federal Reserve 2020Q4 stress testing documentation.

RBMs for credit risk: Model training



The log-likelihood is intractable (especially at training time!).

Fast ways to monitor learning:

- Log-likelihood estimation via KDE from a model's sample.
- Annealed Importance Sampling for approximation of partition function¹¹.

¹¹See Salakhutdinov, Murray, On the quantitative analysis of Deep Belief Networks, 2008

RBMs for credit risk: Model training



The model has successfully learned the joint probability distribution.

1e-5

RBMs for credit risk: Stress testing



 We can implement Federal Reserve 2020Q4 stress-test by sampling conditionally on their projected macroeconomic variables and see how they affect the total losses distribution.



- We can implement Federal Reserve 2020Q4 stress-test by sampling conditionally on their projected macroeconomic variables and see how they affect the total losses distribution.
- We can compute risk measures (capital requirements) under different scenarios:
 - Value at Risk (95%) baseline (3.38), alternative severe (4.06, ↑ 20.1%), severely adverse (4.33, ↑ 28.1%)
 - Expected Shortfall (95%) baseline (4.02), alternative severe (5.15, ↑ 28.1%), severely adverse (5.37, ↑ 33.6%)

RBMs for pharmaceutical product liability

Joint work with Nicola Serra¹², Giuseppe Genovese¹³, and Ashkan Nikeghbali¹⁴ ¹⁵.

¹²UZH, Physics Institute

¹³University of Basel, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

¹⁴UZH, Mathematics Institute

¹⁵UZH, Department of Banking and Finance

 Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive¹⁶).

 $^{^{16}\}mathrm{HER2}\text{-}\mathrm{positive}$ cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive¹⁶).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.

 $^{^{16}\}mathrm{HER2}\text{-}\mathrm{positive}$ cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive¹⁶).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.
- HER2-positivity tests: FISH (very low false positives, high cost), IHC (higher false positive rate, lower cost). Standard diagnostic strategy: IHC first, FISH only if IHC (moderately) positive.

 $^{^{16}}$ HER2-positive cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive¹⁶).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.
- HER2-positivity tests: FISH (very low false positives, high cost), IHC (higher false positive rate, lower cost). Standard diagnostic strategy: IHC first, FISH only if IHC (moderately) positive.

Goal:

• Learn joint distribution of patient features (e.g. age, tumor status, survival) and clinical/diagnostic features (HER2+, tests, cardiotoxicity).

¹⁶HER2-positive cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive¹⁶).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.
- HER2-positivity tests: FISH (very low false positives, high cost), IHC (higher false positive rate, lower cost). Standard diagnostic strategy: IHC first, FISH only if IHC (moderately) positive.

Goal:

- Learn joint distribution of patient features (e.g. age, tumor status, survival) and clinical/diagnostic features (HER2+, tests, cardiotoxicity).
- Model financial impact of product liability claims (legal claims due to lack of therapeutic success, serious side effects, diagnostic failure).

 $^{^{16}\}mathrm{HER2}\text{-}\mathrm{positive}$ cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive¹⁶).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.
- HER2-positivity tests: FISH (very low false positives, high cost), IHC (higher false positive rate, lower cost). Standard diagnostic strategy: IHC first, FISH only if IHC (moderately) positive.

Goal:

- Learn joint distribution of patient features (e.g. age, tumor status, survival) and clinical/diagnostic features (HER2+, tests, cardiotoxicity).
- Model financial impact of product liability claims (legal claims due to lack of therapeutic success, serious side effects, diagnostic failure).
- Test alternative treatments and diagnostic procedures.

¹⁶HER2-positive cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

RBMs for pharma: Learning patient features



- Data: GEO2R dataset¹⁷ with patient features from 94 HER2+ breast cancer patients¹⁸.
- Training: hidden units: 100 (5-fold cross-validated), epochs: 10000, method: Stochastic Maximum Likelihood (100 Gibbs steps).

¹⁷The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) provides public access to Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) dataset. This dataset contains gene profiling of HER2+ breast cancer patients treated with Trastuzumab.
¹⁸Larger datasets require long authorization procedures, in the following we will use the RBM to generate a bigger sythentic dataset on which to test our methodology.

RBMs for pharma: Learning patient features



 Due to small sample size, the RBM smoothens the empirical distribution to avoid overfitting and generalize well.

• We are also interested in diagnostic and clinical features not present in the GEO2R dataset.

- We are also interested in diagnostic and clinical features not present in the GEO2R dataset.
- Feature extension is performed using a Bayesian Network and domain-knowledge for distribution modelling (every edge is modelled using peer-reviewed research).



- We are also interested in diagnostic and clinical features not present in the GEO2R dataset.
- Feature extension is performed using a Bayesian Network and domain-knowledge for distribution modelling (every edge is modelled using peer-reviewed research).



• Given the value of observed nodes, we can infer the value of unobserved and unobservable nodes by sampling from the Bayesian network.

- We are also interested in diagnostic and clinical features not present in the GEO2R dataset.
- Feature extension is performed using a Bayesian Network and domain-knowledge for distribution modelling (every edge is modelled using peer-reviewed research).



- Given the value of observed nodes, we can infer the value of unobserved and unobservable nodes by sampling from the Bayesian network.
- We obtain a synthetically generated sample (n=10000) from our RBM and extend it to include diagnostic/clinical variables using the Bayesian Network.

We can use our model to answer basic queries:

- Frequency of Type I error (false positive) of current diagnostic strategy: 6.31%.
- Primary cardiotoxicity¹⁹ is approx. 4.5 times more likely in 80-year-olds than 40-year-olds.
- IHC is 53% more likely than FISH to result in false positives.

What's the financial impact due to legal claims?

¹⁹Congestive Heart Failure or any cardiac event which may lead to hospitalization.

The connection between diagnostic/clinical variables and the size of legal claims might be given, for example, by the following educated guess:

Metastasis	Cardiotoxicity	Multiplier	Claim probability
Yes	Primary	1.50	50%
Yes	Secondary	1.25	35%
Yes	None	1.00	25%
No	Primary	1.00	15%
No	Secondary	0.20	5%
No	None	0.00	0%

• The expected claim size is:

[Median claim size = 250'000 USD] × [Multiplier] × [Claim probability]

RBMs for pharma: Legal claims distribution

• At the beginning of treatment given a specific group of patients (i.e. age, tumor size, nodes positive, ER status, PGR status), we can estimate the distribution of financial losses from future claims.

RBMs for pharma: Legal claims distribution

- At the beginning of treatment given a specific group of patients (i.e. age, tumor size, nodes positive, ER status, PGR status), we can estimate the distribution of financial losses from future claims.
- For the patients (n=94) in the original GEO2R dataset the total claims distribution is:



RBMs for pharma: Legal claims distribution

- At the beginning of treatment given a specific group of patients (i.e. age, tumor size, nodes positive, ER status, PGR status), we can estimate the distribution of financial losses from future claims.
- For the patients (n=94) in the original GEO2R dataset the total claims distribution is:



- We can compute risk management metrics (VaR, ES, spectral measures).
- VaR(95%) = 2.56 USD mil, VaR(99%) = 3.10 USD mil.
- ES(95%) = 2.88 USD mil, ES(99%) = 3.60 USD mil.

• We can estimate conditional distributions and evaluate how clinical changes affect the distribution of losses.

- We can estimate conditional distributions and evaluate how clinical changes affect the distribution of losses.
- What is the **effect of patient age on the total claims** distribution? We sample from the model, given that all patients are in the 70-80 age group.

- We can estimate conditional distributions and evaluate how clinical changes affect the distribution of losses.
- What is the effect of patient age on the total claims distribution? We sample from the model, given that all patients are in the 70-80 age group.



- We can estimate conditional distributions and evaluate how clinical changes affect the distribution of losses.
- What is the effect of patient age on the total claims distribution? We sample from the model, given that all patients are in the 70-80 age group.



- VaR(95%) = 2.80 USD mil († 9.27%)
- ES(95%) = 3.13 USD mil (^{*} 8.47%)

• We can evaluate the effect of alternative diagnostic strategies on the total claims distribution.

- We can evaluate the effect of alternative diagnostic strategies on the total claims distribution.
- We sample from the model, assuming an alternative diagnostic procedure (for example: always use FISH test first).

- We can evaluate the effect of alternative diagnostic strategies on the total claims distribution.
- We sample from the model, assuming an alternative diagnostic procedure (for example: always use FISH test first).



- We can evaluate the effect of alternative diagnostic strategies on the total claims distribution.
- We sample from the model, assuming an alternative diagnostic procedure (for example: always use FISH test first).



• VaR(95%) = 2.13 USD mil (↓ 17.1 %)

• ES(95%) = 2.39 USD mil (↓ 17.2 %)

This methodology allows us to:

- Augment and extend existing datasets.
- Combine peer-reviewed research and ML.
- Generate synthetic datasets exhibiting complex non-linear dependencies.
- Estimate quantitatively how different diagnostic/clinical strategies can impact financial losses due to liability claims.

- Results of the applications are preliminary: papers are still in progress.
- RBMs are easy to train and easy to deploy.
- Conditional sampling is efficient and very useful for scenario generation.

Thanks for your attention!