# Restricted Boltzmann Machines: theory and applications

Gabriele Visentin<sup>1</sup> March 22, 2021

 $1$ University of Zürich, Mathematics Institute

Focus of the talk:

- Generative models that are not so popular in particle physics.
- GANs, VAEs, etc. typically require a lot of fine tuning.
- Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs):
	- are easy to train (old model ⇒ lots of training methods!),
	- can be used for efficient conditional sampling,
	- are theoretically well studied (known density, MCMC connection).

1. Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs)

- Model description
- Training methods
- 2. RBMs for credit risk management
	- Problem description
	- Model training
	- Stress testing
- 3. RBMs for pharmaceutical product liability
	- Problem description
	- Learning patient features
	- Learning diagnostic/clinical features
	- Legal claims distribution
	- Evaluation of alternative policies

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>[Restricted Boltzmann Machines](#page-3-0) [\(RBMs\)](#page-3-0)

An RBM is a probabilistic graphical model that can be used to learn data distributions in an unsupervised way.



• Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable



- Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable
- Units are either visible (data) or hidden (latent factors)



- Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable
- Units are either visible (data) or hidden (latent factors)
- Presence of an edge indicates a direct statistical dependence



- Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable
- Units are either visible (data) or hidden (latent factors)
- Presence of an edge indicates a direct statistical dependence
- Separation relations correspond to conditional independence



- Each vertex (or unit) corresponds to a random variable
- Units are either visible (data) or hidden (latent factors)
- Presence of an edge indicates a direct statistical dependence
- Separation relations correspond to conditional independence
- Hidden units are latent factors for the distribution of the visible units (non-linear version of Factor Analysis or PCA)

Let's consider the case of binary units $^1$ , i.e.  $v\in\{0,1\}^n$  and  $h\in\{0,1\}^m.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Generalizations to real-valued units (both visible and hidden) exist.

Let's consider the case of binary units $^1$ , i.e.  $v\in\{0,1\}^n$  and  $h\in\{0,1\}^m.$ 

An RBM is parametrized using the following Gibbs measure:

$$
p(v,h)=\frac{1}{Z}e^{-E(v,h)}
$$

where

• Z is a normalization constant (partition function), such that

$$
Z = \sum_{v \in \{0,1\}^n} \sum_{h \in \{0,1\}^m} e^{-E(v,h)}
$$

•  $E(v, h)$  is the energy function given by

$$
E(v, h) = -\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i b_i}_{\text{visible bias}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_i c_i}_{\text{hidden bias}} - \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} W_{ij} v_i h_j}_{\text{interaction term}}
$$

 $1$ Generalizations to real-valued units (both visible and hidden) exist.

<sup>2</sup>Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

 $\bullet$  The model is a universal approximator $^2$ . Limit case: choose as many hidden units as points in the support of the distribution. In practice: use cross-validation on the number of hidden units to avoid overfitting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

- $\bullet$  The model is a universal approximator $^2$ . Limit case: choose as many hidden units as points in the support of the distribution. In practice: use cross-validation on the number of hidden units to avoid overfitting.
- Computing the partition function  $Z$  is numerically intractable (need to sum over  $2^{n+m}$  terms).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

- $\bullet$  The model is a universal approximator $^2$ . Limit case: choose as many hidden units as points in the support of the distribution. In practice: use cross-validation on the number of hidden units to avoid overfitting.
- Computing the partition function  $Z$  is numerically intractable (need to sum over  $2^{n+m}$  terms).
- Therefore computing the joint distribution  $p(v, h)$  is intractable. Exact sampling from the model is not possible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

- $\bullet$  The model is a universal approximator $^2$ . Limit case: choose as many hidden units as points in the support of the distribution. In practice: use cross-validation on the number of hidden units to avoid overfitting.
- Computing the partition function  $Z$  is numerically intractable (need to sum over  $2^{n+m}$  terms).
- Therefore computing the joint distribution  $p(v, h)$  is intractable. Exact sampling from the model is not possible.
- Nevertheless the conditional distributions  $p(v|h)$  and  $p(h|v)$  are easy:

$$
\mathbb{P}(V_i = 1 | H = h) = \text{sigmoid}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m W_{ij}h_j + b_i\right)
$$

$$
\mathbb{P}(H_j = 1 | V = v) = \text{sigmoid}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n W_{ij}v_i + c_j\right)
$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Le Roux, Bengio, Representational power of restricted Boltzmann machines and deep belief networks, 2008

We train an RBM using likelihood maximization via (stochastic) gradient ascent. Let  $\theta$  be shorthand for one of the model's parameters  $(W, a, b)$ , then the log-likelihood on a sample point is:

$$
\log \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(v) = \log \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} = \log \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} - \log \sum_{v,h} e^{-E(v,h)}.
$$

We train an RBM using likelihood maximization via (stochastic) gradient ascent. Let  $\theta$  be shorthand for one of the model's parameters  $(W, a, b)$ , then the log-likelihood on a sample point is:

$$
\log \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(v) = \log \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} = \log \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} - \log \sum_{v,h} e^{-E(v,h)}.
$$

Its derivative w.r.t  $\theta$  is given by:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\sum_{h} p(h|v) \frac{E(v, h)}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{v, h} p(v, h) \frac{\partial E(v, h)}{\partial \theta}
$$

$$
\approx -\mathbb{E}_{\text{data}} \left[ \frac{\partial E(v, h)}{\partial \theta} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\text{model}} \left[ \frac{\partial E(v, h)}{\partial \theta} \right]
$$

We train an RBM using likelihood maximization via (stochastic) gradient ascent. Let  $\theta$  be shorthand for one of the model's parameters  $(W, a, b)$ , then the log-likelihood on a sample point is:

$$
\log \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log p(v) = \log \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} = \log \sum_{h} e^{-E(v,h)} - \log \sum_{v,h} e^{-E(v,h)}.
$$

Its derivative w.r.t  $\theta$  is given by:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\sum_{h} p(h|v) \frac{E(v, h)}{\partial \theta} + \sum_{v, h} p(v, h) \frac{\partial E(v, h)}{\partial \theta}
$$

$$
\approx -\mathbb{E}_{\text{data}} \left[ \frac{\partial E(v, h)}{\partial \theta} \right] + \mathbb{E}_{\text{model}} \left[ \frac{\partial E(v, h)}{\partial \theta} \right]
$$

Sampling from the model is intractable, therefore we need an approximation of the second term.

We can sample approximately from  $p(v, h)$  by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick  $v = v_0$  from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly  $h \sim p(h|V = v)$  and  $v \sim p(v|H = h)$ .
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain  $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$ .

 $3$ Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

<sup>4</sup>Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from  $p(v, h)$  by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick  $v = v_0$  from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly  $h \sim p(h|V = v)$  and  $v \sim p(v|H = h)$ .
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain  $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$ .

Main problems:

- $\bullet$  Thermalization may take many sampling steps  $(\geq 10^4$  for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

<sup>4</sup>Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

 $3$ Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from  $p(v, h)$  by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick  $v = v_0$  from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly  $h \sim p(h|V = v)$  and  $v \sim p(v|H = h)$ .
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain  $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$ .

Main problems:

- $\bullet$  Thermalization may take many sampling steps  $(\geq 10^4$  for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

Main training algorithms:

**Contrastive Divergence**<sup>3</sup>: even one Gibbs sampling step is enough.

 $3$ Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

<sup>4</sup>Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from  $p(v, h)$  by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick  $v = v_0$  from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly  $h \sim p(h|V = v)$  and  $v \sim p(v|H = h)$ .
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain  $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$ .

Main problems:

- $\bullet$  Thermalization may take many sampling steps  $(\geq 10^4$  for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

Main training algorithms:

- **Contrastive Divergence**<sup>3</sup>: even one Gibbs sampling step is enough.
- **Stochastic Maximum Likelihood**<sup>4</sup>: initialize new chain with last Gibbs state of previous chain, instead of a new training sample point.

 $3$ Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

<sup>4</sup>Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from  $p(v, h)$  by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick  $v = v_0$  from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly  $h \sim p(h|V = v)$  and  $v \sim p(v|H = h)$ .
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain  $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$ .

Main problems:

- $\bullet$  Thermalization may take many sampling steps  $(\geq 10^4$  for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

Main training algorithms:

- **Contrastive Divergence**<sup>3</sup>: even one Gibbs sampling step is enough.
- **Stochastic Maximum Likelihood**<sup>4</sup>: initialize new chain with last Gibbs state of previous chain, instead of a new training sample point.
- $\bullet$  Parallel Tempering<sup>5</sup>: increase mixing rate by annealed sampling.

 $3$ Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

<sup>4</sup>Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

We can sample approximately from  $p(v, h)$  by performing (block) Gibbs sampling:

- 1. Pick  $v = v_0$  from dataset.
- 2. Sample alternatingly  $h \sim p(h|V = v)$  and  $v \sim p(v|H = h)$ .
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain  $(v, h) \sim p(v, h)$ .

Main problems:

- $\bullet$  Thermalization may take many sampling steps  $(\geq 10^4$  for exact iid sampling).
- Equivalently, the chain may be slow-mixing.

Main training algorithms:

- **Contrastive Divergence**<sup>3</sup>: even one Gibbs sampling step is enough.
- **Stochastic Maximum Likelihood**<sup>4</sup>: initialize new chain with last Gibbs state of previous chain, instead of a new training sample point.
- $\bullet$  Parallel Tempering<sup>5</sup>: increase mixing rate by annealed sampling.
- Other methods: Pseudo-likelihood, ratio-matching, denoising score-matching.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Hinton, Training products of experts by minimizing contrastive divergence, 2002

<sup>4</sup>Tieleman, Training restricted Boltzmann machines using approximations to the likelihood gradient, 2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Salakhutdinov, Learning in Markov random fields using tempered transitions, 2009

Advantages of the model:

- Fast and easy training (e.g. compared to adversarial learning).
- Conditional sampling is a built-in feature!

To sample  $(v, h)$  given  $v_i = x$ :

- 1. Pick  $v = v_0$  from dataset (or random).
- 2. Sample  $h \sim p(h|V = v)$ , sample  $v \sim p(v|H = h)$  and fix  $v_i = x$ .
- 3. Repeat until Markov Chain thermalizes and you obtain  $(v, h) \sim p(v, h|v_i = x)$ .

# <span id="page-27-0"></span>[RBMs for credit risk management](#page-27-0)

Joint work with Giuseppe Genovese $^6$  and Ashkan Nikeghbali $^7$   $^8$ .

 $6$ University of Basel, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

<sup>7</sup>UZH, Mathematics Institute

<sup>8</sup>UZH, Department of Banking and Finance

• We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.
- Standard credit risk models use parametric or semi-parametric models (e.g. copulas, mixture models, regression).

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.
- Standard credit risk models use parametric or semi-parametric models (e.g. copulas, mixture models, regression).
- Macroeconomic variables may or may not be used as underlying factors.

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.
- Standard credit risk models use parametric or semi-parametric models (e.g. copulas, mixture models, regression).
- Macroeconomic variables may or may not be used as underlying factors.

Goal:

• Model the joint distribution of default probabilities and macroeconomic factors using RBMs.

- We focus on credit portfolios of large firms (portfolio of loans or bonds).
- Main problem is how to model faithfully the dependence between default probabilities.
- Standard credit risk models use parametric or semi-parametric models (e.g. copulas, mixture models, regression).
- Macroeconomic variables may or may not be used as underlying factors.

Goal:

- Model the joint distribution of default probabilities and macroeconomic factors using RBMs.
- Perform portfolio stress-testing (e.g. how do portfolio losses change if unemployment increases?).



- Data.
	- $\bullet$  Daily estimated 1-year default probabilities $^9$  from January 2000 to March 2020 of 236 top listed US firms.
	- Quarterly macroeconomic variables<sup>10</sup> (domestic and international).
- Training: hidden units: 500 (5-fold cross-validated), epochs: 10000, method: Stochastic Maximum Likelihood (100 Gibbs steps).

 $9$ Estimation via vanilla Merton model, similarly to Bloomberg's DRISK<sup>TM</sup> and Moody's EDF<sup>TM</sup>.

 $10$ For a complete list see the Federal Reserve 2020Q4 stress testing documentation.

### RBMs for credit risk: Model training



The log-likelihood is intractable (especially at training time!).

Fast ways to monitor learning:

- Log-likelihood estimation via KDE from a model's sample.
- $\bullet$  Annealed Importance Sampling for approximation of partition function $^{11}.$

 $11$ See Salakhutdinov, Murray, On the quantitative analysis of Deep Belief Networks, 2008

### RBMs for credit risk: Model training





The model has successfully learned the joint probability distribution.

#### 13



• We can implement Federal Reserve 2020Q4 stress-test by sampling conditionally on their projected macroeconomic variables and see how they affect the total losses distribution.



- We can implement Federal Reserve 2020Q4 stress-test by sampling conditionally on their projected macroeconomic variables and see how they affect the total losses distribution.
- We can compute risk measures (capital requirements) under different scenarios:
	- Value at Risk (95%) baseline (3.38), alternative severe (4.06,  $\uparrow$  20.1%), severely adverse (4.33,  $\uparrow$  28.1%)
	- Expected Shortfall (95%) baseline (4.02), alternative severe (5.15,  $\uparrow$  28.1%), severely adverse (5.37,  $\uparrow$  33.6%)

# <span id="page-39-0"></span>[RBMs for pharmaceutical product liability](#page-39-0)

Joint work with Nicola Serra $^{12}$ , Giuseppe Genovese $^{13}$ , and Ashkan Nikeghbali $^{14}$   $^{15}$ .

<sup>12</sup>UZH, Physics Institute

<sup>13</sup>University of Basel, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

<sup>14</sup>UZH, Mathematics Institute

<sup>15</sup>UZH, Department of Banking and Finance

• Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive<sup>16</sup>).

 $16$ HER2-positive cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive $^{16}$ ).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.

 $16$ HER2-positive cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive $^{16}$ ).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.
- HER2-positivity tests: FISH (very low false positives, high cost), IHC (higher false positive rate, lower cost). Standard diagnostic strategy: IHC first, FISH only if IHC (moderately) positive.

 $16$ HER2-positive cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive $^{16}$ ).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.
- HER2-positivity tests: FISH (very low false positives, high cost), IHC (higher false positive rate, lower cost). Standard diagnostic strategy: IHC first, FISH only if IHC (moderately) positive.

Goal:

• Learn joint distribution of patient features (e.g. age, tumor status, survival) and clinical/diagnostic features (HER2+, tests, cardiotoxicity).

 $^{16}$ HER2-positive cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive $^{16}$ ).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.
- HER2-positivity tests: FISH (very low false positives, high cost), IHC (higher false positive rate, lower cost). Standard diagnostic strategy: IHC first, FISH only if IHC (moderately) positive.

Goal:

- Learn joint distribution of patient features (e.g. age, tumor status, survival) and clinical/diagnostic features (HER2+, tests, cardiotoxicity).
- Model financial impact of product liability claims (legal claims due to lack of therapeutic success, serious side effects, diagnostic failure).

 $^{16}$ HER2-positive cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

- Trastuzumab is a very effective medicine used to treat a specific kind of very aggressive breast cancer (HER2-positive $^{16}$ ).
- Treatment with Trastuzumab can cause cardiotoxicity (cardiac fatigue, heart failure): it is important to diagnose HER2-positivity correctly.
- HER2-positivity tests: FISH (very low false positives, high cost), IHC (higher false positive rate, lower cost). Standard diagnostic strategy: IHC first, FISH only if IHC (moderately) positive.

Goal:

- Learn joint distribution of patient features (e.g. age, tumor status, survival) and clinical/diagnostic features (HER2+, tests, cardiotoxicity).
- Model financial impact of product liability claims (legal claims due to lack of therapeutic success, serious side effects, diagnostic failure).
- Test alternative treatments and diagnostic procedures.

 $^{16}$ HER2-positive cancer is receptive to the human epidermal growth factor. Trastuzumab downregulates it, thus reducing cancer growth.

### RBMs for pharma: Learning patient features



- Data: GEO2R dataset<sup>17</sup> with patient features from 94 HER2+ breast cancer patients<sup>18</sup>.
- Training: hidden units: 100 (5-fold cross-validated), epochs: 10000, method: Stochastic Maximum Likelihood (100 Gibbs steps).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>The National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) provides public access to Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) dataset. This dataset contains gene profiling of HER2+ breast cancer patients treated with Trastuzumab.  $18$ Larger datasets require long authorization procedures, in the following we will use the RBM to generate a bigger sythentic dataset on which to test our methodology.

### RBMs for pharma: Learning patient features



• Due to small sample size, the RBM smoothens the empirical distribution to avoid overfitting and generalize well.

• We are also interested in diagnostic and clinical features not present in the GEO2R dataset.

- We are also interested in diagnostic and clinical features not present in the GEO2R dataset.
- Feature extension is performed using a Bayesian Network and domain-knowledge for distribution modelling (every edge is modelled using peer-reviewed research).



- We are also interested in diagnostic and clinical features not present in the GEO2R dataset.
- Feature extension is performed using a Bayesian Network and domain-knowledge for distribution modelling (every edge is modelled using peer-reviewed research).



• Given the value of observed nodes, we can infer the value of unobserved and unobservable nodes by sampling from the Bayesian network.

- We are also interested in diagnostic and clinical features not present in the GEO2R dataset.
- Feature extension is performed using a Bayesian Network and domain-knowledge for distribution modelling (every edge is modelled using peer-reviewed research).



- Given the value of observed nodes, we can infer the value of unobserved and unobservable nodes by sampling from the Bayesian network.
- $\bullet$  We obtain a synthetically generated sample (n=10000) from our RBM and extend it to include diagnostic/clinical variables using the Bayesian Network.

We can use our model to answer basic queries:

- Frequency of Type I error (false positive) of current diagnostic strategy: 6.31%.
- Primary cardiotoxicity<sup>19</sup> is approx. 4.5 times more likely in 80-year-olds than 40-year-olds.
- IHC is 53% more likely than FISH to result in false positives.

What's the financial impact due to legal claims?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Congestive Heart Failure or any cardiac event which may lead to hospitalization.

The connection between diagnostic/clinical variables and the size of legal claims might be given, for example, by the following educated guess:



• The expected claim size is:

[Median claim size = 250'000 USD]  $\times$  [Multiplier]  $\times$  [Claim probability]

### RBMs for pharma: Legal claims distribution

• At the beginning of treatment given a specific group of patients (i.e. age, tumor size, nodes positive, ER status, PGR status), we can estimate the distribution of financial losses from future claims.

### RBMs for pharma: Legal claims distribution

- At the beginning of treatment given a specific group of patients (i.e. age, tumor size, nodes positive, ER status, PGR status), we can estimate the distribution of financial losses from future claims.
- For the patients ( $n=94$ ) in the original GEO2R dataset the total claims distribution is:



### RBMs for pharma: Legal claims distribution

- At the beginning of treatment given a specific group of patients (i.e. age, tumor size, nodes positive, ER status, PGR status), we can estimate the distribution of financial losses from future claims.
- For the patients ( $n=94$ ) in the original GEO2R dataset the total claims distribution is:



- We can compute risk management metrics (VaR, ES, spectral measures).
- $VaR(95%) = 2.56$  USD mil,  $VaR(99%) = 3.10$  USD mil.
- ES(95%) = 2.88 USD mil, ES(99%) = 3.60 USD mil.

• We can estimate conditional distributions and evaluate how clinical changes affect the distribution of losses.

- We can estimate conditional distributions and evaluate how clinical changes affect the distribution of losses.
- What is the effect of patient age on the total claims distribution? We sample from the model, given that all patients are in the 70-80 age group.

- We can estimate conditional distributions and evaluate how clinical changes affect the distribution of losses.
- What is the effect of patient age on the total claims distribution? We sample from the model, given that all patients are in the 70-80 age group.



- We can estimate conditional distributions and evaluate how clinical changes affect the distribution of losses.
- What is the effect of patient age on the total claims distribution? We sample from the model, given that all patients are in the 70-80 age group.



- $VaR(95%) = 2.80$  USD mil († 9.27%)
- ES(95%) = 3.13 USD mil ( $\uparrow$  8.47%)

• We can evaluate the effect of alternative diagnostic strategies on the total claims distribution.

- We can evaluate the effect of alternative diagnostic strategies on the total claims distribution.
- We sample from the model, assuming an alternative diagnostic procedure (for example: always use FISH test first).

- We can evaluate the effect of alternative diagnostic strategies on the total claims distribution.
- We sample from the model, assuming an alternative diagnostic procedure (for example: always use FISH test first).



- We can evaluate the effect of alternative diagnostic strategies on the total claims distribution.
- We sample from the model, assuming an alternative diagnostic procedure (for example: always use FISH test first).



- $VaR(95%) = 2.13$  USD mil  $(1.17.1%)$
- ES(95%) = 2.39 USD mil (↓ 17.2 %)

This methodology allows us to:

- Augment and extend existing datasets.
- Combine peer-reviewed research and ML.
- Generate synthetic datasets exhibiting complex non-linear dependencies.
- Estimate quantitatively how different diagnostic/clinical strategies can impact financial losses due to liability claims.
- Results of the applications are preliminary: papers are still in progress.
- RBMs are easy to train and easy to deploy.
- Conditional sampling is efficient and very useful for scenario generation.

Thanks for your attention!