#### Determining the orbital parameters for the gamma-ray binaries LMC P3 and 1FGL J1018.6-5856 using SALT/HRS

Image credit: H. Szegedi

Brian van Soelen,1\_Nukri Komin,2 Alexei Kniazev3,4, I Monageng3,4,, Drikus du Plooy,1, Petri Väisänen,3,4

<sup>1</sup> University of the Free State,

...

- <sup>2</sup> University of the Witwatersrand,
- <sup>3</sup> South African Astronomical Observatory,
- <sup>4</sup>Southern African Large Telescope



UNIVERSITY OF THE FREE STATE UNIVERSITEIT VAN DIE VRYSTAAT YUNIVESITHI YA FREISTATA



# Gamma-ray binaries



Dubus 2013

# LMC P3

- Gamma-ray emission was first identified, part of the Fermi-LAT deep observations of the LMC, as a pointlike source with no known counterpart (Fermi-LAT Collaboration 2016)
- Binary nature was identified through a search for periodic emission in the Fermi-LAT data which subsequently found a 10.301 ± 0.002 days period (Corbet et al. 2016)
  - Radio observations were undertaken with ATCA, X-ray observations with Swift, Gamma-ray observations with Fermi-LAT
  - Folded on the 10.301 period the radio and X-ray observations show a periodicity.
  - However, the X-ray and Radio are out of phase with the gamma-ray observations.
  - This out off phase is seen for other gamma-ray binaries, e.g. LS 5039
- The source was co-incident with the X-ray source CAL 60/ CXOU J053600.0-673507 which has previously been identified as a potential binary system (Crampton et al., 1985; Seward et al., 2012)
- The optical star is identified as a 05 III(f) type star, the earliest of all the gamma-ray binaries.
  - SOAR and SAAO observations folded on 10.301 days.





Fermi 2021 – Virtual Conference

## H.E.S.S. Observations

- H.E.S.S. observations of the LMC included an effective 100 h observation of LMC P3.
- LMC P3 was detected the source with a  $6.4\sigma$  significance.
- No significant periodicity could be detected directly with the H.E.S.S. data.
- Folded on 10.301 days the VHE light curve shows a significant detection in only one phase bin.
  - This is off phase with the Fermi-LAT results.



## SALT HRS observations

- The system was observed 24 times with the HRS/SALT between 2016 September 14 and 2017 February 06
- Because the target lies within a nebula, and in the LMC, the background in the sky fibre was significantly different from the sky in the target fibre (16'' separation).
- Restricted performing cross-correlation (rvsao) using the blue part of the spectrum where the sky line contamination was not significant.





### Results

• A free fit to the binary gives a period of 10.314±0.044 days

Radial velocity (km s<sup>-1</sup>)

- But a Lomb-Scargle search for periodicity does not find any significant period
- Adopted a 10.301 day period
- Except for low inclinations, the mass function favours a neutron star companion.

Parameters	Fixed (adopted)
Time of periastron (HJD)	2457412.13 ± 0.29
Orbital period (d)	$10.301 \pm 0.000$
Systemic velocity relative to template (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.68 \pm 0.55$
Systemic velocity (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$321.18 \pm 0.85$
K (velocity semi-amplitude)	$10.69 \pm 1.23$
Eccentricity	$0.40 \pm 0.07$
Longitude of periastron (deg)	$11.3 \pm 12.0$
Mass function (Mo)	$0.0010 \pm 0.0004$



van Soelen et al. 2019





The binary orientation of the LMC P3. This is calculated assuming a  $M_{star}$  = 33.5  $M_{sun}$  and radius of  $R_{star}$  = 14.5  $R_{sun}$  and  $M_{p}$  = 1.4  $M_{sun}$ .



### 1FGL J1018.6-5856

- Discovered by Fermi-LAT Collaboration (2012) as a variable gamma-ray sources with a period of 16.58 ± 0.04 days co-incident with a O6V((f)) type companion.
- The GeV and TeV emission shows a similar peak
- There is a peak in the X-ray light curve at a similar phase, though a maximum around phase 0.3 as well.
- An et al. 2015 found a 16.544± 0.008 day orbital period from Swift observations



#### 1FGL J1018.6-5856



 In An et al. 2017, through modelling the source, it was proposed that the source was eccentric with periastron at phase 0.39 and inferior conjunction at phase 0

#### 1FGL J1018.6-5856

- However Monageng et al 2017 combined new SALT/HRS data with Goodman High-Throughput Spectrograph onboard the SOAR from Strader et al. (2015) and found a very different orbital solution to what was proposed by the modelling
- The eccentricity found ( $e=0.31 \pm 0.16$ ) was similar to the model (e=0.35) but the orientation was different.



Combined radial velocities (Monageng et al. 2017). NB: the choice of phase 0 is different to the gamma-ray observations/modelling





## SALT HRS observations

- Source observed 24 times between 2018-05-02 and 2020-06-14 with SALT HRS in LR mode.
- Data reduced with the Kniazev+2016 pipeline. Some artifacts remain from the order merging, but weaker than absorption lines.
- Performed cross-correlations in the region 4500 5450 Å using rvsao, using a template created from the observations.
- The preliminary result from the crosscorrelation shows a larger amplitude and shifts the position of periastron.
- The errors are only the statistical error based on the line fit.



#### Preliminary new radial velocity results

- The combined fit give a different binary orientation and give an eccentricity of closer to  $e \sim 0.5$  and a higher mass function f  $\sim 0.004$
- The radial velocity curve is also slightly better fit by a different orbital period.
- However, this is **very preliminary** and need to investigate possible systematic offset between the different data sets.





#### Conclusions

- LMC P3
  - Using SALT/HRS observations we have the best orbital solution for LMC P3, the first gamma-ray binary detected outside of the Galaxy.
  - A neutron star mass is favored for most inclinations (>30 deg).
  - The spectral model fitting gives a temperature of  $36351 \pm 53$  K
  - The orientation places inferior conjunction at 0.24 and superior conjunction at 0.98
  - A simple toy model shows that this orientation can explain the H.E.S.S. observations either via a gamma-gamma absorption or possibly through additional Doppler boosting.
- 1FGL J1018.6-5856
  - Preliminary results from new observations hinting towards a different orientation
    - Higher eccentricity and mass function
    - Shifted viewing angle
    - Slight difference in best fit period.
  - This this opens up interesting questions about interpreting the multi-wavelength behaviour.





### Thank you





Fermi 2021 – Virtual Conference