pace P escope

www.nasa.gov/fermi



Fermi-LAT Solar Flare Catalog: Observations of Solar Flares at High Energy During Solar Cycle 24th

Nicola Omodei, Melissa Pesce-Rollins, Francesco Longo, Vahe Petrosian

on behalf of the Fermi LAT collaboration

9th International Fermi Symposium



Fermi-LAT as Solar Observer

SunMonitor: pipeline that continuously monitor the Sun using Fermi-LAT data (standard likelihood analysis)











• SunMonitor:

- 92 time windows candidates (TS>25)
- 39 flares detections above 60 MeV
- LLE approach detected 6 additional above 30MeV

45 Flares total :

- all associated with X-ray flare
- all but 3 associated with CMEs





The impact of Fermi-LAT



9th International Fermi Symposium









The impact of Fermi-LAT

9th International Fermi Symposium







Temporal Behavior of Fermi LAT Solar Flares (FLSF)

9th International Fermi Symposium









Credit: A. Shih







Prompt-only





9th International Fermi Symposium

Temporal Behavior of Fermi LAT Solar Flares (FLSF)









Eight Long Lasting (>4 hr) Solar Flares head to head



- Rise-time behavior more evident for delayed-only flares

The GLE event (2017-09-10) and sub-GLE event (2012-03-07) are by far the brightest one.







Spectral analysis

9th International Fermi Symposium







Spectral analysis

- Both prompt and delayed emissions exhibit curvature in their spectrum.
- Well reproduced (when statistics allows) with pion decay model (Murphy et al. 1987) lacksquare







Softening of the p spectrum with time







Where does the gamma-ray emission come from?

9th International Fermi Symposium



Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC



Where does the gamma-ray emission come from?

200

AIA 171 Å 2012-03-07 07:42:48 Emin = -400 -200 Helioprojective Longitude (Solar-X) [arcsec] -600200

Correction for fish-eye effect based on **Monte Carlo** simulations



Late time emission from 2012-03-07 "wonders around"



Location \bullet consistent with AR (but on limb!)

Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC



Ο
ň
Ο
0
Ω
D
-
0
_
N
0

\mathbf{O}



Is it extended?

• Fermipy to study the extension of the gamma-ray source (on 2012-03-07 and 2017-09-10). - Fit with Gaussian and Disk templates, profiling the likelihood by varying the radius.



9th International Fermi Symposium













- Pesce Rollins et al. 2015, Ackermann et al., 2017
- i.e. Vestrand & Forrest 1993, Barat et al. 1994, Vilmer et al. 1999,...



• Fermi-LAT is providing detections of >100MeV emission from footpoint occulted flares;

• Gamma-ray emission up to 100 MeV has been detected before from behind-the-limb flares:





- Pesce Rollins et al. 2015, Ackermann et al., 2017
- Gamma-ray emission up to 100 MeV has been detected before from behind-the-limb flares: - i.e. Vestrand & Forrest 1993, Barat et al. 1994, Vilmer et al. 1999,...







• Fermi-LAT is providing detections of >100MeV emission from footpoint occulted flares;

Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC



- 10° behind the eastern limb;
- RHESSI emission consistent with loop top;
- SEP particles with E>=700 MeV detected;

Lack of prompt emission: gamma ray emitting region occulted!

- 43° behind the eastern limb;
- Bright LAT emission lasting ~2 hr;

Pesce Rollins et al. 2015, Ackermann et al., 2017





Population studies and correlations with other catalogs

9th International Fermi Symposium



Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC



Connection with GOES flares



All flares are associated to GOES, and for the BTL we use the estimated equivalent class from STEREO fluxes

Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC





Connection with GOES flares



9th International Fermi Symposium

All flares are associated to GOES, and for the BTL we use the estimated equivalent class from STEREO fluxes

There doesn't seem to be any strong requirement on the **GOES** flare flux for a **FLSF delayed or prompt**











Which Solar Flares does Fermi LAT detect?





Distribution of the FLSF active regions



9th International Fermi Symposium



0.04

FSF: North 64%, South: 36% XRT: North 38%, South 62%

Nicola Omodei – Stanford/KIPAC





DAILY SUNSPOT AREA AVERAGED OVER INDIVIDUAL SOLAR ROTATIONS



9th International Fermi Symposium









The "butterfly effect" as seen by Fermi LAT



DAILY SUNSPOT AREA AVERAGED OVER INDIVIDUAL SOLAR ROTATIONS

	Total	2010 - 2014	2014 - 2018
	773	384	389
	96	61	35
S	42	30	12
	45	33	12



Possible Scenario - Prompt





- Conduction Front
- Slow Shock "blob" "hump"
- al. 1993);
- expected;
- Not observed during the sustained emission;

9th International Fermi Symposium





Trap-precipitation of HE particles produced during the impulsive phase via magnetic reconnection (Kanbach et

In coulomb collision, the trap efficiency increases with energy, and a gradual hardening of the spectrum is

- **Continuous acceleration at flare** reconnection region via Stochastic acceleration (Petrosian & Liu 2004);
- Accelerated particle spectra become softer as turbulence weakens;
- Can explain the spectral evolution seen;







Possible Scenario - Delayed



9th International Fermi Symposium



Omodei et al. 2015 (arXiv:1502.03895)

- Acceleration at the CME shock
 - Acceleration at the shock front (~2 solar radii)
 - Trapping and precipitation along large field lines
 - Explain BTL flares (as in **Cliver et al., 1993)**
 - Correlation with CME speed & SEP







Possible Scenario - Delayed



- Acceleration at the CME shock
 - Acceleration at the shock front (~2 solar radii)
 - Trapping and precipitation along large field lines
 - Explain BTL flares (as in **Cliver et al., 1993)**
 - Correlation with CME speed & SEP





- Fermi-LAT is providing valuable observations to understand particle acceleration, transport and gamma-ray emission in Solar Flares;
- Comprehensive study of high-energy solar flares: First Fermi-LAT Solar Flare Catalog (FLSF) Distinct phases observed (prompt vs delayed);

 - Prompt emission observed during on-disc flares suggests acceleration at the flare site
 - Long emission: Correlation with CME stronger than correlation with GOES X-ray peak flux
- <u>Behind the limb flares: acceleration site likely to be the CME shock, as suggested by Cliver et al.</u> (1993), Pesce-Rollins et al. (2015), and Plotnikov et al. (2017)



M. Ajello et al 2021 ApJS 252 13 https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/abd32e https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.10010







- Fermi-LAT is providing valuable observations to understand particle acceleration, transport and gamma-ray emission in Solar Flares;
- Comprehensive study of high-energy solar flares: First Fermi-LAT Solar Flare Catalog (FLSF) Distinct phases observed (prompt vs delayed);

 - Prompt emission observed during on-disc flares suggests acceleration at the flare site
 - Long emission: Correlation with CME stronger than correlation with GOES X-ray peak flux
- <u>Behind the limb flares: acceleration site likely to be the CME shock, as suggested by Cliver et al.</u> (1993), Pesce-Rollins et al. (2015), and Plotnikov et al. (2017)



M. Ajello *et al* 2021 *ApJS* 252 13 https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/abd32e https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.10010





Fermi • FSSC • HEASA Sciences and Explora





- Fermi-LAT is providing valuable observations to understand particle acceleration, transport and gamma-ray emission in Solar Flares;
- Comprehensive study of high-energy solar flares: First Fermi-LAT Solar Flare Catalog (FLSF) Distinct phases observed (prompt vs delayed);

 - Prompt emission observed during on-disc flares suggests acceleration at the flare site
 - Long emission: Correlation with CME stronger than correlation with GOES X-ray peak flux
- <u>Behind the limb flares: acceleration site likely to be the CME shock, as suggested by Cliver et al.</u> (1993), Pesce-Rollins et al. (2015), and Plotnikov et al. (2017)



M. Ajello *et al* 2021 *ApJS* 252 13 https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/abd32e https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.10010

https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/FLSF/





Fermi • FSSC • HEASA Sciences and Explora



NASA



Solar Energetic Particles (SEP), Solar Modulation and Space Radiation: New Opportunities in the AMS-02 Era #3

www.nasa.gov/fermi







Huge field of view (LAT: 20% of the sky at any instant) Good energy resolution (<15% >100 MeV) **Good Point Spread Function** (~1° at 1 GeV) Large effective area $(>1 \text{ GeV is } \sim 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ on axis})$ GBM: whole *unocculted* sky at any time. Huge energy range, including largely unexplored band 10 GeV -100 GeV. Total of >7 energy decades!

20 MeV - >300 GeV



Huge field of view (LAT: 20% of the sky at any instant) Good energy resolution (<15% >100 MeV) **Good Point Spread Function** (~1° at 1 GeV) Large effective area $(>1 \text{ GeV is } \sim 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ on axis})$ GBM: whole *unocculted* sky at any time. Huge energy range, including largely unexplored band 10 GeV -100 GeV. Total of >7 energy decades!

Large AreaTelescope (LAT) 20 MeV - >300 GeV



Huge field of view (LAT: 20% of the sky at any instant) Good energy resolution (<15% >100 MeV) **Good Point Spread Function** (~1° at 1 GeV) Large effective area $(>1 \text{ GeV is } \sim 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ on axis})$ GBM: whole *unocculted* sky at any time. Huge energy range, including largely unexplored band 10 GeV -100 GeV. Total of >7 energy decades!

> Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) Nal and BGO Detectors 8 keV - 40 MeV

Large AreaTelescope (LAT) 20 MeV - >300 GeV



Huge field of view (LAT: 20% of the sky at any instant) Good energy resolution (<15% >100 MeV) **Good Point Spread Function** (~1° at 1 GeV) Large effective area $(>1 \text{ GeV is } \sim 8000 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ on axis})$ GBM: whole *unocculted* sky at any time. Huge energy range, including largely unexplored band 10 GeV -100 GeV. Total of >7 energy decades!

> Gamma-ray Burst Monitor (GBM) Nal and BGO Detectors 8 keV - 40 MeV

Large AreaTelescope (LAT) 20 MeV - >300 GeV

Sun is in average seen 30 minutes every 3 hours

Launched in 2008, continuously monitors the sky





 10^{-2} S \sim 10^{-3} L 10⁻⁴ 10:00

Association with fast CME



10:00 CME speed ~ 1900 km s⁻¹ 193: 09/01 AIA.







 10^{-2} S \sim 10^{-3} L 10⁻⁴ 10:00

Association with fast CME



10:00 CME speed ~ 1900 km s⁻¹ 193: 09/01 AIA.









- The sun is a steady, faint source of gamma-rays (produced by the interactions of CR with the solar atmosphere and with the solar radiation field);
- High-energy emission (up to GeV) has been observed during solar flares:
 - In the past decades, only two long-lived (hours long) gamma-ray emissions were observed by EGRET (e.g. Kanbach et al., 1993, Ryan et al. 2000)
 - It was unclear where, when, how the high-energy (HE) particles responsible for gamma-ray emission are accelerated
 - EGRET was saturated during the brightest emission
 - No precise localization available





The Likelihood analysis and the "Light bucket" by Share et al.

Gamma-ray Space Telescope 2011-03-07 + Likelihood - Flux 🛃 Likelihood - Flux UL 03-07 00 03-07 06 03-07 12 03-07 18 03-08 00 03-08 06 03-08 12 03-08 18 03-09 00 03-09 06 03-09 12 2011-00-0 Light Bucket - Flux Likelihood - Flux Likelihood - Flux U 06-06 15 06-06 21 06-07 03 06-07 09 06-07 15 06-07 21 06-08 03 06-08 09 2011-09-08 Light Bucket - Flux 10-Likelihood - Flux Likelihood - Flux U Ă 10^{−6} 09-07 18 09-07 20 09-07 22 09-08 00 09-08 02 09-08 04 09-08 06 09-08 08 09-08 10 09-08 12 2012-05-17 Light Bucket - Flux Likelihood - Flux Likelihood - Flux UL

Dermi





- The "light bucket" has some issues: - The background is not fitted (and therefore the flux for dim flares is largely overestimated)
 - The exposure is calculated with an assumed (not fitted) spectral model: this can explain the discrepancy saw with bright fluxes

9th International Fermi Symposium











Longest emission





















9th International Fe



- If the gamma-ray production is associated with CME shock, a tight correlation between type II radio burst and gamma-ray propertied should exist.
- Gopalswamy et al. (2018) indeed study this using the duration from the Share et al. 2017 paper.
- Share et al. 2017 are systematically longer than the ones in this work:
- The resulting best fit line has a softer slope of 0.5±0.1 compared to the 0.9±0.1 reported in Gopalswamy et al. (2018).







Credit: A. Shih











Credit: A. Shih

- Light curve data is sparse.
- We interpolate between data and UL with simple assumption.







 Peak flux of the prompt phase > Peak flux of the delayed phase

9th International Fermi Symposium









- Peak flux of the prompt phase > Peak flux of the delayed phase
- Energy released in the delated phase > Energy released in the prompt phase

Quantifying the prompt phase and delayed phase















SOL 2017-09-10 shows multiple components



9th International Fermi Symposium







SOL 2017-09-10 shows multiple components



9th International Fermi Symposium



