Spectral density for a discretized continuum



O.A. Rubtsova, V.N. Pomerantsev Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University



LXXI International Conference Nucleus 2021 23 September 2021

L² discretization

$H \mid \Psi \rangle = E \mid \Psi \rangle$

Expansion of the w.f. over some basis:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} C_n |\phi_n\rangle, \ \left\langle \phi_n \left| \phi_k \right\rangle = I_{nk}\right\rangle$$

The eigenvalue problem: det $||H_{nn'} - EI_{nn'}|| = 0$

Discrete set of energies:

Unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0 : $\{E_j^0\}, j = 1, ..., N$ Total Hamiltonian $H=H_0+V$: $\{E_j\}, j = 1, ..., N$

The main question: How to extract scattering information from discrete eigenvalues representing continuum.

Spectral shift function

The spectral shift function corresponds to a pair of operators H_0 and $H=H_0+V$:

$$\operatorname{Tr}[F(H) - F(H_0)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F'(E)\xi(E)dE \qquad \text{the trace formula}$$

Relation to S-matrix: det $S(E) = \exp(-2\pi i\xi(E)) \quad \Box > \quad \delta(E) = -\pi\xi(E)$



Spectral shift function for a model Hamiltonian with 3 bound states

Spectral density

Spectral density for a Hamiltonian with discrete spectrum:

$$\rho_b(E) = \operatorname{Tr}[\delta(E - H_d)] = \sum_{n=1}^{N_b} \delta(E - E_n)$$
, E_n – eigen energies

Continuum level density:

$$\Delta(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \operatorname{Im} \left[E + i0 - H \right]^{-1} - \operatorname{Im} \left[E + i0 - H_0 \right]^{-1} \right\}$$

'Naive' definition:

$$\Delta(E) = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\delta(E - H) - \delta(E - H_0) \right] \Leftrightarrow \rho(E) - \rho_0(E)$$

Relation to the SSF and phase shift ϕ : $\Delta(E) = -\frac{d\xi(E)}{dE} \qquad \left(\Delta(E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d\phi(E)}{dE}\right)$

Thus, the SSF can be considered as integrated continuum level density:

$$\xi(E) = -\int_{-\infty}^{E} \Delta(E') dE' \qquad \Delta(E')$$

 $\Delta(E)$ includes a bound-state contribution.

Discretized continuum

Separate spectral densities can be defined:

$$\rho_{0d}(E) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta\left(E - E_{j}^{0}\right) \quad \text{for } H_{0} \text{ and } \quad \rho_{d}(E) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \delta\left(E - E_{j}\right) \quad \text{for } H$$

Integrated densities of states (IDS):

$$J_{0}(E) = \int_{-\infty}^{E} \rho_{0d}(E')dE' = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \theta(E - E_{j}^{0}), \quad J_{0}(E_{j}^{0}) = j \qquad J(E) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \theta(E - E_{j}), \quad J(E_{j}) = j$$

One may consider the differences:

$$\rho_d(E) - \rho_{0d}(E) \to \Delta(E)$$
$$- [J(E) - J_0(E)] \to \xi(E)$$

But they do not contain any information about scattering.

The main idea: to construct smooth functions instead of the step-like ones: $J(E) \rightarrow X(E)$.





Quasi-continuous spectrum (I.M. Lifshits, 1947)

For the initial Hamiltonian H_0 with continuous spectrum, one considers a family of operators $H_0(\alpha)$ (α is a small parameter) with discrete spectra:

$$E_{j}^{0(\alpha)} = \lambda_{0}(j\alpha) + O(\alpha), \quad D_{j}^{(\alpha)} \equiv E_{j+1}^{0(\alpha)} - E_{j}^{0(\alpha)} = \alpha \left| \frac{d\lambda_{0}(u)}{du} \right|_{u=j\alpha} + O(\alpha)$$

- eigenvalues belong to some smooth monotonous function;
- one may consider a limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

It has been shown by I.M. Lifshits, the EVs for the total Hamiltonian $H(\alpha)=H_0(\alpha)+V$ are related to EVs of unperturbed Hamiltonian: the SSF

$$E_{j}^{(\alpha)} = E_{j}^{0(\alpha)} + \alpha \frac{d\lambda_{0}(u)}{du} \bigg|_{u=j\alpha} \xi_{j}^{(\alpha)}, \qquad \xi_{j}^{(\alpha)} \to \xi(E_{j})$$

Can be considered as the Taylor expansion: $E_j^{(\alpha)} = \lambda(\alpha j) \approx \lambda_0 \left(\alpha \left[j + \xi_j \right] \right)$

Functions X_0 and X can be defined as an inverse functions:

$$\alpha X_0^{(\alpha)}(E) \equiv \lambda_0^{-1}(E) \qquad \qquad \alpha X^{(\alpha)}(E) \equiv \lambda^{-1}(E)$$

This leads to the same expression for the SSF via the integrated densities:

$$\alpha \Big[X^{(\alpha)}(E) + \xi^{(\alpha)}(E) \Big] = \lambda_0^{-1}(E) = \alpha X_0(E) \implies \xi^{(\alpha)}(E) = -\Big(X^{(\alpha)}(E) - X_0^{(\alpha)}(E) \Big)$$

Spectral densities

The spectral shift function:

and the phase shift:

 $\xi^{(\alpha)}(E) = -\left(X^{(\alpha)}(E) - X^{(\alpha)}_0(E)\right) \qquad \delta^{(\alpha)}(E) = \pi\left(X^{(\alpha)}(E) - X^{(\alpha)}_0(E)\right)$

One can also define separate spectral densities:



The functions X, X_0 and ρ, ρ_0 do not correspond to initial spectra. They depend on the function λ_0 . However, the limits for the functions ξ and Δ do exist, so one may expect that they will 'converge' to exact functions when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. The properties of functions X and X_0

$$\delta^{(\alpha)}(E) = \pi \left(X^{(\alpha)}(E) - X^{(\alpha)}_0(E) \right)$$

$$X^{(\alpha)}(E_j) = j \longrightarrow \delta^{(\alpha)}(E_j) = \pi j - \pi X_0^{(\alpha)}(E_j), \quad j = n_b + 1, \dots$$

At the points of the total Hamiltonian's spectrum, the phase shifts are defined via the function X_0 only!

By using an expansion of X_0 at the point E_k^0 which is closest to E_j and

$$\frac{dX_0(E_k^0)}{dE} = \left[\frac{dE_0(x=k)}{dx}\right]^{-1} \approx \frac{1}{D_k}$$

$$\delta^{(\alpha)}(E_j) \approx \pi(j-k) + \pi \frac{E_k^0 - E_j}{D_k}$$



Cases when X₀ is known explicitly

$$\delta(E) = \pi \left(X(E) - X_0(E) \right) \implies \delta(E_j) = j\pi - \pi X_0(E_j)$$

The J-matrix approach – the SS-HORSE method (A.M. Shirokov et al., PRC 2016):



a

$$\delta(E_{nl}) = f_{Nl}(E_{nl}), \quad f_{Nl}(E) \equiv -\tan^{-1} \frac{S_{N+1,l}(E)}{C_{N+1,l}(E)} \quad X_0^{(N)}(E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{S_{N+1,l}(E)}{C_{N+1,l}(E)}$$

The function $X(E)$ can be also calculated in the HORSE method.

In the R-matrix method: $\delta_i(E) = m(E) - \phi_i(E)$

$$\delta_l(E) = \eta_l(E) - \phi_l(E)$$

$$\phi_l(E) = \tan^{-1} \frac{j_l(ka)}{n_l(ka)}, \quad k = \sqrt{2mE} \quad \text{hard sphere phase shift}$$

$$\delta^{(a)}(E_{nl}) = -\pi X_0^{(a)}(E_{nl}) = -\phi_l(E_{nl}) \quad \begin{array}{l} E_{nl} - \text{ energies of states for which R-matrix is diagonal} \end{array}$$

Within the both methods, the charged particle scattering can be considered as well.

Multiple Gaussian bases

Radial functions: $\varphi_j(r) = A_{jl}r^l \exp(-\beta_j r^2), \ j = 1,...,N$

Scale parameters:

$$\beta_{j} = g_{N}(j), \quad \beta_{j} = \beta_{0} \left(\tan \left[\frac{j}{N+1} \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \right)^{t} \qquad \text{Eigenvalue problems for } H_{0} \text{ and } H:$$

$$\det \parallel H_{nn'} - EI_{nn'} \parallel = 0 \Rightarrow \left\{ E_{j}^{0} \right\}_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ E_{j} \right\}_{j=1}^{N}$$

Consider a set of *M* bases with shifted scale parameters:

$$\left[\beta_{j}^{m} = g_{N}\left(j + a_{m} - 1\right), j = 1, \dots, N\right]_{m=1}^{M}, \quad 0 < a_{1} < \dots < a_{M} < 1$$

This imitates continuous dependence:

$$\beta(x) = g_N(x), \quad 0 < x \le N$$

The eigenvalues (found from M eigenvalue problems) have the similar property - dependence on common index *x*:

$$E_j^{0m} = \lambda_0(x\alpha), \quad x = j + a_m - 1$$
$$\alpha \sim \frac{1}{N+1}$$



Case of non-integer indices

Thus one can construct the ISD as follows:

$$X_{0}^{(N)}\left(E_{j}^{0m}\right) = j + a_{m} - 1, \qquad j = 1, \dots, N$$

$$m = 1, \dots, M$$
(to be compared with $X_{0}^{(N)}\left(E_{j}^{0}\right) = j$)

Integrated density of states reconstructed from 20 Gaussian bases

The same procedure for the spectrum of H: $X^{(N)}(E_k^m) = k + a_m - 1$

The generalized relation for the phase shift:

$$\delta^{(N)}(E_k^m) = \pi \left[k + a_m - 1 - X_0^{(N)}(E_k^m) \right], \quad 1 \le k \le N, \quad 1 \le m \le M$$





There is one bound state, and two resonances for this potential.

E(x) has a 'plato' in the resonance region similarly to the stabilization approach



(pole position: $E=1.632 - i \ 0.123$ a.u. [H.A. Yamani, 1993])

Case of narrow resonance

$$\Delta(E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d\delta(E)}{dE} \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma/2}{\left(E - E_R\right)^2 + \Gamma^2/4}$$

The phase shift changes rapidly on the interval of energies ${\bf \sim}\Gamma$

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d\delta(E)}{dE} \approx \frac{dX(E)}{dE}$$

$$\frac{dE(x)}{dx} \approx \pi \frac{\Gamma/2}{\cos^2 \pi (x - x_R)}$$

The shape does not depend on Γ

$$E_R = 0.31009 \text{ a.u.}, \Gamma = 3 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ a.u.}$$



p+12C scattering

Unperturbed Hamiltonian includes Coulomb interaction:

$$H_0 = T + \frac{6e^2}{r}$$

Short-range nuclear potential:

$$V(r) = V_0 \exp(-(r/r_0)^2),$$
 L=0



There is one forbidden state

 $E_R = 0.415$ MeV and $\Gamma = 37$ keV

Coupled channel scattering

Total Hamiltonian: $H_{\nu\nu'} = H_{0\nu} \delta_{\nu\nu'} + V_{\nu\nu'}, \quad \nu, \nu' = 1, ..., N$

It has been shown (O.A.R., V.N.P. et al. PRC 2010) that if the discretized spectrum of ${f H}_0$ is degenerated then one can divide the discretized spectrum of the total Hamiltonian ${f H}$ into different branches corresponding to the eigen channels of scattering.

In such a case functions $X_0(E)$ are the same for each channel.

By collecting energies from each branch one can reconstruct functions $X_{\nu}(E)$.

The eigen phases can be found from differences:

$$\delta_{\nu}(E) = \pi \big(X_{\nu}(E) - X_{0}(E) \big)$$



However, the question how to reconstruct X in a general case (without a degeneracy of the unperturbed discretized spectrum) is still open.

Summary

- The formalism with smooth spectral densities and integrated densities has been introduced for discretized continuum.
- Spectral shift function formalism is quite suitable for studying discretized spectrum within different approaches.
- The multiple bases of Gaussians (MBG) allow to work with much more dense discretized spectrum.

Further development

- Multi-channel problem for non-degenerated discretized spectrum.
- The MBG might be useful for three- and few-body scattering calculations within approaches which employ integral equation formalism.

Talk of M.N. Platonova: Dibaryon resonances and three-body forces in large-angle pd scattering at intermediate energies Friday, Section 1, 15:20

Thank you for your attention!

