Study of neutron channels properties of light neutron-rich nuclei within the framework of ab initio approaches

> D. M. Rodkin^{1,3} Yu. M. Tchuvil'sky^{1,2}

¹Dukhov Research Institute for Automatics, Moscow, Russia

²Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

> ³Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (state university)

At present, light stable nuclei have been well studied experimentally. Their stable and resonance states and the partial and total decay widths into different decay channels are well known.

Thus, the focus of current researches has shifted towards studying the characteristics of unstable nuclei, in particular, light neutron-rich nuclei. Their properties are still mostly unknown.

In particular only three	$E_{\rm X}~({\rm MeV})$	J^{π} ; T	$\Gamma_{\rm cm}$	Decay
lowest levels of the unstable	g.s.	$(\frac{3}{2})^{-}; \frac{3}{2}$	$150 \pm 20 \text{ keV}^{a}$	n
⁷ He nucleus are known	$2.92\pm0.09^{\text{a}}$	$(\frac{5}{2}); \frac{3}{2}a$	$1990\pm170~keV^{a}$	n
The nucleus are known.	$(5.8 \pm 0.3)^{a}$		$4 \pm 1 \text{ MeV}^{a}$	n

In this report, we use the theoretical method developed by us for studying the characteristics of neutron decay channels in unstable neutron-rich ⁷He and ¹⁰Li nuclei.

Methods for computation of light nuclei cluster characteristics

Traditional microscopic approaches:	Ab initio methods:
1) Phenomenological NN-potentials	1) Realistic NN-potentials, based on the data of nucleon-nucleon scattering and on
2) The use of free fitting parameters	chiral effective field theory
3) Wave functions of the nucleus and subsystems are described using minimal	2) Realistic description of nuclei and clusters wave functions
shell model configurations.	3) Due to extreme growth of Slater
 Possibility of accurate description of far asymptotic region. 	determinants basis there is a hard limit on mass of described nuclei
 2) The use of free fitting parameters 3) Wave functions of the nucleus and subsystems are described using minimal shell model configurations. 4) Possibility of accurate description of far asymptotic region. 	 of nucleon-nucleon scattering and on chiral effective field theory 2) Realistic description of nuclei and clur wave functions 3) Due to extreme growth of Slater determinants basis there is a hard limit of mass of described nuclei

Current ab initio methods for describing the light nuclei structure and reactions with their participation have limited areas of applicability, which means that further work in this area is required. Most of the developed ab initio methods are based either on the No-Core Shell Model (NCSM) or on the Gamow Shell Model (GSM).

Main properties of NCSM model

The No-Core Shell Model approach is as follows: 1) The NCSM basis consists of A-nucleon Slater determinants: $\psi_i = \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{n_l l_1 j_1 m_1}(r_1) & \dots & \psi_{n_A l_A j_A m_A}(r_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \psi_{n_l l_1 j_l m_1}(r_A) & \dots & \psi_{n_A l_A j_A m_A}(r_A) \end{vmatrix}$

Basis restrictions are set by the condition $\sum_{k=1}^{A} 2n_k + l_k \le N_{\max}^{sum}$.

2) On this basis, the A-nucleon Schrödinger equation is solved

$$H\psi = E\psi, \psi = \sum_{i} c_{i}\psi_{i}, H = T + U$$

3) The solution to this equation is equivalent to the problem of finding the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the matrix

On modern supercomputers, it is possible to achieve the dimension of the basis 10^{10} .



4) For calculating eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of this matrix, the iterative Lanczos algorithm is usually used.

5) As a result, using this approach can perform ab initio calculations of the total binding energies, spectra, and wave functions of the ground and lower excited states of light nuclei.

6) Ab initio calculation of the light nuclei wave functions makes it possible to obtain the widths of electromagnetic transitions, beta decays, and the values of magnetic and quadrupole moments better than in other theoretical approaches.

DIFFICULTIES: The excessive growth of the Slater determinant basis in the description of mass-average nuclei or long-range asymptotics of light nuclei wave functions, limited methods for calculating cluster characteristics and, as a consequence, problems in calculating both nuclear reactions and characteristics like charge and material radii.



5

CCOFM Method

Cluster Channel Orthogonal Functions Method (CCOFM) can be used for ab initio calculations of various nuclear characteristics: :

CCOFM

Ab initio nuclear spectra calculations in clustered and combined bases. Calculations of cluster and asymptotic properties of ground and lower excited states:

- 1) spectroscopic factors
- 2) nucleon and cluster formfactors
- 3) partial decay widths of resonances
- 4) asymptotic normalizing coefficients of

6

bound states



Construction of cluster components of the CCOFM basis

The translation-invariant A-nucleon wave function is used as an element of the CCOFM cluster basis

$$\Psi_{A}^{i} = \frac{1}{W} A \{ \Psi_{A_{1},J_{1}} \Psi_{A_{2},J_{2}} \varphi_{nl}(\rho) \}_{JM_{J}},$$

For ab initio calculations, the cluster basis WF should be represented as a superposition of Slater determinants (SD):

$$\Psi^{i}_{A} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{size}} C^{i}_{j} \psi_{j}, N_{size} \approx N^{\max}_{NCSM}$$

The method for constructing the cluster basis WF:

 $\Phi_{000}^{A_i}(R_i)\Psi_{A_i}$ -NCSM solutions for separate clusters with zero vibrations along the center of mass coordinate

Obtaining the WF of clusters with non-zero vibrations along the center of mass coordinates $\Phi_{NLM}^{A_i}(R_i)\Psi_{A_i}$ as linear combinations of SD using the method of cluster coefficients

Calculation of the cluster basis wavefunctions using the Talmi-Moshinsky transformation

$$\Psi_{A}^{i} = \frac{1}{W} A \left\{ \sum_{N_{1}, L_{1}, M_{1}, N_{2}, L_{2}, M_{2}} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} 000 \\ nlm \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} N_{1}, L_{1}, M_{1} \\ N_{2}, L_{2}, M_{2} \end{array} \right\rangle \Phi_{N_{1}, L_{1}, M_{1}}^{A_{1}}(R_{1}) \Psi_{A_{1}, J_{1}} \Phi_{N_{2}, L_{2}, M_{2}}^{A_{2}}(R_{2}) \Psi_{A_{2}, J_{2}} \right\}_{JM}$$



3) Wavefunctions are orthogonalized separately for each channel

$$\Psi_{A}^{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{i}}} A\{\{\Psi_{A_{1}}^{J_{1}M_{1}}\Psi_{A_{2}}^{J_{2}M_{2}}\}_{SM_{S}} \varphi_{ilm}(\rho)\}_{JM_{J}}, \varphi_{ilm}(\rho) = \sum_{n} B_{n}^{i}\varphi_{nlm}(\rho)$$

4) Projection of initial nuclei wavefunction Ψ_{base} into concrete decay channel (obtaining the spectroscopic amplitude)

$$C_{MDC}^{nl} = \left\langle \Psi_{base} \left| \Psi_{A}^{nlJ_{1}J_{2}SJ} \right\rangle \right.$$

5) Obtaining the cluster formfactor and spectroscopic factor as its normalization.

$$F_{l}(\rho) = \sum_{n} A_{n}^{l} \varphi_{nl}(\rho), A_{n}^{l} = \sum_{i,n'} (\varepsilon_{i})^{-1/2} C_{MDC}^{n'l} B_{nl}^{i} B_{n'l}^{i}, S_{l} = \sum_{n} (A_{n}^{l})^{2}.$$



8

Calculation of asymptotic characteristics of nuclei decay channels

1) Calculations of narrow neutron resonances

In this case, in a sufficiently wide sub-barrier region, the nuclear attraction is negligible.

For all points of this region, the relation $n_i(\mathbf{r}) >> \mathbf{j}_i(\mathbf{r})$ takes place.

This means that the contribution of the regular function can be neglected.

To determine the matching point R_{point} of ab initio WF with an asymptotic two-body solution, the following equation is $\frac{F'_l(r)}{F_l(r)} = \frac{n'_l(r)}{n_l(r)}$ used:

Then the partial width of the neutron resonance of this channel is $\Gamma = \frac{h^2}{\mu k} \left(\frac{F_l(R_{point})}{n_l(R_{point})}\right)^2$

2) Calculations of cluster and proton resonances decay width

For cluster and proton channels, the Coulomb functions are used instead of the Bessel and Neumann functions.



3) Calculations of asymptotic normalizing coefficients (ANC) of bound states

$$ANC = \frac{rF_l(r)}{W_{-\eta,l+1/2}(2kr)}$$

4) Calculations of the wide or high-lying resonances widths

For high-lying resonances in the matching region, the contribution of the regular function $j_l(r)$ cannot be neglected, and the width is calculated within the framework of one of the versions of the R-matrix theory, where the matching radius is determined by reaching the plateau of the value

$$\left(F_l^2(\rho_m)+G_l^2(\rho_m)\right)^{-1}\left(\Phi_A^{c_{\kappa}}(\rho_m)\right)^2$$

And the partial width, in turn, is equal to:

$$\Gamma = \frac{\hbar^2}{\mu k_0} \Big(F_l^2(\rho_m) + G_l^2(\rho_m) \Big)^{-1} \Big(\Phi_A^{c_{\kappa}}(\rho_m) \Big)^2$$



Application of the CCOFM method for calculating the asymptotic characteristics of light nuclei

The developed CCOFM method makes it possible to calculate both the values of the total binding energies and spectroscopic factors of light nuclei, and their asymptotic characteristics.

For an oscillatory basis, the range of distances, where the solutions of the Schrödinger equation are correctly described, expands proportionally to $[N^{max}_{tot}]^{1/2}$.

In this regard, the microscopic description of cluster channels at distances where the asymptotic representation is valid requires an extremely highdimensional basis.

Therefore, the cutoff parameter (the maximum total number of oscillatory quanta of the Slater determinant used in the basis) for the ⁷He nucleus and positive parity states is 16, and the corresponding SD basis is 2.2×10^7 , and for the ¹⁰Li nucleus and negative parity states, respectively, 16 and 6.5×10^8 .



Application of extrapolation methods to refine the total binding energies of nuclear levels

The calculations of the ⁷He and ¹⁰Li spectra were carried out on the NCSM bases of large dimensions, but the complete convergence of the total binding energies of the levels has not yet been achieved. It should also be noted that the values of the partial decay widths depend on the value of the penetrability function at the convergence radius:

$$P_l(\rho_m) = (F_l^2(\rho_m) + G_l^2(\rho_m))^{-1/2}$$

For unstable nuclei, it is difficult to accurately determine the energies of resonance levels by experimental methods; therefore, it is necessary to refine the results of theoretical calculations, which is done using extrapolation methods. The extrapolation function for the total binding energy depends on five free parameters E_{∞} , a, c, d, k_{∞} and additional parameters:

$$b = \sqrt{\hbar / m\omega}, \quad \Lambda_i = b^{-1} \sqrt{2 \left(N_{tot,i}^{*\max} + 3/2 \right)}, \quad L_i = b \sqrt{2 \left(N_{tot,i}^{*\max} + 3/2 \right)},$$
$$L_t = L_i + 0.54437 \cdot b \cdot \left(L_{i=0} / b \right)^{-1/3}.$$
$$E_{state} \left(N_{tot,i}^{*\max}, \hbar \omega \right) = E_{\infty} + a \cdot \exp(-c \Lambda_i^2) + d \cdot \exp(-2k_{\infty}L_t).$$



Experimentally-known levels of ⁷He and ¹⁰Li nuclei

$E_{\mathbf{X}}$ ((MeV)	$J^{\pi}; T$	Г _{ст}	$\Gamma_{\rm cm}$		
Į.	g.s.	$(\frac{3}{2})^{-};$	$\frac{3}{2}$ 150 ± 20	keV ^a	n	
2.92 :	± 0.09 ^a	$(\frac{5}{2}); \frac{3}{2}$	a 1990 ± 170) keV ^a	n	
(5.8 :	± 0.3) ^a		4 ± 1 N	leV ^a	n	
Experimentally-known levels of ⁷ He						
_						
	$E_{\rm res}$ (N	leV) ^b	$\Gamma_{\rm lab}~({\rm MeV})$	$J^{\pi c}$		
	$0.24 \pm$	0.04	0.10 ± 0.07	(1+)		
	$0.53 \pm$: 0.06	0.35 ± 0.08	(2^+)		
	$1.40 \pm$: 0.08	0.20 ± 0.07	$(2^{-} + 1^{-})$	-)	
	$2.35 \pm$: 0.10	1.2 ± 0.4	$(1^+, 3^+)$)	
	$2.85 \pm$: 0.07	0.3 ± 0.2	$(1^{-}, 2^{+})$)	
	$4.19 \pm$: 0.10	0.12 ± 0.08			
	$4.64 \pm$: 0.10	0.2 ± 0.1	$(3^{-}, 2^{+})$)	
	$5.7 \pm$: 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1			

E_{ros} ^a	Γ _{res}	Reaction	Reference	J^{π}
(MeV)	(MeV)			
≤ 0.05		$^{nat}C(^{11}Be, ^{9}Li + n)X$	(1995ZI03)	
≤ 0.05		⁹ Be(¹⁸ O, ⁹ Li + n)X	(1999TH01)	
≤ 0.05		⁹ Be(¹¹ Be, X) ¹⁰ Li	(2001CH31)	
≤ 0.05		⁹ Be(¹¹ Be, X) ¹⁰ Li	(2001CH46)	
< 0.1	< 0.23	11B(7Li, 8B)10Li	(1994YO01)	
0.1 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	¹¹ B(π ⁻ , p) ¹⁰ Li	(1998GO30)	(1-)
0.15 ± 0.15	< 0.4	¹¹ B(π ⁻ , p) ¹⁰ Li	(1990AM05)	
≤ 0.15		natC(18O, 9Li)X	(1993KR09)	
see ^{b,c}				
0.24 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.07	¹⁰ Be(¹² C, ¹² N) ¹⁰ Li	(1999BO26)	1+
(0.35 ± 0.11) ^d	< 0.32	² H(⁹ Li, p) ¹⁰ Li	(2003SA07)	

Different versions of the energies of the lowest resonances of ¹⁰Li

Experimentally-known levels of ¹⁰Li



Comparison of the theoretically calculated and experimentally obtained energies of the ⁶He and ⁷He levels

J^{π}	$E_{exp.}$ [73]	$E_{th.}^{lim.}$	$E_{th.}^{extr.}$	$E^*_{exp.}$	$E_{th.}^{*lim.}$	$E_{th.}^{*extr.}$
0_{1}^{+}	29.269	29.239	29.397	0	0	0
2_{1}^{+}	27.472	27.199	27.533	1.797	2.040	1.864
2^{+}_{2}	23.7	24.161	25.856	5.6	5.087	3.541

Energies, spin, and parities of ⁶He levels

J^{π}	$E_{exp.}$ [73]	$E_{th.}^{lim.}$	$E_{th.}^{extr.}$	$E_n^{extr.}$					
$3/2_1^-$	28.83^{a}	28.625	28.850	547					
$1/2_{1}^{+}$		26.057	27.701	1696					
$1/2_{1}^{-}$		25.864	27.079	2318					
$5/2_{1}^{-}$	25.91^{b}	24.743	25.960	3437					
$3/2_{1}^{+}$		24.115	25.905	3492					
$5/2_1^+$		23.937	25.833	3564					
$3/2^2$	_	23.966	25.455	3921					
a) $- E_n^{exp.} = 430$ keV b) $- E_n^{exp.} = 3360$ keV									
Ener	Energies, spin, and parities of ⁷ He levels								



Comparison of the theoretically calculated and experimentally obtained energies of the ⁹Li and ¹⁰Li states

J^{π}	$E_{exp.}$	$E_{th.}^{lim.}$	$E_{th.}^{extr.}$	$E^*_{exp.}$	$E_{th.}^{*lim.}$	$E_{th.}^{*extr.}$
$3/2_{1}^{-}$	44.559 (45.340)	44.699	45.084	0.0	0.0	0.0
$1/2_1^-$	$41.868 \\ (42.649)$	41.274	41.886	2.691	3.425	3.198
				_		

Energies, spin, and parities of ⁹Li levels

J^{π}	$E_{exp.}$	$E_{th.}^{lim.}$	$E_{th.}^{extr.}$	$E_{th.}^{n,extr.}$
1_{1}^{+}	$\begin{array}{c} 44.139 \\ (44.920) \end{array}$	43.166	44.133	0.951
2^1		41.622	43.697	1.387
2_{1}^{+}	43.840	42.614	43.660	1.424
1_1^-		40.696	43.111	1.973
0_{1}^{+}		40.178	42.445	2.639
1_2^-		38.981	41.788	3.296
2^{+}_{2}		37.941	41.064	4.020
1_{2}^{+}		38.511	40.883	4.201
4_{1}^{-}		38.802	40.790	4.294
0^1		38.248	40.782	4.302
3_{1}^{+}		37.725	40.495	4.589
2^{-}_{2}		37.195	40.133	4.951

Energies, spin, and parities of ¹⁰Li levels



15

Method for obtaining of the asymptotic characteristics on the example of the lowest state ¹⁰Li

The calculation of the asymptotic characteristics is carried out by the matching of the ab initio calculated formfactor with an asymptotic two-body solution. The upper figure shows the CFF of the lowest resonance of ¹⁰Li- 1⁺.

This resonance is narrow and the regular asymptotic solution can be neglected in the vicinity of the matching point.







ρ(fm



		-	-									
J^{π} (⁷ He)	J^{π} (⁶ He)	$E_n^{lim.}$	$E_n^{extr.}$	l(S)	SF	$\Gamma^{lim.}$	$\Gamma_{11}^{extr.}$	$\Gamma_{13}^{extr.}$	Γ_{tot} [30]	Γ_{tot} [8]	Γ_{tot} [33]	$\Gamma_{tot}^{exp.}$
$3/2_{1}^{-}$	0_{1}^{+}	614	547	1(1/2)	0.730	387	336	334^a	300	178	110	182
$1/2_1^+$	0_{1}^{+}	3184	1696	0(1/2)	0.844	3670	2670	2670				_
$1/2_{1}^{-}$	0_{1}^{+}	3375	2318	1(1/2)	0.814	2440	1940	1850	2890	_	4300	750^b
	2^{+}_{1}	1335	454	$\frac{1(3/2)}{3(5/2)}$	$0.509 \\ 0.21 \cdot 10^{-3}$	812	$253 \\ 0.47 \text{ eV}$	221 0.46 eV				—
$5/2^{-}_{1}$	0_{1}^{+}	4496	3437	3(1/2)	$0.37\cdot 10^{-3}$	$110~{\rm eV}$	56 eV	52 eV	_	_	_	_
	2_{1}^{+}	2606	1573	$1(3/2) \\ 1(5/2)$	$0.420 \\ 0.758$	1366 1783	$980 \\ 1200$	881 1060	1070	2300	1360	1990
$3/2_1^+$	0_{1}^{+}	5124	3492	2(1/2)	0.041	125	84.4	83.4			_	
	2_{1}^{+}	3084	1628	$0(3/2) \\ 2(3/2)$	$0.752 \\ 0.051$	$\frac{3430}{58}$	$2590 \\ 22.3$	$2490 \\ 17.9$		_	4400	_
$5/2_1^+$	0_{1}^{+}	5302	3564	2(1/2)	0.126	382	285	258				_
	2_{1}^{+}	3262	1700	$0(5/2) \\ 2(5/2)$	$0.704 \\ 0.019$	$\frac{3100}{29}$	$2210 \\ 13.7$	$2240 \\ 11.1$		_	5000	_
	2^{+}_{2}	224	23	$0(5/2) \\ 2(3/2)$	$0.001 \\ 0.012$	9.3 38.6 eV	3.33 0.15 eV	2.98 0.14 eV	_		_	

The theoretically calculated widths of the ⁷He resonances

a) – for the experimental value of $3/2_1^-$ state resonance energy 430 keV computed value $\Gamma = 250$ keV; b) – there are other experimental results: 2.0 MeV [75] and 10.0 MeV [76].



The theoretically calculated widths of the ¹⁰Li resonances

J^{π} (¹⁰ Li)	$E_{{}^{10}Li}^{extr.}$	J^{π} (⁹ Li)	$E_{^9Li}^{extr.}$	$E_n^{extr.}$	l(S)	\mathbf{SF}	$\Gamma^{extr.}$
1_{1}^{+}	44.133	$3/2_{1}^{-}$	45.084	0.951	$1(1) \\ 1(2)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8031 \\ 0.7985 \end{array}$	718^{a} 679^{b}
2_{1}^{-}	43.697	$3/2_{1}^{-}$	45.084	1.387	$2(1) \\ 2(2) \\ 0(2)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0721 \\ 0.0702 \\ 0.741 \end{array}$	$18 \\ 19 \\ 2.01*10^3$
2_{1}^{+}	43.660	$3/2_{1}^{-}$	45.084	1.424	$1(1) \\ 1(2) \\ 3(1)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6871 \\ 0.8429 \\ 0.0004 \end{array}$	908 $1.15^{*}10^{3}$ $3.62^{*}10^{-3}$
1_{1}^{-}	43.111	$3/2_{1}^{-}$	45.084	1.973	2(1) 2(2) 0(1)	0.0002 0.0386 0.789	34 2.99*10 ³
0_{1}^{+}	42.445	$3/2_1^-$	45.084	2.639	1(1)	0.6871	$1.73^{*}10^{3}$
1_{2}^{-}	41.788	$3/2_1^-$	45.084	3.296	$2(1) \\ 2(2) \\ 0(1)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3902 \\ 0.1988 \\ 0.0545 \end{array}$	521 321 243
		$1/2_{1}^{-}$	41.886	0.098	0(1)	0.3945	170
2^{+}_{2}	41.064	$\frac{3/2_1^-}{1/2_1^-}$	45.084 41.886	4.02 0.822	$\frac{1(1)}{1(1)}$	$\frac{0.08746}{0.00778}$	271 5.68
1_{2}^{+}	40.883	$3/2_{1}^{-}$	45.084	4.201	1(1) 1(2) 3(2)	0.0311 0.0050 0.0081	135 26.6 5.2
		$1/2_{1}^{-}$	41.886	1.003	$1(0) \\ 1(1)$	0.4024 0.7325	388 676

Resonance energies, decay widths of open channels (keV), and channel spectroscopic factors of ¹⁰Li nucleus states. For the experimental value of 1_1^+ state resonance energy 420 keV computed value Γ is a) in case of l(S) = 1(1) - 257 keV. b) in case of l(S) = 1(2) - 243 keV.



Main findings and conclusions

I. Within the framework of the cluster channels orthogonal functions method, the partial decay widths into all open neutron channels of resonance states of ⁷He and ¹⁰Li nuclei were calculated.

II. The results of these calculations showed good agreement with the known experimental data, are not inferior to them in accuracy and provide much more information.

III. The results of theoretical calculations of the ¹⁰Li system show the absence of a low-lying narrow resonance 1-, whose presumptive existence is indicated by a number of experiments.

IV. The obtained theoretical spectra of ⁷He and ¹⁰Li nuclei can be useful for analyzing the results of experiments at FLNR JINR, since the cross sections for nuclear reactions in this energy range are approximated within the framework of the R-matrix theory, whose input parameters can also be the energies and reduced partial widths of resonances.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!



21