



EUROPEAN  
SPALLATION  
SOURCE


# ESS UPGRADE STUDIES

Mamad Eshraqi for ESSnuSB

Beam physics section leader,  
ESSnuSB Linac upgrade WP leader





# PROGRESS AT ESS



ESS, ESS-Bilbao, CEA

**Call/Activity:** A H2020 EU Design Study (Call INFRADEV-01-2017)  
**Title of Proposal:** Discovery and measurement of leptonic CP violation using an intensive neutrino Super Beam generated with the exceptionally powerful ESS linear accelerator  
**Proposal number:** 777419  
**Proposal acronym:** ESSnuSB  
**Funding scheme:** RIA  
**Duration:** 4 years  
**Total cost:** 4.7 M€  
**Requested budget:** 3 M€  
**Participants:** 15 participating institutes from 11 European countries including CERN and ESS  
 6 Work Packages  
 Approved end of August 2017; 2018-2021 → CDR end of 2021


N.	Proposer name	Country
1	CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE SCIENTIFIQUE CNRS	FR
2	UPPSALA UNIVERSITET	SE
3	KUNGLIGA TEKNISKA HOEGSKOLAN	SE
4	EUROPEAN SPALLATION SOURCE ERIC	SE
5	UNIVERSITY OF CUKUROVA	TR
6	UNIVERSIDAD AUTONOMA DE MADRID	ES
7	NATIONAL CENTER FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH "DEMOKRITOS"	EL
8	ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE	IT
9	RUDER BOSKOVIC INSTITUTE	HR
10	SOFIISKI UNIVERSITET SVETI KLIMENT OHRIDSKI	BG
11	LUNDS UNIVERSITET	SE
12	AKADEMIA GORNICZO-HUTNICZA IM. STANISLAWA STASZICA W KRAKOWIE	PL
13	EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH	CH
14	UNIVERSITE DE GENEVE	CH
15	UNIVERSITY OF DURHAM	UK
Total:		



More information on: <http://essnusb.eu/>

Marcos Dracos


# LUND TO GARPENBERG VIA ZINKGRUVAN



# TOP LEVEL PARAMETERS

## Design Drivers:

High average beam power 5 MW  
 High peak beam power 125 MW  
 High availability >95 %




## Key Linac parameters:

Energy 2.0 GeV  
 Current 62.5 mA  
 Repetition rate 14 Hz  
 Pulse length 2.86 ms  
 Losses <1W/m  
 Ions p


## ESSnuSB beam:

Energy 2.5 GeV  
 Current 62 mA (50 mA)  
 Repetition rate 14 Hz (x 4)  
 Pulse length <3.5 ms  
 Losses <1W/m  
 Ions H-

Flexible/Upgradable design  
 Minimize energy consumption



# ESSNUSB LAYOUT



### SHEET NOTES

The function of this drawing is to show the preliminary layout of ESS plant and its boundaries as well as a possible layout of the ESSnUSB facilities.

Purple lines represents boundaries of ESS. Brown lines represents the ESS plot-end.








Location of windmill and lakes are not exact, but are near the real positions.

Direction of neutrino target and beam are not final. This is a proposed layout. Beam now pointing 11.8° from north (towards Garpenberg).

Units in mm.

ASSY WEIGHT: -kg General Revision: - Checked by: - Drawn by: - Date: -	DRAWING TITLE: ESSNUSB LAYOUT Layout Drawing ESSnUSB project Checked by: - ISO 2768 mK ISO 2768 mK GENERAL SURFACE FINISH: Ra 3.2 Document reference: ISO 15016	PROJECT NUMBER: ESS-1165084.2 PROJECT CODE: Preliminary 1.0 1/1 DATE OF ISSUE: - Level A   M4   A0   1:1200 Produced to ISO 1501, 8015 and 1502
--	--	---


# H- TRANSPORT AND LOSSES





B. Folsom, N. Blaskovic, B Gálnander, M. Eshraqi, Paper to be published,




# PULSING IN THE LINAC, RING AND TARGET



• Possibility of merging the two beams at 70 Hz (cases B and C).





- B field: 0.1 T
- Bending radius: 400 mm
  - pole gap: 100 mm
- A coil with 100 turns
  - Inductance: 17 mH
    - possible to switch at 70 Hz
- Power supply:
  - Current: 80 A
  - Voltage: 170 V




- Two different power upgrades for the modulators have been studied:
  - Using the SML modulators of ESS and upgrading the capacitor chargers
  - Using the SML modulators of ESS and adding pulse transformers for the H- beam

Scenario	Solution	Eta	Investment cost [M€]	Electricity cost per year [M€/y]	Increased system footprint [m <sup>2</sup> ]	Total system height [m]	H <sup>-</sup> pulse rise time [μs]
A	SML upgr.	0.82	13.4	14.6	0	3.1	< 120
B	SML upgr.	> 0.80	13.4	14.8	0	3.1	< 80
	SML + PT	> 0.80	26.3	14.8	< 2.5 × 1.5	2.4	60-120
C	SML upgr.	> 0.71	13.4	16.7	0	3.1	< 170
	SML + PT	> 0.72	26.6	16.5	< 2.5 × 1.5	2.4	50-120
Baseline	SML	0.82	N/A	7.30	N/A	2.6	N/A



- **Main challenges**
  - Beam loss control due to very high beam power
  - Space-charge tune shift due to very high beam intensity
  - Instabilities (e-p instability)
- **Main design requirements**
  - Ring circumference: ~ 400 m
  - Injection turns: ~ 600
  - Extraction gap: ~100 ns
  - Total beam loss (l W/m): <math>10^{-4}</math>
  - Collimation efficiency: >90%
  - Space-charge tune shift: <math>< 0.1</math>
- **Lattice design**
  - Developed by Horst Schönauer at CERN
  - Circumference: 384 m
  - 4-fold symmetry
  - 4 straight sections (SS1~SS4) and 4 arc sections (Arc)
  - Fixed injection chicane and fast programmable bump for injection painting



- **Foil stripping and laser stripping**




- Foil stripping: widely used in proton synchrotrons or accumulators, very challenging due to high power
- Laser stripping: a promising alternative method

- **Painting**

- Mitigate space charge issue
- Mitigate foil temperature issue

- **Foil temperature issue mitigation**

- Mismatch injection
- Splitting the foil along beam direction
- Moving injection point



- Painting to quasi-uniform beam, with 100% emittance of  $60 \pi$  mm mrad in both planes
- Very small tune spread ( $\sim 0.05$ )
- Foil temperature under 2000 K

- **Two-stage collimation system:**

- A thin primary collimator to scatter beam halo particles
- followed by a set of secondary collimators at optimal locations to absorb the scattered particles

- **Materials:**

- Primary: Tantalum
- Secondary: Tungsten or Copper

- **Thickness/length:**

- Primary: 6 mm
- Secondary: 1.5 m




- **Collimator acceptance:**

- Primary/Secondary: 70/120  $\pi$  mm mrad

- Optimal phase advances between primary and secondary collimators to maximize interception efficiency

- Numerical simulations to evaluate the performance of the collimation system

Two-stage collimation system with 97% efficiency



Beam loss map

# BEAM EXTRACTION, RF AND SWITCHYARD

- **Beam extraction**

- Single-turn extraction system is designed to extract the full beam in a single turn after accumulation
- Fast magnets (kickers) to extract the beam vertically out of the ring during the extraction gap of 100-130 ns
- Horizontal deflector (septum) to deflect the beam by 16.8 deg to the start of the extraction line

- **Challenges**


- Loss-free extraction  $\Rightarrow$  optimize aperture sizes
- Rise-time of kickers  $\Rightarrow$  aperture size, B-field, technology

- **RF cavity**



- RF cavity to keep the extraction gap clean while keep energy spread small
- Barrier bucket is chosen due to its very small leakage risk and small energy spread ( $\pm 0.15\%$ )
- Aperture optimized to make rise-time requirement easier to reach

- **Switchyard**

- Switch and direct the beam pulses to the 4 horns




The circulating and extracted beam trajectories and beam envelopes (100% acceptance)






A. Alekou, I. Efthymiopoulos, Y. Zou, E. Bouquerel

# TARGET STATION DESIGN




# HADRONIC COLLECTOR

- **Packed Bed Target**
  - Power 1.25 – 1.6 MW
  - Potential heat removal rates at the hundreds of kW level
  - Helium cooling (10 bars)
  - Separated from the horn
- **Focusing System**
  - 4-horn/target system to accommodate the MW power scale
  - Solid target integrated into the inner conductor : very good physics results but high energy deposition and stresses on the conductors
  - Best compromise between physics and reliability





Horn parametrization based on Genetic Algorithm





# ESSNUSB NEUTRINO FLUX



	Positive Polarity		Negative Polarity	
	N (1E10 1/m² )	%	N (1E10 1/m² )	%
Muon neutrino	583	98	23.9	6.55
Muon anti neutrino	12.8	2.1	340	93.2
Electron neutrino	1.93	0.3	0.08	0.02
Electron anti neutrino	0.03	0.01	0.78	0.21

- **The ESS project** has seen good progress, with RFQ beam commissioning planned for early summer
- **ESSnuSB** received funding to study the feasibility of ESS linac upgrade from 5 MW to 10 MW to deliver 1 E23 p.o.t/yr for neutrino oscillation studies
- **Linac upgrade**
  - The ESS linac lattice is capable of accelerating and transporting the H- beam with minimal stripping losses, such that the total losses of p and H- remain within 1 W/m
  - H- loss phenomena have been studied, and the transfer line to ring designed to respect the loss limits
  - The ESS's stacked multi-layer modulator has the capability to be upgraded for the ESSnuSB
- **Accumulator**
  - An ring with 4 fold symmetry, collimation and RF section is designed to accumulate the high charge beam
  - Different injection paintings have been studied to manage the stripper foil heating and uniform charge distribution and a fast extraction system has been designed
- **Target**
  - A target capable of receiving 4 x 1.6 MW, 2.5 GeV proton beam and a dedicated horn is designed
  - The power supply is designed to deliver high current short pulses (350 kA x 16 x 14 Hz)
- Near and far detectors, cavern, civil engineering, and infrastructure were not covered in this talk



# THANK YOU!

## **Acknowledgments:**

Most of the content is provided by my colleagues within the ESSnuSB collaboration, only some of the names are mentioned in the slides.

ESSnuSB has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 777419