# Role of inhomogeneities in the flattening of the quantum effective potential

Gergely Markó\*

in collaboration with Gergely Endrődi\* and Tamás G. Kovács<sup>†,\*</sup>

\*Fakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld, Bielefeld.

<sup>†</sup>Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest. <sup>\*</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research, Debrecen.

2021, 23rd of April, ACHT 2021

- Spontaneous symmetry breaking
- Constrained Monte Carlo simulations
- Summary



### Spontaneous symmetry breaking

• Consider the 3D O(2) symmetric scalar model

$$S = \int_{d^3x} \frac{(\partial_{\mu}\vec{\varphi})^2}{2} + \frac{m^2\vec{\varphi}^2}{2} + \frac{g}{4!}(\vec{\varphi}^2)^2 \,.$$

• If  $m^2 < 0$  the classical potential has infinitely many degenerate minima on a cricle of radius  $\varphi_{\rm cl} = \sqrt{-6m^2/g}$ .



• Classically, there are zero-mass GS-bosons going around the circle, and massive excitations in the radial direction (see e.g. Rivers, *Path Integral Methods in QFT*).

# Spontaneous symmetry breaking

- In QFT the effective potential  $(\gamma(\bar{\phi}))$  is needed to find the ground state.
- Due to its **convexity** we end up with



 The textbook way to a well defined groundstate is: "SSB is a possible limit of linear symmetry breaking when the breaking parameter goes to zero." Zinn-Justin, QFT and Critical Phenomena

# The textbook limit

• General linear symmetry breaking source term:

$$S_h = S - \int_{d^3x} \vec{h}(x) ec{arphi}(x) \,.$$

- Consider the effective potential  $\gamma \Rightarrow$  restrict to  $\vec{h}(x) = (h, 0)$  constant.
- Theory with SSB is then defined as the  $h \rightarrow 0$  limit of the **infinite volume** theory.



- $\bar{\phi}_{\min} := \lim \langle \varphi \rangle \neq 0$  will be on the **edge** of the flat region.
- Everything behaves well, the problem of a flat potential is cured, end of story.

# The textbook limit

• General linear symmetry breaking source term:

$$S_h = S - \int_{d^3x} \vec{h}(x) \vec{\varphi}(x) \,.$$

- Consider the effective potential  $\gamma \Rightarrow$  restrict to  $\vec{h}(x) = (h, 0)$  constant.
- Theory with SSB is then defined as the h 
  ightarrow 0 limit of the **infinite volume** theory.



- $\bar{\phi}_{\min} := \lim \langle \varphi \rangle \neq 0$  will be on the **edge** of the flat region.
- Everything behaves well, the problem of a flat potential is cured, end of story.

#### Or is it?

# The textbook limit

1. We implicitly took a **double limit** procedure:  $\lim_{h\to 0V\to\infty}$  lim. Changing the order leads to  $\langle \varphi \rangle = 0$  for all *V*. How do we **access the flat region** of the potential?

2. What drives the flattening, what **configurations dominate the path integral**?

#### Accessing the flat region, constrained potential

Define the **constrained** effective potential

$$\exp\left(-V\Omega(\bar{\phi})\right) = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi \exp\left(-S[\varphi]\right) \,\delta\left(\int \varphi - V\bar{\phi}\right) \,.$$

- In the **infinite volume** limit (and only there) agrees with  $\gamma(\overline{\phi})$ . O'Raifeartaigh et al., NPB **271** (1986)
- Markov chain Monte Carlo techniques can be constructed which respect the constraint.

Fodor et al., PoS LATTICE2007 056 (2007)

- Analogous to changing from **canonical** (fixed h) to **microcanonical** (fixed  $\overline{\phi}$ ) ensemble.
- *h* can be recovered as

$$h = \frac{d\Omega(\bar{\phi})}{d\bar{\phi}} = m^2 \bar{\phi} + \frac{g}{6V} \left\langle \int_x \varphi^3(x) \right\rangle_{\bar{\phi}} \,.$$

- We carry out constrained MC simulations on 3D lattices of size L<sup>3</sup> with **periodic** boundary conditions.
- In analogy to using  $\vec{h} = (h, 0)$  we constrain both field directions:

$$V^{-1}\int \varphi_1 = \overline{\phi}$$
 and  $V^{-1}\int \varphi_2 = 0$ .

- We only study volume dependence towards the **infinite volume** limit, not the lattice spacing dependence.
- Based on the canonical (fixed *h*) simulations we expect homogeneous configurations for  $\bar{\phi} \geq \bar{\phi}_{\min}$ . But what about  $\bar{\phi} < \bar{\phi}_{\min}$ ?
- Difficulty: find a simple observable which signals inhomogeneities and is not washed out by translational and rotational invariance.

#### Looking at typical configurations:

• Here slice averages

• See GIF-s for more details.

$$ec{s}(x) = rac{1}{L^2} \sum_{y,z \in L} ec{arphi}(x,y,z) \, .$$

**Two dominant** types of configurations emerge for  $\bar{\phi} < \bar{\phi}_{\min}$ :

- a **topological**: the field winds around the full O(2) space;
- a **non-topological**: the field oscillates in O(2) space.



Ensemble averaged slice **correlators**:  $C_{ij}(\Delta x) = \frac{1}{L} \left\langle \sum_{x \in L} s_i(x + \Delta x) s_j(x) \right\rangle_{\bar{\phi}}$ .



- Topological cfgs **disappear** for  $\bar{\phi} > \bar{\phi}_c$ .
- Non-topological cfgs continously connect to homogeneous cfgs.



- Both can be loosely interpreted as connecting different vacua.
- Trivial volume dependece: length scale proportional to *L*.



- To obtain  $\Omega(\bar{\phi})$ ,  $h(\bar{\phi})$  should be integrated.
- Lowest energy cfg **changes** as a function of  $\overline{\phi}$ .

- At finite volume there is a transition from topological to non-topological.
- At infinite volume both set of curves tend to zero.

# Summary

We wanted to understand 2 questions:

- 1. How to access the flat region of the effective potential?
- 2. What configurations cause the flatness?

We found the following answers:

- 1. One can construct and simulate the constrained potential which coincides with the effective potential in the  $V \rightarrow \infty$  limit.
- 2. The flat region is dominated by inhomogeneous spin wave configurations (topological or not depending on  $\overline{\phi}$ ).