

THE TOP QUARK ELECTRO-WEAK **COUPLINGS AFTER LHC RUN 2**



Víctor Miralles¹, Marcos Miralles López¹, María Moreno Llácer¹, Ana Peñuelas^{1,2}, Martín Perelló¹ and Marcel Vos¹ ¹IFIC (Uni. Valencia and CSIC), ² MITP-PRISMA⁺ (Uni. Mainz)

arXiv: 2107.13917

- Recent measurements at LHC allow for a robust and precise characterisation of the top quark EW interactions. - A global analysis at NLO precision including LHC, LEP/SLD and

Tevatron data in the SMEFT framework is presented here.

- A careful analysis of the impact of correlations among measurements and uncertainties in the EFT setup is included.

Follow an EFT description to parametrise the deviations from the SM

Measurements included

For the first time, we include: Differential measurements for $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z / t\bar{t}\gamma$ **QCD predictions at NLO**

Process	Observable	\sqrt{s}	∫ℒ	Experiment
$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$ NLO	cross section	13 TeV	140 fb^{-1}	ATLAS
$pp ightarrow t ar{t} W$ nlo	cross section	13 TeV	36 fb ⁻¹	CMS
$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}Z$ NLO	(differential) x-sec.	13 TeV	$140 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	ATLAS
$pp ightarrow t ar{t} oldsymbol{\gamma}$ NLO	(differential) x-sec.	13 TeV	$140 { m ~fb^{-1}}$	ATLAS
$pp \rightarrow tZq$ NLO	cross section	13 TeV	$140 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	CMS
$pp ightarrow t \gamma q$ NLO	cross section	13 TeV	36 fb ⁻¹	CMS
$pp \rightarrow tb$ (s-ch) NLO	cross section	8 TeV	20 fb^{-1}	ATLAS+CMS
pp ightarrow tW NLO	cross section	8 TeV	20 fb ⁻¹	ATLAS+CMS
$pp \rightarrow tq$ (t-ch) NLO	cross section	8 TeV	20 fb ⁻¹	ATLAS+CMS
$t ightarrow W^+ b$ NLO	F_0, F_L	8 TeV	20 fb^{-1}	ATLAS+CMS
$p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{b}$ (s-ch) LO	cross section	1.96 TeV	9.7 fb ⁻¹	Tevatron
$e^-e^+ ightarrow bar{b}$ LO	R_b , A^{bb}_{FBLR}	$\sim 91~{ m GeV}$	202.1 pb ⁻¹	LEP

Discrepancy (σ)

$$X = X_{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{i}}{\Lambda^{2}} X_{i}^{(1)} + \sum_{ij} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{i}\mathcal{C}_{j}}{\Lambda^{4}} X_{ij}^{(2)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda^{-4}\right) = X_{SM} \times \left(1 + \sum_{i} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{i}}{\Lambda^{2}} \frac{X_{i}^{(1)}}{X_{SM}} + \sum_{ij} \frac{\mathcal{C}_{i}\mathcal{C}_{j}}{\Lambda^{4}} \frac{X_{ij}^{(2)}}{X_{SM}}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda^{-4}\right)$$

Here, we consider 8 dim-six operators in the Warsaw basis:

- Left/Right-handed couplings of top/bottom to Z: $O_{\varphi t}, O_{\varphi Q}^{-}, O_{\varphi Q}^{(3)}$ EW dipole operators: O_{tZ} , O_{tW} , O_{bW}
- Top Yukawa: $O_{t\varphi}$
- Charged current interaction: $O_{\omega tb}$

Dependence derived with MadGraph5_aMC@NLO, plus SMEFT@NLO and TEFT_EW

Fitting model to data using **Bayesian inference with**

How well does the SM describe data? - **SM fit:** including all the observables (30 bins) gives very good agreement with $\chi^2 \sim 21$ (p-value ~ 0.85) - Largest contributions to the χ^2 from a few $t\bar{t}Z$ and $t\bar{t}\gamma$ differential p_T bins

The beloved Standard Model

Correlations between differential p_T bins, LEP observables and W boson helicity fractions published in the experimental results are included





