

WP9 Heat load review, Inner Triplet and D1 Heat loads

P. Zijm, V. Gahier and M. Sisti on behalf of WP9 and H. Prin, D. Ramos, L. Williams, Y. Leclercq on behalf of WP3.

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1019569/ EDMS 2560550 CERN, 27/04/2021

Content

- Inner Triplet Mechanical configuration
- Inner Triplet cooling scheme
- Heat loads Mechanisms
- Static Heat loads
- Dynamic Heat loads
- Design Heat loads
- Evolution
- KPI Benchmark
- Conclusions



Inner Triplet Mechanical configuration



		Q1	Q2A	Q2B	Q3	СР	D1	DCM
							1	and the second
		Aperture: 150 mm NbSn3 Coated SEY @ 1.1	Aperture: 150mm NbSn3 Coated SEY @ 1.1*	Aperture: 150 mm NbSn3 Coated SEY @ 1.1	Aperture: 150mm NbSn3 Coated SEY @ 1.1	NbTi Coated SEY @ 1.1	NbTi Coated SEY @ 1.1	NbTi splices in He II bath
Cry	ostat length	11.56 m	10.78 m	10.78 m	11.10 m	7.95 m	9.1 m	6.17 m
9 K	Static	++	+	+	++	++	+	+ (plug to SC link)
at 1	Resistive	+/2	+/4	+/4	+/2	+/2	+/4	+/4
mass	Beam induced	Reduced by coating	Reduced by coating	Reduced by coating	Reduced by coating	Reduced by coating	Reduced by coating	None
cold	Collision induced	+++++++	+++++	+++++++++	++++++++++	+++++	+++++	Negligible

HILUMI HL-LHC PROJECT

+ = 20 W (approximately)

* Plug in module not coated; SEY 1.3 (RF Fingers)

Inner Triplet + D1 cooling scheme



Heat loads Mechanisms on a Quadrupole Magnet





Example of Q1 – Static heat loads Identification





Static heat loads – Sources identification



I-IHC PROJEC

Static heat loads – Systematic ID card created for each element Example for K-mod

K-mod K-mod Type Function Used for modulating of the quadrupole gradients. Number of items 2 (4 feeders, 2 per K-mod) CONTACT Contact L. Williams / T. Koettig Name(s) Department **BE-BI-ML / TE-CRG** person/department HL-LHC K-mod current feeders Cryolab thermal performance test Latest Reference EDMS 2279955 describing the references Version 3 28-Sep-20 Date for data PROPERTIES SCHEMATIC Value Property Unit 10 mm² Conducter cross section Number of wires 2 -2 m Length of conductor Temperatures Operating temperature 1.9 K 300 K Warm Intercept - K No intercept implemented Schematic and layout owering 25 A Current LAYOUT HEAT LOAD components Data Value Unit Items dms 2279202 1.2 W Conduction to 1.9 K Resistive load at 1.9 K 0.1 W MAGNET K-mod/ Cliq Drawing Nr. Q1A LHCQQXF DQ0077 K-mod Q1A CLIQ LHCQQXF DQ0044 Q1B CLIQ LHCQQXF DQ0044 Q2A CLIQ LHCQQXF DQ0044 Q2B CLIQ LHCQQXF DQ0044 LHCQQXF DQ0077 Q3A K-mod Q3A CLIQ LHCQQXF DQ0044 Q3B LHCQQXF DQ0044 CLIQ Heat Load Data per Unit Heat load 1.9 K Value Unit Data type Comment Heat Load Applicable Static 1.2 W Per K-mod Measurement Static yes Resistive 0.1 W Measurement Per K-mod Resistive yes Total Measurement Per K-mod 1.3 W Magnetic Beam Induced Collision Induced

All available in EDMS : https://edms.cern.ch/document/2560668/0.0

Summary of data (temperature, material, dimensions)

Summary of heat loads



P. Zijm – heat loads review – Inner triplet and D1 heat loads – 27/04/2021

Static heat loads – Systematic ID card created for each element Example for Magnet interconnect

- Hilumi magnet interconnect temperature is at 60-80 K.
- Particularity for Hilumi
- 5 magnet interconnect result in ~21 W heat load to 1.9 K

		Magr	net Int	erconnect short;	
Туре	HL LHC short magnet interco	onnect			
Function	Connection between magne	ets			
Number of items	4				
				CONTACT	
Name(s)	C. Garion				
Department	TE-VSC				
Latest Reference	-				
EDMS	-				
Version	-				
Date	PROPERTIES			SCHEMATIC	
Property	Value	Unit	Comment		
Temperatures		- K		Supported side 60-80 K	Bellow side
Temperature Intercept Temperature Cold		60-80 К 1.9 К	Beam Screen Cold bore	1.9 K	60-80 K
	HEAT LOAD components			LAYOUT	
1.9 K Supported side Conduction Bellow side conduction	Value n	Unit 4.1 W 0.1 W	Comment	Short interconnect	
				Long interconnect	
Heat Load Data	Value	Unit	Data type	Comment	Heatland Applies -
Static	value	4.2 W	ANSYS FEA	Per interconnect	reat Load Applicable Static yes Resistive - Magnetic - Beam Induced - Collision Induced -

All available in EDMS : https://edms.cern.ch/document/2560668/0.0

Static heat loads on 1.9 K in Watt – Summary for one IT+D1

		С	21	C	Q2a	Q	2b	C	3	(CP	I	01	DC	с м	Gran	d total
	Uncertainty																
Cold Mass	factor	Q _{static}	F _{un} * Q _{static}	Q _{static}	F _{un} * Q _{static}	Q _{static}	F _{un} * Q _{static}	Q _{static}	F _{un} * Q _{static}	Q _{static}	F _{un} * Q _{static}	Q _{static}	F _{un} * Q _{static}	Q _{static}	F _{un} * Q _{static}	Q _{static}	F _{un} * Q _{static}
Support posts	1.25	3.00	3.75	3.00	3.75	3.00	3.75	3.00	3.75	2.00	2.50	2.00	2.50	-	-	16.00	20.00
Vacuum barrier	1.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.00	7.50	5.00	7.50
Radiation Thermal Shield to Cold Mass	1.25	4.23	5.29	4.02	5.03	4.02	5.03	4.16	5.20	2.47	3.09	3.03	3.79			21.93	27.41
Radiation beam screen to cold mass	1.25	3.04	3.80	2.94	3.68	2.94	3.68	3.04	3.80	1.22	1.53	2.21	2.76			15.39	19.24
CWT / Interconnect	1.50	4.89	7.34	4.14	6.21	4.14	6.21	4.14	6.21	4.14	6.21	4.35	6.53			25.80	38.70
IFS type 1	2.00	1.76	3.52	0.88	1.76	0.88	1.76	1.76	3.52	0.88	1.76	-	-			6.16	12.32
IFS type 2	2.00	-	-	0.16	0.32	0.16	0.32	-	-	-	-	0.16	0.32			0.48	0.96
K-MOD	1.25	2.60	3.25	-	-	-	-	2.60	3.25	-	-	-	-			5.20	6.50
CLIQ	1.25	2.72	3.40	1.36	1.70	1.36	1.70	2.72	3.40	-	-	-	-			8.16	10.20
Phase Separator	1.00	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.28			7.68	7.68
Alignment ports	1.50	1.39	2.09	1.39	2.09	1.39	2.09	1.39	2.09	-	-	-	-			5.56	8.34
Lambda Plate	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.60	11.60	11.60	11.60
XB Pumping line	1.25	1.57	1.97	1.57	1.97	1.57	1.97	1.57	1.97	1.57	1.97	1.57	1.97	-	-	9.45	11.81
Local powering current leads	1.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.56	38.34	-	-			25.56	38.34
Total	-	26.48	35.68	20.74	27.77	20.74	27.77	25.66	34.46	39.12	56.67	14.60	19.14	16.60	19.10	163.97	220.60
Uncertainty factor	-	1.	35	1	34	1.	34	1.	34	1	.45	1	.31	1.	15	1	.35

- Uncertainty factor (F_{un}) is tailored made for each users according to the uncertainty /room to growth left for each component (refer to Methodology talk for choice of coefficient)
 - This table does **not** include the overcapacity factor (F_{ov}) that is still to be included in design heat load
- > Uncertainty factor (F_{un}) decreased with the evolution to detailed design





Static heat loads on Thermal shield (60-80 K) in Watt – Summary for one IT+D1

		C	1	Q	2a	Q	2b	C	13	С	P	D	1	DC	СМ	Grand	l total
Thormal Shield 60,80 K	Uncertainty		F _{un} *		F _{un} *		F _{un} *		F _{un} *								
Thermal Shield 60-80 K	factor	Q _{static}	Q _{static}	Q _{static}	Q _{static}												
Support posts	1.25	40.50	50.63	40.50	50.63	40.50	50.63	40.50	50.63	27.00	33.75	27.00	33.75	-	-	216.00	270.00
Vacuum barrier	1.50													30.00	45.00	30.00	45.00
Radiation to Thermal Shield	1.25	34.74	43.43	31.37	39.21	31.37	39.21	32.51	40.64	19.29	24.11	23.60	29.50	10.00	12.50	182.88	228.60
Current leads (conduction)	1.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.00	150.00	-	-	-	-	100.00	150.00
Total	-	75.24	94.05	71.87	89.84	71.87	89.84	73.01	91.26	146.29	207.86	50.60	63.25	40.00	57.50	528.88	693.60
Uncertainty factor	-	1.	25	1.	25	1.	25	1.	25	1.	42	1.	25	1.	44	1.	31

- Values correspond to heat applied
- Uncertainty factor (F_{un}) is tailor made for each users according to the uncertainty /room to growth left for each component (refer to Methodology talk for choice of coefficient)
- This table does **not** include the overcapacity factor (F_{ov}) that is still to be included in design heat load
- > Uncertainty factor decreased with the evolution to detailed design





Dynamic heat loads on 1.9 K & 60-80 K Summary for one IT+D1

Refer to Beam induced heat loads by G. ladarola (WP2) and Collisions induced Heat loads by M. Sabate Gilarte (WP10) for more details Collision induced values from vertical crossing (global worst case)

- > Overcapacity on Nominal (5Lo / 7 TeV) dynamic heat loads is taken at 1.5;
- > Ultimate conditions (7.5 Lo/ 7.5 TeV) are not designing;
- > Beam induced heat loads at 1.9 K conservative estimate; no additional margin

	Q1	Q2a	Q2b	Q3	СР	D1	DCM	Grand	l total	
Cold Mass	Overcapacity factor	Q _{dynamic}	F _{ov} * Q _{dynamic}							
Resistive	1.50	7.00	4.00	4.00	7.00	0.00	1.00	2.30	25.30	37.95
Beam Induced	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.75	0.32	0.20	-	3.51	3.51
Collision Induced	1.50	116.89	104.22	126.22	136.89	68.22	84.22	-	636.67	955.00
Total	_	124.89	108.72	130.97	144.64	68.54	85.42	2.30	665.48	996.46

	Q1	Q2a	Q2b	Q3	СР	D1	DCM	Grand	d total	
Beam Screen 60-80 K	Overcapacity factor	Q _{dynamic}	F _{ov} * Q _{dynamic}							
Beam Induced	1.50	75.70	58.12	73.82	80.12	25.22	37.22	-	350.20	525.30
Collision Induced	1.50	193.00	85.67	105.00	97.67	73.67	75.00	-	630.00	945.00
Total	-	268.70	143.79	178.82	177.79	98.89	112.22	0.00	980.20	1470.30



Design heat loads for one IT + D1 @ 1.9 K



Design heat load IT+D1 1.9 K

- Local cooling capacity for one IT+ D1 is 1330 W at 1.9 K
- Dynamic heat loads account for ~ 75%

Installed local cooling capacity (fixed limit of capacity)

Bayonet heat exchangers in Inner triplet + D1 designed for 1400 W in total



Design dynamic heat loads for one IT + D1 @ 60-80K



Thermal shield design heat loads for one IT+D1 is at ~1000 W

Beam screen data provided by WP2 (beam induced) / WP10 (collisions)

Beam screen design heat loads for one IT is taken at ~1500 W

\succ	Total	design	for	60-80	K	~2500	W
---------	-------	--------	-----	--------------	---	-------	---



Hilumi KPI benchmark (for information)

		LHC arc*	LHC IT				HL-LHC IT + D1	
Cold mass 1.9 K	Static	0.17 W/m	1.25 W/m			F _{un} x Q _{static}	3.6 W/m	
	Dynamic	0.45 -0.6 W/m	7.4 W/m		Cold mass 1.9 K	Q _{design}	21.2 W/m	
	Installed	0.9 W/m	10 W/m***			Installed*	22.8 W/m	
Beam screen	Static	0.14 W/m	0.13 W/m					
4.5 -20 K	Dynamic	1.7 W/m**	2.4 W/m		Beam screen	Q _{desian}	21.2 W/m	
	Installed	2.4W/m/aperture	-		00-00 K			
Thermal Shield	Static 4.5 W/m		-		Thermal Shield	F _{un} x Q _{static}	11.2 W/m	
50-75 K					60-80 K	Q _{design}	16.8 W/m	

* Static are without contingencies from Design report

** Nominal case is indicated

*** For 500W bayonet exchanger initially installed- was changed to 300 W

- Static heat loads were highly optimized for LHC due to the overall length to be considered;
- Contribution on 1.9 K is consequently higher on HL-LHC due to the Luminosity



* Considering installed local capacity at 1400 W for HL-LHC

Evolution of heat loads 2016 – 2020 – 2021 for one IT+D1

Main additions to static heat loads

- > Evolution of heat loads due to maturing design as well as addition of new components
 - Static heat loads increased significantly
 - Dynamic heat loads relatively stable





Conclusions

- Detailed evaluation of heat loads
 - ID cards to validate configuration and heat loads
- Revised baseline
 - latest configuration and estimates.
- Review of the heat loads
 - Confirmation nothing puts future performance at risk.
- The total design heat load at 1.9 K is very close to the limit of the installed local cooling capacity.

All parties involved shall be aware of the situation



It is time to freeze the configuration and commit on these figures considering fabrication and installation phases

Thanks for your time and attention





Thanks for your time and answers



P. Zijm, V. Gahier; TE - CRG

Magnetization heat loads (explanation of a fill)

 Heat load due to magnet ramping. Occurs before collision is started and therefore does not impact refrigerator capacity requirement.





Heat load mechanism in the warm Hilumi interconnect



P. Zijm, V. Gahier; TE - CRG

Particularity of the Hilumi interconnect



Interconnect between triplet magnets

- Hilumi magnet interconnect temperature is at 60-80 K.
- > Particularity for Hilumi
- 5 magnet interconnect result in ~21 W heat load to 1.9 K

Courtesy C. Garion

Heat load on the 1.9K cold bore





Installed local cooling capacity



Design heat load IT+D1 1.9 K

