

Heat loads induced by collision debris

Marta Sabaté-Gilarte, Francesco Cerutti



WP10 Energy deposition & R2E

Heat Loads for HL-LHC scope (P1/P5) - Internal Review 27th April 2021

Overview

- Review of the heat loads for HL-LHC cryogenics considerations.
- The contribution to the heat leads due to collision debris in IR1 and IR5 is shown in this presentation focused on:
 - ✓ Inner triplet + D1.
 - ✓ D2.
 - The two crossing schemes are considered respectively (IR1-HC and IR5-VC) assuming 250 µrad half-crossing angle in all cases.
- The loads presented in this review refer to ultimate operation conditions, i.e.,
 7.5.10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ instantaneous luminosity.
- Simulations were performed for 7 TeV per proton beam. In case of 7.5 TeV per proton beam, a 15 % increase in the heat loads shown here is expected.



Collision debris





- \circ Collision debris is emitted 4 π .
- The main detectors (ATLAS, CMS) cover the vast majority of the angular range.
- But the very forward debris will escape the cavern.
- At the LHC machine: L_{inst} = 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹, the inelastic collision rate is 8.5e8 s⁻¹
- ➢ 950 W towards each side (L&R).
 - \rightarrow 150 W is absorbed by the TAS.
 - → 650 W goes through the TAS out of which 150 W is absorbed in the triplet cold magnets.
- In the triplet cold mass with 6.5 TeV beams (80 mb):
 - → Prediction: ~125 W
 - → Measured by TE-CRG-OP: 115-135 W



Heat Loads review

ZOOM

27th April 2021

FLUKA simulations benchmark at the LHC





27th April 2021

IT+D1 heat loads due to collision debris (I)

• Layout model of IR1 in FLUKA of the IT+D1 region:





Heat Loads review

ZOOM



IT+D1 heat loads due to collision debris (II)

Total Power	IR1 HC		IR5	VC
(W)	cold mass	beam screen	cold mass	beam screen
Q1A + Q1B	165	251	171	263
Q2A + OC	147	98	152	102
Q2B + OC	201	146	185	131
Q3A + Q3B	180	104	201	120
CP – OC	69	69	98	84
D1	99	68	122	86
pipe extensions	20	75	21	87
Total	881	811	950	873
interconnects		70		77



IT+D1 heat loads due to collision debris (III)

• In previous table the contribution from the interconnects is included on top of the other contributions:



• "Pipe extensions" refers to the elements in between the magnets inside the triplet-D1 cryostat.



IT+D1 heat loads due to collision debris (IV)

• Peak power distribution along the IT-D1 region:



Peak power density profile in the inner coils (L = 7.5×10^{34} cm⁻² s⁻¹)



27th April 2021

IT+D1 heat loads due to collision debris (V)

• The most exposed magnet in the IT+D1 region for IR1-HC is Q2B where the power distribution along the magnet is:



IT+D1 heat loads due to collision debris (VI)

• The most exposed magnet in the IT+D1 region for IR1-HC is Q2B. At the peak, the power density distribution is as follow:





Heat Loads review

ZOOM

D2 heat loads due to collision debris

• According to the latest design of the TAXN, the aperture of the twin chamber is 88 mm.

		Cold Mass	Beam Screen
IR1 – HC	D2	35	2
	D2-correctors	3	0.5
IR5 - VC	D2	17	1
	D2-correctors	2	0.3

• Total power (W) in D2:

- The power is not distributed uniformly along the magnet length. The IP-side of the D2 is the most exposed region.
- The peak power density slightly exceed 1 mW/cm³ in the D2-assembly.



Thank you for your attention





ZOOM



Crab cavities

IR1 (RFD) right side



IR5 (DQW) right side





Heat Loads review

ZOOM

27th April 2021

Crab cavities (II)

Total Power (W)	cavity	cavity wall	He tank (1.9 k)	BS on the other beam
	C1-B2	0.20	0.23	0.9
RFD – IR1	C2-B2	0.16	0.14	0.3
	C1-B1	0.22	0.04	< 0.01
	C2-B1	0.14	0.02	< 0.01
	C1-B2	0.05	0.04	0.04
DQW – IR5	C2-B2	0.03	0.03	0.02
	C1-B1	0.05	0.01	< 0.01
	C2-B1	0.03	0.01	< 0.01

