High-energy EFT probes: the DY process

Multi-Boson Interactions 2021

Lorenzo Ricci



Thursday 26th August, 2021

Based on: [LR, Torre, Wulzer (2020)] [Panico, LR,Wulzer (2021)]

High-energy EFT probes: Introduction

Low-energy effects of heavy new physics are naturally described by the EFT language

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} rac{c_i^{(6)}}{\Lambda^2} O_i^{(6)} + \dots$$

High-energy EFT probes: Introduction

Low-energy effects of heavy new physics are naturally described by the EFT language

 $\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{c_{i}^{(6)}}{\Lambda^{2}} O_{i}^{(6)} + \dots$ Deviation from the SM can grow with the energy: $\boxed{\frac{\Delta \mathcal{O}}{\mathcal{O}} \sim \frac{E^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}}$

High-energy EFT probes

EW precision physics from high energy measurements

- Energy improves the accuracy
- Effects can overcome the systematics
- LHC can be more accurate then LEP



 $E \ll \Lambda$

- Define the observables and design the analyses
- Assess sources of uncertainties

- Define the observables and design the analyses
- Assess sources of uncertainties
- \rightarrow Accurate theoretical predictions
 - NLO~automated, NNLO~available, NLO-EW~available
 - "Fast" BSM predictions
 - PDF uncertainties reduction

- Define the observables and design the analyses
- Assess sources of uncertainties
- \rightarrow Accurate theoretical predictions
 - NLO~automated, NNLO~available, NLO-EW~available
 - "Fast" BSM predictions
 - PDF uncertainties reduction
- \rightarrow Dedicate experimental measurements

- Define the observables and design the analyses
- Assess sources of uncertainties
- \rightarrow Accurate theoretical predictions
 - NLO~automated, NNLO~available, NLO-EW~available
 - "Fast" BSM predictions
 - PDF uncertainties reduction
- \rightarrow Dedicate experimental measurements
- \rightarrow Interpret the results
 - EFT validity
 - Reach tables and translation to UV models

 \rightarrow Growing-with-energy targets \leftarrow

(Fully-diff analysis including the 7 quark-lepton interactions)

- Define the observables and design the analyses
- Assess sources of uncertainties
- \rightarrow Accurate theoretical predictions
 - NLO~automated, NNLO~available, NLO-EW~available
 - "Fast" BSM predictions (NLO_{QCD}+1L-EW_{logs} events by reweighting)
 - PDF uncertainties reduction
- \rightarrow Dedicate experimental measurements X
- \rightarrow Interpret the results \leftarrow (Projections)
 - EFT validity

Dilepton DY@(HL-)LHC [LR, Torre, Wulzer (2020)] [Panico, LR, Wulzer (2021)]

Reach tables and translation to UV models

High-energy EFT probes: the DY process



- + Large cross-sections
- "Easy" signal: the final state is fully characterized by fully differential distributions
- + LO interference between SM and BSM
- = The ideal high-energy probe

See also: [Blas, Chala, Santiago (2013)] [Farina et al. (2017)]

High-energy EFT probes: the DY process



- + Large cross-sections
- + "Easy" signal: the final state is fully characterized by fully differential distributions
- + LO interference between SM and BSM
- = The ideal high-energy probe

 $\frac{(\mathcal{A}_{SM} + \mathcal{A}_{BSM})^2}{\mathcal{A}_{SM}^2} \sim 1 + \#c_i \frac{E^2}{\Lambda^2} \xrightarrow{+ \mathcal{V}_{Ia} \vee \mathcal{O}_{Ur}} [\text{Barbieri, et al. (2004)}] \xrightarrow{+ \mathcal{F}_{Ia} \vee \mathcal{O}_{Ur}} [\text{Grzadkowski, et. al (2010)}] \underbrace{\left\{\mathcal{O}_{Iq}^{(3)}, \mathcal{O}_{Iq}^{(1)}, \mathcal{O}_{qe}, \mathcal{O}_{ue}, \mathcal{O}_{de}, \mathcal{O}_{ul}, \mathcal{O}_{dl}\right\}}_{\subset \mathcal{L}_{SMEFT}^{d=6}}$ Lorenzo Ricci (EPFL) High-energy EFT probes: the DY proces Thursday 26th August, 2021 4/11

High-energy DY: Sensitivity to Universal NP

The DY final state is so simple that it can be properly characterized by triple (I^+I^-) and double $(I\nu)$ differential measurements. Can we exploit the fully-differential distributions to learn new physics? (m_{II}, c_*, y)



High-energy DY: Sensitivity to Universal NP



Lorenzo Ricci (EPFL)

6/11

High-energy DY: Sensitivity to Flavour Universal NP



- BSM predictions at NLO_{QCD}+PS+1L-EW_{Logs}
- (3D+2D)-distributions×7D-param. space (~200bins×7D)

Lorenzo Ricci (EPFL)

7/11

New additional vector boson gauging a linear combination of the hypercharge $U(1)_Y$ and B - L. The phenomenology of the model is fully described by the three parameters g_Y , g_{BL} , M

$$\mathcal{L}_{Z'} = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 + \frac{1}{2}M^2A^2 + A_{\mu}J^{\mu} \qquad J^{\mu} = \sum_{f} (g_Y Y_f + g_{BL}BL_f) \bar{f}\gamma^{\mu}f + \frac{ig_Y}{2}H^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}H$$
$$\bigvee_{\mathcal{L}_{Z'}} E \ll M$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{Z'} \simeq -\frac{1}{2M^2}J^2 \subset \mathcal{L}_{SMEFT}^{(6)}$$

Direct searches at HL-LHC exclude up to $M \sim 6$ TeV. For larger masses we can look for the new interactions described by the EFT

See also:[Salvioni, Villadoro, Zwirner (2009)], [Alioli, Farina, Pappadopulo, Ruderman (2018)]

 $\{g_Y, g_{BL}, M\}$

95% Exclusion



Lorenzo Ricci (EPFL)

High-energy EFT probes: the DY process

 $\{g_Y, g_{BL}, M\}$

Discovery



 $\{g_Y, g_{BL}, M\}$

Characterization



Conclusions

- Opportunity for NP exclusion or **discovery** in high-energy DY
- Fully-differential measurements improve sensitivity (and reduce impact of quadratic terms)
- PDFs are the dominant source of theoretical uncertainties (the sensitivity floor is well below)
- NP incorporated by analytic reweighting

Outlook

- Projections based on state-of-art PDFs and "guessed" experimental errors, progress in both would greatly improve sensitivity.
- Waiting for the measurements

Thank you!

Backup

High-energy DY: Sensitivity to Flavour Universal NP



Lorenzo Ricci (EPFL)

13/11





Lorenzo Ricci (EPFL)

High-energy EFT probes: the DY process Thursday 26th August, 2021 14/11





Lorenzo Ricci (EPFL)

Thursday 26th August, 2021 High-energy EFT probes: the DY process



Lorenzo Ricci (EPFL)

High-energy EFT probes: the DY process Thursday

Thursday 26th August, 2021

14 / 11