

Introduction

The present work is devoted to the ECR volume source Prometheus I, extending its exploitation to D₂ since previously operation only with H₂ had been thoroughly carried out. Basic plasma properties are accessed via electrostatic probe and laser induced photo-detachment. The experiments are carried out throughout a wide range of working pressure, whereas the microwave power is maintained constant. The results are then compared and evaluated so as to identify similarities and differences between the ECR plasmas of the two isotopes.



H and D production efficiency in a multi-dipole ECR-plasma source as a function of gas pressure

M. Mitrou^{1,2}, P. Svarnas¹, S. Béchu²

¹High Voltage Laboratory, Electrical and Computer Engineering Dept., University of Patras, Rion-Patras, GR-26504, Greece ²Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, LPSC-IN2P3, 38000 Grenoble, France

E-mails: maria.mitrou@ece.upatras.gr, svarnas@ece.upatras.gr, bechu@lpsc.in2p3.fr









Conclusions

The plasma potential, cold electron temperature, and cold electron density tend to be higher in

No pronounced difference in the hot electron density and temperature is found between the two

• The two observations on the electrons are jointly mirrored on the EEDF patterns. The diverging features between the H_2 and D_2 EEDFs are more pronounced at the lower pressures.

• A similar H⁻ and D⁻ negative ion yield (up to 6×10¹⁵ m⁻³; under the present conditions) is

For equal plasma densities an isotope effect is exhibited showing higher H⁻ density over the entire pressure range.

• The $n_H - / n_e$ ratio is constantly higher than the n_{D} – / n_{e} one and they both peak around 1.33 Pa.

References

1. Hemsworth R S, Boilson D, Blatchford P, Dalla Palma M, Chitarin G, De Esch H P L, Geli F, Dremel M, Graceffa J, Marcuzzi D, Serianni G, Shah D, Singh M, Urbani M and Zaccaria P 2017 New J. Phys. 19 025005

2. Wünderlich D, Riedl R, Mano I, Mimo A, Fantz U, Heinemann B and Kraus W 2019 Rev. Sci. Instrum. **90** 113304

3. Dougar-Jabon V D 2001 *Physica Scripta* 63 322-325

4. Friedl R, Kurutz U and Fantz U 2016 AIP Conf. Proc. 1869 030022

5. Kurutz U, Friedl R and Fantz U 2017 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 59

6. Béchu S, Lemaire J L, Gavilan L, Aleiferis S, Shakhatov V, Lebedev Yu A, Fombaron D, Bonny L, Menu J, Bès A, Svarnas P and de Oliveira N 2020 J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 257 107325

7. Aleiferis S, Tarvainen O, Svarnas P, Bacal M and Béchu S 2016 J. Phys.

8. Aleiferis S, Svarnas P, Béchu S, Tarvainen O and Bacal M 2018 Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 27 075015

9. Celiberto R, Janev R K, Laricchiuta, Capitelli M, Wadehra J M and Atems D E 2001 At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 77(2) 161-213

10. Janev R K, Reiter D and Samm U 2003 Forschungszentrum Jülich JUEL

11. Svarnas P, Breton J, Bacal M and Mosbach T 2006 Rev. Sci. Instrum.