

THGEMs for RICH applications

S. Levorato INFN Trieste

On behalf of

Alessandria ,CERN, Freiburg, Liberec, Prague, Torino, Trieste Collaboration

Outlook

detector setup description

first results from the test beam

critical review of some detector/setup aspects

future improvements


Detector installed at 887 Building CERN beam line H4 after Goliath magnet
 Muon beam at 150 GeV/c
 Beam dimensions:

X -> approx. 40mm @ 3σ
 Y -> approx. 30mm @ 3σ

measured with wire
 Chamber sitting nearly 1 m
 Before the detector

Approx 3.2E3 particles
 per spill (9.6seconds)

Higher intensity π beam
 has been used for stability
 test



Detector characteristics

3 Triple THGEM 0.4 mm hole diameter , 0.8 mm pitch, 0.4 mm thickness




2 triple THGEM (Jura and Saleve) identical

1 central one equipped with grid (0.6 mm holes diameter, 0.8 pitch , 0.2 mm thickness to study ion feedback

1 MAPMT R7600 M16

Quartz radiator,

Half of the radiator is darkened
at sectors of nearly 40 degrees,
45 degrees rotation allows for
non single photon illumination



Cherenkov light emitted by the quartz radiator impinging on the THGEMs and on the MAPMT

Corona of 147 mm radius \pm 3 mm
6 mm transverse size onto THGEM
for wavelength 165 195 nm


All THGEM can be tested using a UV
LED placed in front of the CsI
photochatode

Gas Ar/CH₄ 50/50 flow rate 50 l/h

Typical gain 1-2 10^5

HV supplied from Caen N471A and
one module 1471A channel remotely
controlled PS

All channels independently or
via resistive divider after operating
voltage selected



Trigger

6 scintillators

2 100 mm x 100 mm first and last intercepting the whole beam FP, RP

4 fingers 5 mm x 3 mm

2 at 90 deg each after the first FP

2 at 90 deg each before the last RP

Prealigned with the radiator/chambers

With typical beam intensity trigger rate nearly 40 Hz


Readout:



Analog readout


Electronic chain based on Cremat preamplifier + Ortec Amplifier and MCA8000A


Digital readout


CMAD based front end board + F1 TDC (Compass MAPMT readout system)












Spectra acquired with analog readout and UV LED allow for calibration and gain measurement: spectra obtained at different ΔV for the last layer



1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.45 1.5 1.5 1.35

Gain from slope of the fit from 3E5 1.6E5



Jura and Saleve THGEM Digital Readout,
 Threshold set at 3fC for each of the 32 x 2 channels,
 Gain 1.2E5 overlap of events collected



Superposition of events



Jura and Saleve THGEM Digital Readout,
 Threshold set at 3fC for each of the 32 x 2 channels,
 Gain 1.2E5 overlap of events collected


Superposition of events

The circle is the expected corona on the THGEM surface




Time distribution properties of the collected events


LED, multiplicity @ $\Delta V = 4.35$ kV
Single photon regime




beam, multiplicity @ $\Delta V = 4.35$ kV
with darkened radiator




LED, TDC ($\Delta V = 4.38$ kV)



beam, TDC ($\Delta V = 4.38$ kV)







Time formation of the signal for triple THGEM detectors



125 ns is the expected transit time for e^- in 1 cm of Ar-CH₄ at 1.5 kV/cm ($\sim 8 \times 10^6$ cm/s)


Time formation of the signal for triple THGEM detectors (powered via R-divider)



Time formation of the signal for triple THGEM detectors simulation of photoelectron extraction, $E_{drift}=0$

photoelectron trajectories from a THGEM photocathode, multiplication switched off
thickness 0.6 mm, diam. 0.4 mm, pitch: 0.8 mm, $\Delta V = 1500$ V

external field above the THGEM : 0




all e^- enter the holes



e^- projected trajectories

x cross-section



y cross-section





Possible correlation between the tail of the time distribution and the reduction of extraction and collection efficiency of electrons for too feeble E field.



Digital and analog readout consistency check



From the threshold curve extraction of the gain of the THGEM detector (+ multiplicity spectrum) Consistent with the value expected from the fit of the analog spectrum



Time stability studies:

Performed looking at picoammeters connected to T1, B1 and T3 Resistive divider powered

2.2E4 muon spill different voltages/gain of the THGEM

Filename	B3	B1	T1	G
jura_22_08_10_beam_muons_pico_729kV	-200pA	30pA	170pA	$G = 1.5 * 10^5$
jura_22_08_10_beam_muons_pico_737kV	-345pA	-40pA	260pA	$G = 2.0 * 10^5$
jura_22_08_10_beam_muons_pico_719kV	-100pA	-10pA	70pA	$G = 0.9 * 10^5$

We have observed at G 0.9E5 stable detector operation with 8E4 hadrons spill @ trigger rate 5.200 KHz.



Concerning the TOP THGEM

We faced some problem with the HV power supply :

The max current setting drifted so while trying to optimise the HV on the layers a spark damaged one layer (hypotesys to be confirmed) one THGEM detector could not be used

Concerning the noise level

When we tried to operate the Big (100x100 mm²) detector we had to face with hige noise problems. Example in the picture below : to get rid of the noise threshold must be set >45 fC. Some shielding done on the fly improved the situation but still prevented to acquire data. Brough back to the lab we could achieve a 3 fC noise (with less than half fC sigma) and we could acquire data.



OUTLOOK

Data (a lot)collected with THGEMs during the August test beam started to be analyzed

We are investigating the extraction of the Effective Quantum Efficiency (not trivial) comparing with pmt data (not completely satisfied by its illumination)

Concerning the time development of the THGEM signal it will be investigated in the next future (the effect is there with the beam as well as the UV LED)

Digital red out will be available in our lab next week.


Large size detector: the noise problem has been under evaluated for our large size detector. By the way it can be cured (work in progress)

SIMULATION work, it will start soon we will have a AC/DC license for COMSOL and start the comparison with Ansys/neBem/ result

We are building a larger size detector 300 mm x 400 mm to be tested next year

THANK YOU
THANK YOU

number of hits per event (PMT)



h7	
Entries	2678
Mean	0.3118
RMS	1.178

