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Introduction

Because of its immunity to magnetic fields silicon based readout device is widely used in HEP calorimeters. They are often located in particle showers, where neutrons are produced copiously. While the nuclear counter effect caused by charged particles is well understood, it is less known for neutrons.

Hamamatsu silicon devices tested:
- A pair of S8664-55 APD: 2 x 5 x 5 mm² (connected in parallel)
- An S2744-08 PIN diode: 1 x 2 cm²
- Neutron sources: $^{252}$Cf and $^{241}$Am-Be.
- $\gamma$-ray sources: $^{55}$Fe, $^{241}$Am, $^{57}$Co and $^{60}$Co.
Neutron flux at the device surface: \(1.4 \times 10^4 \text{ n/cm}^2/\text{s}\), calculated with calibrated mass \((2.3 \times 10^4 \text{ n/s/µg})\), geometrical distance, and time since delivery \((\tau_{1/2}=2.6 \text{ yr})\).

The PIN was biased at 70 V. The APDs were biased for gains of 1, 10, 35, 100 and 200.

Readout
Preamplifier: Canberra 2003 BT
Shaping amplifier: Canberra 2026 with 0.25 µs shaping time,
Digital scope: Agilent 6052A.
The $^{241}$Am-Be, $^{241}$Am and $^{60}$Co Setups

Am$^{241}$-Be Neutron flux: $6.0 \times 10^4$ n/cm$^2$/s at the device surface, calculated with the calibration (NIST 846/257817-93), distance, and time ($\tau_{1/2}=432.2$ yr).

The readout is the same as that for the $^{252}$Cf source.

A similar set-up was used to carry out tests with gamma-ray and x-ray sources:

$^{241}$Am: $1.06 \times 10^7$ γ/cm$^2$/s.
$^{60}$Co: $1.54 \times 10^6$ γ/cm$^2$/s.
E_{Neutron} from $^{241}$Am-Be and $^{252}$Cf Sources

- Neutrons from $^{241}$Am-Be source has a broad distribution from 1 to 10 MeV with an average energy of 4.5 MeV.
- With a range of 1-10 MeV, the energy of neutrons from $^{252}$Cf source is peaked at 2.2 MeV.

The p.e. in PIN was calibrated with $^{241}$Am and $^{57}$Co.
The APD gain was measured with blue LED.
The p.e. in APD was calibrated with $^{55}$Fe.
S2744-08 PIN Diode Calibration

- Pulse height spectra were measured with X-rays from $^{241}$Am (60 KeV) and $^{57}$Co (122, 136 KeV) sources.
- The system noise is calculated by using the pedestal width.

![Graphs showing pulse height spectra and system noise](image)
Consistent calibration for electron numbers in PIN was obtained using $^{241}\text{Am}$ and $^{57}\text{Co}$ sources.

The p.e. calibration for PIN diode:

$$N_e/V = \frac{E_{x-ray}/3.62 \cdot G_{x-ray}}{P_{x-ray} \cdot G_n}$$

$N_e/V$: equivalent p.e. number/ peak volt,
$E_{x-ray}$: x-ray energy in eV,
$P_{x-ray}$: measured PH peak of x-ray,
$G_{x-ray}$: shaping amplifier gain for the x-ray sources,
$G_n$: shaping amplifier gain for the neutron sources,
S8664-55 APD Calibration with $^{55}$Fe (I)

APD gain was set at 10 and 35

**Graph 1:**
- **APD:** Hamamatsu 2 x S8664-55, Gain=10 (Bias=341 V)
- **Pre-amp:** Canberra 2003BT
- **Amp:** Canberra 2026, Gain = 250
- **Ped:** 48.1 mV, **FWHM:** 27.9 mV

**Graph 2:**
- **APD:** Hamamatsu 2 x S8664-55, Gain=35 (Bias=404 V)
- **Pre-amp:** Canberra 2003BT
- **Amp:** Canberra 2026, Gain = 100
- **Ped:** 44.2 mV, **FWHM:** 27.9 mV

**Fe-55, 5.9 KeV**
- **Net peak:** 184 mV
- **FWHM:** 63.5 mV (34.6%)
S8664-55 APD Calibration with $^{55}\text{Fe}$ (II)

APD gain was set at 100 and 200

- APD: Hamamatsu 2 X S8664-55, Gain=100 (Bias=441V)
  - Pre_amp: Canberra 2003BT
  - Amp: Canberra 2026, Gain 50
  - Ped = 64.8mV, FWHM = 43.0 mV

- APD: Hamamatsu 2 X S8664-55, Gain=200 (Bias=463V)
  - Pre_amp: Canberra 2003BT
  - Amp: Canberra 2026, Gain 25
  - Ped = 66.2mV, FWHM = 43.0 mV

Fe-55, 5.9 KeV
- Net peak: 237. mV
- FWHM = 102.3 mV (43.2%)

Fe-55, 5.9 KeV
- Net peak: 157. mV
- FWHM = 116.6 mV (74.1%)
Large correction factors are noticed for high APD gains, which are consistent with published data in J. Chen *et al*, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* Vol 54 (2007) 718.

The calibration with $^{55}$Fe, 5.9 KeV for APD at a certain bias:

$$\frac{N_e}{V} = \frac{E_{x-ray}/3.62 \times R_{x-ray/LED}}{P_{x-ray}} \cdot \frac{G_{x-ray}}{G_n}$$

$N_e/V$: equivalent p.e. number/ volt,  
$E_{x-ray}$: 5900 eV,  
$P_{x-ray}$: measured PH peak,  
$R_{x-ray/LED}$: Correction factors for x-rays,  
$G_{x-ray}$: shaping amplifier gain for the x-ray source,  
$G_n$: shaping amplifier gain for the neutron sources,
## Calibration Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PIN S2744-08</th>
<th>APD S8664-55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bias (V)</strong></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Device Gain</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G_{x-ray}</strong></td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G_{n}</strong></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>N_e/V \times 10^3</strong></td>
<td>812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>σ_{n} (N_e)</strong></td>
<td>498</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The up-limit of the pulse height distribution is \(\sim 2M\) electrons, or 7.2 MeV deposition in APD.

There are a few tens overflow events caused by the saturated amplifier scale, indicating energy deposition of higher than 7.2 MeV.
Cf Spectra for APD at different Gains

The amplifier saturation was more significant for the APD gains of 100 and 200.

The fractions of events with signals of more than 150 k electrons (0.5 MeV deposition) are:

- $1.6 \times 10^{-6}$, G=10
- $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$, G=35
- $0.28 \times 10^{-6}$, G=100
- $0.27 \times 10^{-6}$, G=200,

indicating that a high APD gain reduces the neutron-induced nuclear counter effect in the APD.
Comparison between APD and PIN

Signals of up to 3.0 and 2.0 M electrons were observed in the PIN and APD with unit gain respectively, corresponding to 11 and 7.2 MeV energy deposition.

The fractions of events with signals of more than 350 k electrons (1.3 MeV deposition) are:
- **PIN**: $18 \times 10^{-6}$
- **APD (G=1)**: $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$

indicating that the neutron-induced nuclear counter effect in the APD is a factor of ten smaller than that in the PIN diode.
Comparison between APD and PIN (Irradiation from back face)

It was suspected that the ~500 µm thick epoxy resin at the front of these photo-detectors play an important role.

The fractions of the events under back side irradiation, PIN: $64 \times 10^{-6}$, APD (G=1): $4.2 \times 10^{-6}$, show clearly that the front epoxy layer do not enhance the neutron induced nuclear counter effect.

Pre-Amp: Canberra 2003BT, Amp: Canberra 2026.
Source: $^{252}\text{Cf}$

PIN S2744-08 (Bias=70V, gain = 1): 26990 events / $4.23 \times 10^8$ n.
APD 2 x S 8664-55, (Bias=70V, gain = 1): 7489 events / $1.8 \times 10^9$ n.
252Cf Spectra for PIN with Materials

- 1 to 2 cm thick materials were inserted at the front of the PIN.

- The overall effect of materials at the front of the PIN diode is small, indicating that the nuclear counter effect is dominated by the detector itself.

- High Z material, such as PWO and LSO, enhances a little the effect, while the low Z material, such as H, C, O and Al, reduces a little the effect.
Gamma Background and System Checks

- The γ-ray background from $^{60}$Co and $^{241}$Am sources was checked using orders of magnitude high fluence. The spectra show no high tail.
- No event was recorded when APD and PIN diode was replaced by a 100 pf capacitor, indicating no background from the readout system.
Approaches to Eliminate this Effect

- Multiple independent readout channels for one crystal would be a good solution. The potential high cost may be minimized by an intelligent frontend chip (IFC) which reads out only uncontaminated signals.

- Advanced data analysis may also be implemented to reduce this effect as discussed in CMS Note 2010-12: http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1278160?ln=en
Summary

MeV neutrons from $^{252}$Cf and $^{241}$Am-Be sources cause signals up to a few million electrons, or ~10 MeV energy deposition, in Si APD and PIN diode, indicating that the entire kinetic energy of a neutron may be converted into electron signals in these devices.

The equivalent energy of calorimeter readout depends on the crystal light yield. Anomalous signals of 2 M electrons, for example, correspond to 500 and 2.5 GeV for PWO and LYSO respectively assuming the light yields of 4 and 800 p.e./MeV for long crystals.

The overall effect in APD is a factor of ten less than PIN diode. Increasing the APD gain reduces the effect since only a portion of the energy in APD is fully amplified as the scintillation photoelectrons.

Multiple photo-devices with independent readout chains would eliminate this effect completely. An intelligent front-end chip capable of selecting un-contaminated signal will keep the total channel counting under control. The neutron induced nuclear counter effect may also be reduced by advanced data analysis.