

# Measurements of Higgs boson production cross sections in the $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ decay channel in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

Kristina Mihule  
on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration


Charles University, Prague

Higgs 2021, October 2021




# THE ATLAS $H$ PRODUCTION MEASUREMENT IN $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$

- ▶ Aims for precise measurements of the cross section of the Higgs boson production in experimental categories following four production modes:
  - ▶ ggF: a dominant production mode
  - ▶ VBFH: a characteristic event signature, a BDT-based MVA tagger developed
  - ▶  $t\bar{t}H$  and  $VH$  where top and  $V$  decay hadronically: processes are recognized with BDTs
- ▶ Measuring Higgs boson production cross sections with the simplified template cross section (STXS) in ggH and VBF production modes: binned in  $p_T(H)$ ,  $m_{jj}$ , and  $N_j$





LHC Higgs WG




# HIGGS BOSON DECAY TO A PAIR OF $\tau$ -LEPTONS

- ▶  $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$  is the second most frequent Higgs boson decay to fermions: branching ratio is 6.3%
- ▶  $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$  analysis measures production cross section and parameters of the  $H\tau\tau$  coupling
- ▶ The most up-to-date measurements are based on the data collected LHC Run 2 (2015-2018) [\[ATLAS-CONF-2021-044\]](#).
- ▶ Various di-tau decay modes are included:  $\tau_h\tau_h$ ,  $\tau_h\tau_e$ ,  $\tau_h\tau_\mu$ ,  $\tau_e\tau_\mu$




# BACKGROUND ESTIMATION

- ▶ The irreducible  $Z\tau\tau$  background:
  - ▶ Control regions (CRs) based on object-level embedding (real  $Zll$  data: light leptons  $\rightarrow$  simulated  $\tau$ 's)  $\rightarrow$  validation of MC  $Z\tau\tau$  modelling with embedded  $Zll$ ,  $p_T$  distribution correction, constraining normalization
  - ▶ MC modeling in signal region (SR)
- ▶ The events where taus are faked by jets – data-driven assessment:
  - ▶ Fake factor method ( $\tau_{\text{had}}\tau_{\text{had}}, \tau_{\text{had}}\tau_l$  where  $l = e, \mu$ )
  - ▶ Matrix element method ( $\tau_e\tau_\mu$ )
- ▶ *Top* events are MC-modeled  $\rightarrow$  normalization constraining, modeling check in CRs
- ▶ Other background processes ( $Zll$ , di-boson,  $W$ +jets,...) – MC simulation



# DI-TAU MASS ESTIMATION: MISSING MASS CALCULATOR


- ▶ The invariant mass of the di-tau system is used by the analysis as the final discriminant variable in the determination of the signal
- ▶ Reconstruction of the Higgs boson mass (i.e.  $m_{\tau\tau}$ ) is challenging due to the presence of non-detectable neutrinos
- ▶ The Missing Mass Calculator (MMC) [1012.4686] assumes neutrinos are the only source of missing transverse energy (MET).
- ▶ For each event, kinematically possible configurations (variations of particles four-momenta) of the visible




The solution with the highest probability  $\mathcal{L} = -\log(\mathcal{P}_{\text{total}}) = -\log(\mathcal{P}(\Delta R_{\text{vis,miss } 1, p_{\tau 1}}) \times \mathcal{P}(\Delta R_{\text{vis,miss } 2, p_{\tau 2}}) \times \mathcal{P}(E_{T \text{ x,y}}) \times \mathcal{P}(E_{\text{vis. } \tau 1}) \times \mathcal{P}(E_{\text{vis. } \tau 2})) \times \mathcal{P}(m_{\text{miss } 1}) \times \mathcal{P}(m_{\text{miss } 2}))$  is set as a final estimator of  $m_H$ .

# MASS ESTIMATOR PERFORMANCE


- ▶ The MMC output depends on MET resolution → need a good MET proxy
- ▶ Various options to estimate missing transverse energy resolution were tried: via parametrization on  $\sum E_T$ ,  $\Delta\phi_{ll}$ ,  $\mu$  and through object-based MET significance [ATLAS-CONF-2018-038]
- ▶ The number of iterations during the phase space scanning was optimized
- ▶ Reasonable separation between the signal and background is observed: 80%  $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$  rejection at 80%  $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow \tau\tau$  acceptance
- ▶ The MMC resolution is about 15-20 GeV.



[TAU-2019-001]




[TAU-2019-001]




[TAU-2019-001]

# STATISTICAL ANALYSIS. STXS RESULTS

- ▶ The reconstructed  $M_{\tau\tau}$  is a discriminant in the final fit, 32 SRs, 6 top CRs, 30  $Z\tau\tau$  CRs
- ▶ Systematic uncertainties with the largest impact on  $\Delta\sigma/\sigma(pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow \tau\tau)$  are signal theory (8.7%), jet &  $E_T^{\text{miss}}$  (4.5%), and MC statistical (4.0%) uncertainty
- ▶ Simultaneous fit with 9 cross-sections in kin. volumes within the STXS framework:
  - ▶ precision of 24% for VBF  $pp \rightarrow H\tau\tau$  in the  $m_{jj} > 350$  GeV bin
  - ▶ precision within 40% for  $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow \tau\tau$  in the  $p_T(H) > 200$  GeV range




[ATLAS-CONF-2021-044]



[ATLAS-CONF-2021-044]

# SUMMARY ON SM $pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ MEASUREMENTS

- ▶ The production cross-section of the  $pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow \tau\tau$  process is measured to be  $2.94 \pm 0.21$  (stat) $^{+0.37}_{-0.32}$  (syst) pb
- ▶ For the first time, VBF  $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$  production is observed with significance of 5.3 and strong constraints
- ▶ The observed significance for  $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow \tau\tau$  is 3.9 sigma
- ▶ All inclusive and exclusive measurements are consistent with the Standard Model prediction



[ATLAS-CONF-2021-044]